The purpose of the Texas Forensic Association is to bring about more effective cooperation among the members of the speech and theatre arts profession in the discharge of their special responsibilities in forensic and theatre activities; to create a means of educating the general and professional publics to the important educational functions of forensics and theatre arts; to make collective action possible on problems of common professional interest; and, in general, to maintain and advance the ideals and standards of the speech and theatre arts profession.

The Texas Forensic Association shall promote the interests of interscholastic speech and theatre by encouraging a spirit of fellowship among participating students and teachers. Activities viewed as central to the organization’s function include debate, theatre, and competitive individual speaking events.

The Association continues to set high standards and strive to meet new goals for the benefit of Texas Teachers and students. The model of the Texas Forensic Association has been recommended for other states where similar needs are felt.
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Constitution

*The following section may be amended by the two-thirds majority vote of the members present and voting at any annual meeting, special meeting, or mail ballot provided that copies of the Proposed amendment have been mailed to all members or placed on the official TFA website at least fourteen (14) days prior to the vote, or without prior notice by a three-fourths majority of those present and voting.

Article I. Name

The name of this organization shall be the Texas Forensic Association.

Article II. Purpose

Section 1: The purpose of this association is to bring about more effective cooperation among the members of the speech and theatre arts profession in the discharge of their special responsibilities in forensic and theatre activities; to create a means of educating the general and professional publics to the important educational functions of forensics and theatre arts; to make collective action possible on problems of common professional interest; and, in general, to maintain and advance the ideals and standards of the speech and theatre arts profession.

Section 2: This organization shall promote the interests of interscholastic speech and theatre by encouraging a spirit of fellowship among participating students and teachers. Activities viewed as central to the organization’s function include debate, theatre, and competitive individual speaking events. The Texas Forensic Association, however, assumes no legal liability for copyright violations or other actions by participants or members.

Article III. Membership

All members of the Texas Forensic Association must also be members of the Texas Speech Communication Association and the National Federation of State High School Associations. Annual dues for these organizations must be sent with TFA dues to the Treasurer.

Section 1: Persons engaged in directing forensics and theatre arts shall be eligible for membership and shall become members upon payment of annual dues. This type of individual membership shall remain with the person rather than the institution during the membership term (i.e., if the person changes schools the membership will remain with the person). These members must represent a school, defined as an organization, institution, or group which grants a diploma or its equivalent as recognized by the Texas State Board of Education and/or Texas Education Agency to provide instruction for grades nine, ten, eleven, and/or twelve. Directors of colleges and universities, recognized and accredited, by the U.S. Department of Education are allowed membership in the organization for purposes of hosting tournaments.

Section 2: Persons friendly to the aims of the organization: retired coaches,
administrators, college students, spouses, and out-of-state coaches or out of state institutions, shall be eligible for membership in TFA only, but shall not be allowed to host a qualifying tournament nor compete in the State tournament. Membership will be attained upon payment of a $5.00 annual fee.

Section 3: Institutional memberships shall be available for institutions by payment of annual dues. This type of membership shall remain with the institution during the membership period regardless of personnel changes. These members must represent a school, defined as an organization, institution, or group which grants a diploma or its equivalent as recognized by the Texas State Board of Education and/or Texas Education Agency to provide instruction for grades nine, ten, eleven, and/or twelve. Colleges and universities, recognized and accredited, by the U.S. Department of Education are allowed membership in the organization for purposes of hosting tournaments [ref. amendment 10/14].

Section 4: Emeritus life membership shall be granted to individuals whose service to the Texas Forensic Association has been of the highest merit. The Emeritus Membership Committee shall designate the honorees. These members shall be members of TFA only and shall pay no dues.

Section 5: The membership period is from September 1 to September 1. Benefits for the current membership year shall be extended only to those paying membership dues on or before January 31. Schools hosting a TFA qualifier must become members before October 31, either through an individual membership or institutional membership.

Section 6: In the event that the Texas Forensic Association should decide to dissolve, the Executive Council shall, after paying all liabilities, dispose of remaining assets as follows:

A. Donate them to the Texas Speech Communication Association which is an exempt organization under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, or, in the event that the organization no longer exists,
B. Donate them to some other educational organization which qualifies under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, and which is concerned primarily with the field of communication.

Article IV. Executive Council

Section 1: The Executive Council shall consist of President, Vice-President, Vice-President-Elect, President Elect, Secretary, Treasurer, Immediate Past President, Archivist, I.Q.T. Coordinator, Social Media Specialist, a current Superintendent of Schools and Regional Representatives as specified in the By-Laws. The Vice-President-Elect, IQT Coordinator, the Archivist, Social Media Specialist, and the Superintendent of Schools are non-voting members. When the number of regions in the state is an odd number, the immediate Past President shall be a non-voting member of the Executive Council.

Section 2: The Executive Council shall act for the Association in the interim between annual meetings, but all of its actions shall be reported to the following annual meeting, and
such actions may be reversed by majority, provided a quorum is present.

Section 3: The Executive Council is empowered to solicit, review, and approve initiatives by member coaches and institutions that may run contrary to the standing rules and by-laws of the organization for purposes of testing alternatives for future consideration and broader approval.

Section 4: The Executive Council is empowered to recruit, hire, evaluate, and terminate paid personnel to serve the interests of the organization.
[added 10/15]
Article V. Meetings

Section 1: The annual business meeting of the organization shall be held in conjunction with the annual convention of the Texas Speech Communication Association. In addition, business may be conducted by (a) A special meeting called by the President with the consent of the Executive Council. Written notice of said meeting must be issued to all members at least thirty days in advance. (b) A vote of the membership through a mail ballot authorized by the President with the consent of the Executive Council.

Section 2: The annual meeting may consist of several sessions. The session at which the election of officers is to be held, and any session at which a constitutional amendment is to be voted upon, must be clearly designated for those purposes in all announcements.

Section 3: Meetings of the Executive Council shall be held at the call of the President, or the Secretary shall call a meeting at the written request of any three members of the Executive Council.

Article VI. Committees

Section 1: Standing committees, as detailed by the By-Laws, shall be appointed for a term of one year by the President of the Executive Council with nominations from each Region provided by the Regional Representatives except as otherwise provided. Their term of office shall coincide with that of the President. Each standing committee shall present a report of its activities at the annual meeting.

Section 2: Special committees may be established as the need arises.

Article VII. Amendment

Section 1: This Constitution may be amended by a two-thirds majority vote of the members present and voting at any annual meeting, special meeting, or mail ballot provided that copies of the Proposed amendment have been mailed to all members or placed on the official TFA website at least fourteen (14) days prior to the vote, or without prior notice by a three-fourths majority of those present and voting. Members must represent a school, defined as an organization, institution, or group which grants a diploma or its equivalent as recognized by the Texas State Board of Education and/or Texas Education Agency to provide instruction for grades nine, ten, eleven, and/or twelve.

Section 2: The Secretary or an appointed member of the Executive Council is authorized to correct articles and section designations, punctuation, and cross-references and to make such other technical and conforming changes in the TFA Constitution, By-Laws, Standing Rules, and Code of Professional Standards of the organization. Suggested changes must be approved by the Executive Council and published for the membership.
By-Laws

*Rules or Regulations in the following sections may by amended by the majority vote of the members present and voting at any annual meeting, special meeting, or mail ballot provided that copies of the Proposed amendment have been mailed to all members or placed on the official TFA website at least fourteen (14) days prior to the vote, or without prior notice by a three-fourths majority of those present and voting.

Section 1: ANNUAL DUES. The annual dues shall be established by the annual meeting and may vary from year to year.

Section 2: NOMINATING COMMITTEE. The President shall appoint, at least sixty (60) days prior to the annual meeting, a Nominations Committee of five members. Each region shall have at least one member on the committee. None of the five have been a member of the immediate past Nominations Committee. This Committee shall present the names of at least two members as nominees for each position: President, Vice-President, Secretary and Treasurer. The committee shall ascertain that each nominee will serve, if elected. This slate will be made public at the earliest possible opportunity and will be presented at the annual meeting. There shall be an opportunity for nominations from the floor for names of additional nominees prior to the election of officers.

Section 3: ELECTION OF OFFICERS. The newly elected officers shall assume office on April 1 the year following their election. They shall hold office until their terms expire and their successors are elected. A candidate, to be elected, must receive a majority vote by secret ballot. In the event no candidate receives a majority on the first ballot, the second ballots shall be conducted with only the names of the two candidates receiving the highest number of votes in the first ballot in the consideration.

Section 4: SPECIAL ELECTIONS. In the case of a vacancy in any office due to death, resignation, or other cause, the President, with the consent of the Executive Council, shall appoint any eligible member to serve until the next annual meeting. In the event of a vacancy for the office of the President, the Executive Council shall appoint an eligible member to serve until an election will be held at the next annual meeting.

Section 5: DUTIES OF THE EXECUTIVE COUNCIL. The Executive Council, under the leadership of the President, and with the aid of the standing and special committees, shall be responsible for the planning of policy, the best utilization of personnel, and the execution of policy once adopted.

A. The President. The President shall be required to perform the usual duties of such an officer. S/He shall have the power to call meetings of the Executive Council; to appoint the State Tournament Tabulation Directors and State Tournament Committee members, the members of standing committees and special committees unless the original motion formulating the special committee shall designate another method of appointment. S/He shall be responsible for developing the sectional meeting programs at the annual convention. The term of office shall be two years and will be elected in odd number years. The President shall not serve more than one consecutive full term.

B. The Vice-President. In addition to those duties assigned to him/her by the President or the Executive Council, the Vice-President shall be responsible for coordinating judging assignments at the State Tournament. The term of office shall be two years and will be elected in
C. **The Secretary.** The Secretary shall be responsible for recording and reporting in the newsletter the minutes of all business meetings of the Executive Council. S/He shall also be responsible for newsletters and for correspondence of the Association and such other normal secretarial duties as may need to be done or are assigned to him/her by the President. The term of office shall be two years and will be elected in even number years.

D. **The Treasurer.** The Treasurer shall be responsible for directing all the day-to-day business transactions of the organization. It shall be his/her duty to keep official account of all funds of the organization, to pay bills and accounts as prescribed by the President and the Executive Council or as prescribed by special action of the members at the annual meeting. In addition, s/he shall be responsible for sending out dues notices, receiving dues and applications for membership, maintaining up-to-date membership lists, publishing a directory, and for promptly forwarding all appropriate dues on to the Texas Speech Communication Association and the National Federation of State High School Associations. S/He shall serve as an *ex-officio* member of the Financial Committee. The term of office shall be two years and will be elected in odd number years. The Treasurer shall secure approval from a majority of the Executive Council for all expenditures over $750.00 requested by the President of the organization.

E. **Past President.** The President from the preceding year shall serve as Past President and shall act as an advisor to the Executive Council. When the number of regions in the state is an odd number, the immediate past president shall be a non-voting member of the Executive Council. If the regions of the state are even, the Past President is a voting member of the Executive Council.

F. **Regional Representatives.** A Regional Representative shall be elected from each of five regions and shall serve on the Executive Council.

1. The composition of the regions shall be determined by the Executive Council with approval by the annual business meeting.

2. Proposed changes in Texas Forensic Association regions shall be distributed to the membership at least fourteen (14) days prior to the annual meetings.

3. Representatives from odd-numbered regions shall be elected in even-numbered years; representatives from even-numbered regions shall be elected in odd-numbered years. All Representatives shall be elected for two-year terms.

G. **IQT Coordinator.** The President shall appoint, by July 1, a member to serve as Invitational Qualifying Tournament (I.Q.T.) Coordinator. This individual shall be a non-voting member of the Executive Council and shall serve as a member of the State Tournament Committee. The duties of the IQT Coordinator shall include receiving, recording, and notifying qualified participants in the TFA State Tournament, finalizing entries in the State Tournament, and assisting in the planning and operation of the TFA State Tournament.

H. **Archivist.** The President shall appoint a member to serve as Archivist for two years on even-numbered years. It shall be the Archivist’s duty to maintain such documents and items as the President and Executive Council prescribe. A report, including a listing, shall be made to the membership at the annual meeting. The Archivist will be a non-voting member of the Executive Council.
I. **Social Media Correspondent.** This position will be appointed by the TFA President (at the same time and through the same process as the IQT Coordinator), and shall serve for one year. This individual will update and maintain the TFA website, update and maintain the TFA Facebook page, update and maintain the TFA twitter account, and shall be responsible for presenting social media opportunities to the body at the annual meeting. S/He will also be responsible for scanning ballots (if this option is available) at the TFA State Tournament. S/He will also be responsible for maintaining the purchasing and distribution of TFA merchandise. This person will be a non-voting member of the TFA Executive Council.

J. **Superintendent of Schools.** The TFA president shall appoint this person to serve as an advisor to the council and as an advocate for the organization. This person will be a non-voting member.

K. **Reports.** All members of the Executive Council, including the Regional Representatives shall make reports at the annual meetings, as necessary.

**Section 6: COMMITTEES.** Committees shall be of two types, standing and special. The standing committee shall be appointed by the President with nominations from each Region provided by the Regional Representatives. Special committees shall be appointed by the President alone. A standing committee shall serve for one year, while special committees shall be appointed for one year, or until their assignment is completed, whichever is shorter. All committees shall report at the annual meeting and, upon request of the President, shall be required to report to the Executive Council. Membership of each committee shall be published in the newsletter no later than September 1st. The following committees shall be regionally balanced: Public Relations, Professional Relations, Finance, Scholarship, Emeritus Membership and Congressional Debate.

A. **Public Relations.** This committee shall plan and conduct a program of public relations in such a fashion as to best serve the interests of the Association and the profession, and to develop in the general public an appreciation, understanding, and awareness of the values of forensics and theatre arts.

B. **Professional Relations.** This committee shall be responsible for the development of high standards in training of speakers and in the conduct of tournaments. This committee shall also be responsible for ethical practices within the profession.

C. **Debate Topic Selection Committee.** This committee shall research topic areas for the National Federation of State High School Associations and to make recommendations as to the national debate topic. It should be noted that the Texas Forensic Association is not, however, a voting participant in the NFSHSA.

D. **Finance Committee.** This committee shall present an audit report at the annual meeting on odd-numbered years and additionally as necessary. A consultation with a CPA will be conducted each year beginning with fiscal year 2009-2010 and the results posted on the website immediately after the audit. If an audit is deemed necessary by the CPA, then the EC will authorize an audit of that fiscal year's records. The Chair of the Finance Committee is responsible for implementing this amendment and the Executive Committee is responsible for making sure that the results are posted. The Executive Council shall have the authority to release and disperse funds to a CPA and/or auditor. The President and Treasurer shall be ex-officio members.

E. **State Tournament Committee.** This committee shall plan and run the state
tournament. This committee shall be composed of the Executive Council and Tab Directors.

F. State Tournament Judging Committee. This committee shall supply a pool of judges from each region of the state to judge the TFA State Tournament. Beginning with preliminary rounds, the committee shall make all judging assignments during the TFA State Tournament. This committee is comprised of the Vice President and the Region Representatives.

G. Scholarship Committee. This committee shall send out scholarship applications by January 1. Completed applications shall be returned to the committee chairperson by February 1. The committee shall review all applications with school, name, and gender omitted. Four recipients shall be announced at the TFA State Tournament.

H. Hall of Fame/Emeritus Membership Committee. This committee shall consist of five former TFA Executive council members. It shall be their duty to designate honorees for the status of Emeritus/Hall of Fame membership. To be eligible for Emeritus membership one must be retired from forensic and/or theatre teaching and shall have served the organization and his/her students in a meritorious fashion. For designation into the Hall of Fame honorees may still be actively teaching forensics and/or theatre teaching and/or shall have served the organization and his/her students in a meritorious fashion. The announcement shall be made at the annual business meeting. A yearly designee is not necessary.

To be eligible for the emeritus membership, one must be retired from forensic and/or theater teaching, and shall have served the organization and his/her students in meritorious fashion. Upon retirement from forensic and/or theater teaching, a member of the Hall of Fame will automatically be designated emeritus. [ref. amendment 10/14]

I. Congressional Debate Committee. This committee shall select the best 30 pieces of submitted legislation through a blind review to create the calendar for all sessions. Legislation will be selected according to the following criteria: (a) grammatical structure and style, (b) legislative intent, (c) need for the plan and feasibility, and (d) formatted in the prescribed template, and (e) national in scope.

J. Regional State Tournament Hosting Committees. This committee shall coordinate the local arrangements for hosting the TFA State tournament when it comes to their region. Members shall report to the State Tournament Committee as well as work with the State Judging Committee. The committee shall consist of 5 members appointed by the Region Representative of the hosting region and shall serve for a 2-year period.

K. Standing Constitutional Committee. This committee shall review the TFA constitution for discrepancies and general errors and recommend updates to the document in a fashion as to best serve the interests of the Association. The Constitutional Committee shall submit their recommendations at the annual meeting. (During the TFA competitive season, the Executive Council may refer constitutional discrepancies to the Constitutional Committee. The Constitutional Committee will then render a ruling in the form of a recommendation to the Executive Council. The Executive Council may then use the Constitutional Committee’s recommendation in their final ruling.)

Section 7: AGENDA OF THE ANNUAL MEETING. The agenda for the annual meeting shall be the responsibility of the President, and s/he shall direct that a copy of the proposed agenda be mailed (or placed on the TFA website) to every member thirty (30) days prior to the annual meeting. In no case shall the proposed agenda be final in the sense of excluding motions from the floor not otherwise prohibited by this constitution or by the rules of procedure.

Section 8: QUORUM. A quorum for the annual meeting shall be 20% of the membership. A quorum
for a meeting of the Executive Council shall be a majority of its members. A quorum for a special meeting shall be 30% of the membership. A quorum for a mail vote shall be 40% of the membership.

Section 9: RULES OF PROCEDURE. The annual business meetings of the organization shall be governed in their procedure by Robert’s Rules of Order (revised).

Section 10: AMENDMENTS. These By-Laws, Standing Rules, and Code of Professional Standards may be amended by a majority vote of the members present and voting at any annual meeting, special meeting, or mail ballot provided that copies of the Proposed amendment have been mailed to all members or placed on the official TFA website at least thirty (30) days prior to the vote, or without prior notice by a three-fourths majority of those present and voting. If a proposed amendment does not specify a date of implementation, the effective date shall be presumed as the first day of the next competitive season.

Section 11: PETITION. Petitions shall be made in writing to the President. The petition must be signed by the school principal or authorized administrator.

Section 12: REMOVAL FROM OFFICE.

A. Grounds for Removal: The Texas Forensic Association shall have the power to remove any of its officers as provided in this section. The valid grounds for such removal shall include 1) continued gross or willful neglect or the duties of the office 2) failure or refusal to disclose necessary information on matters of organization business 3) unauthorized expenditures or misuse of organizations funds 4) conviction of a felony.

B. Removal Procedures: The following procedures shall be followed in all proceedings leading to the possible expulsion of an officer and shall not exceed a 60-day time limit from the time of the resolution.

1. A resolution by the Executive Council to consider the removal of an officer can be introduced by any member of the Executive Council. The resolution must be accompanied by corroborating evidence and/or support of the resolution.

2. The accused and the accuser shall recuse themselves from voting in any of the proceedings.

3. If said resolution to consider the removal of an officer should pass the Executive Council by a simple majority, adequate defense shall be made by the officer, by telephone, by mail, or in person, to all those who shall vote on the question of his removal. No vote shall be taken to remove that officer until s/he has finished presenting his/her defense. The procedure for removing an officer must provide adequate notice to the accused officer, a fair hearing and the right to counsel.

4. After the officer in question has finished his defense, a two-thirds vote of the Executive Council shall be necessary to remove the officer in question. No officer shall be denied a response to proceedings aimed at his removal. If a two-thirds vote of the Executive Council is reached, the officer will be required to step down immediately. If it is an office that has control over funds of the Texas Forensic Association, they will be obligated to cede control over said funds in a timely manner as determined by the Executive Council.

5. An appeal may be brought forth before the membership by the officer in question. A vote requiring a two-thirds majority of the membership will be required to
reinstate the removed officer. The vote of the body will be conducted as soon as feasible (within the 60-day period outlined above). The vote may be electronic or by US mail.
The Texas Forensic Association is a group of professional forensic and theatre arts educators. As such, members of this group support the following set of standards in order to stimulate the growth of our students through honest and equitable forms of competition, and to encourage professionally ethical relationships between coaches, students, and institutions.

By virtue of TFA membership, each individual and institution shall subscribe to and abide by the following code of professional standards.

1. Each member and institution shall adhere to the rules and regulations governing the TFA, TFA-sanctioned competition, and such provisions that regulate conduct at an institution hosting TFA competition.

2. The speech sponsor and administrator of a school hosting a TFA-sanctioned competition may choose whom to invite to the competition on their campus.

3. Violations of any TFA rule or regulation shall be the responsibility of both the student-participant, and of the adult member of TFA who serves as the student's sponsor.

4. During the TFA qualifying tournament competition, the enforcement of tournament and TFA rules shall be the specific responsibility of the tournament host. At the State Tournament such responsibility shall reside with the Executive Council. Any violation of a state rule or regulation of the TFA, TFA-sanctioned competition, or such provisions that regulate conduct at an institution hosting TFA competition may result in the disqualification by the host of the offending participant, school, or sponsor from that competition.

5. Any decision rendered by a tournament host that violates the TFA constitution, including but not limited to, rule violations, constitutional interpretations, and/or disqualifications of a participant, school, or sponsor, may be appealed to the Executive Council of the TFA. Responsibility for contacting and presenting the specifics of that appeal to the Executive Council rests with the appellant.

6. Hosts of TFA qualifying tournaments are responsible for meeting minimum TFA standards and offering at least four TFA events. Moreover, the speech sponsor or sponsors hosting a TFA-sanctioned competition are responsible for providing a competition that is fairly and competently administered and realistically scheduled. In the event of an official protest, the host is required to respond to protesting coaches/sponsors prior to subsequent rounds/awards. Upon receipt of proof of the failure of an Invitational Qualifying Tournament to adhere to these standards and meet acceptable administrative and scheduling guidelines, the TFA Executive Council shall be empowered to act on those appeals in a variety of ways, including but not limited to: rewarding/revocation of IQT qualifying points, contacting relevant school administrators, and revoking the ability of the host to host an IQT for at least one year.

7. All sponsors chaperoning students participating in a TFA-sanctioned competition are expected to conduct themselves in a professional manner. Such conduct would include, but not be limited to, the following: paying entry fees at time of registration or demonstrating proof of intent to pay in the form of a purchase order or personal check. All outstanding fees are to be settled or received no later than sixty (60) days from the date of the tournament; (b) any additional judging, drop fees, or refunds must be paid or settled no later than thirty (30) days from the date of the tournament; (c) being present or having a principal-approved designee present so long as students from the sponsor’s school are at the tournament site; and
(d) refraining from berating or intimidating judges, other coaches, and students.

8. Any member of the TFA may offer a formal complaint against violations of professional standards by a fellow member of the TFA. Such complaints must be signed by at least two other members of TFA who have witnessed the violations of professional standards detailed in the complaint. [In terms of payment of fees, the tournament sponsor, the principal, and the superintendent may sign the complaint.] Such complaints will be forwarded to the Executive Council of the TFA. If deemed a violation of professional standards, and necessary for an objective decision, the Executive Council shall refer the complaint to the Professional Relations Committee. The PRC will investigate the complaint, hear rebuttal by the accused member, and render an opinion on each complaint. The Executive Council may (a) dismiss the complaint; (b) dismiss the complaint and censure those making the complaint; (c) censure the offending member or institution; (d) remove membership from the offending member or institution; and/or I deny the school the right to participate in the current year’s TFA State Tournament. Censure shall be a written reprimand addressed to the offending member or institution. Removal of membership shall be immediate, and suspension shall be for at least one school year. If the complaint involves a member of the executive council they may be removed from office.

9. All Executive Council members shall be promptly notified of any professional standards hearing. All professional standards hearings shall be by the full Executive Council. Any penalties shall be by a two-thirds vote of the voting members of the Executive Council.

10. Any challenge or charges regarding a TFA issue should be presented in writing within ten days to all parties involved in the matter. At that time, all parties have ten days to request a formal hearing so that each may present pertinent facts in person or via electronic communication. The Executive Council may then make a decision based on the circumstances surrounding each unique situation. Under no circumstances should the Executive Council agree in advance to vote unanimously prior to discussion of issues that are contested.

11. Coaches/sponsors shall refrain from efforts to manipulate the outcome of competition. Encouraging students to not perform well, to not show up, or to “forget”, in an effort to help other students gain a qualification would be considered manipulation. Coaches conspiring to determine placement or judging their own students in an effort to determine placing shall not be allowed unless only one school is represented in the elimination round.
On May 2, 1972, thirty college and high school debate coaches from across the state of Texas met in Houston to discuss the need for an organization which would give students from large and small, public and private, schools an opportunity for competition which would lead directly to qualification for the NFL National Tournament. Response was enthusiastic, and a constitution committee was appointed. On June 3, 1972, a committee of twelve met in Waco, and made plans for a system of qualification through invitational and regional tournaments. A nominating committee was appointed to present a slate of officers to the first annual meeting in October, held in conjunction with the Texas Speech Communication Association convention. Dr. William English, Director of Forensics at the University of Houston, contacted the National Forensic League, the American Forensic Association, and TSCA, asking for official recognition of the Texas Forensic Association. On June 19, 1972, the Executive Council of NFL voted to recognize TFA as the qualifying agency in Texas for NFL National Tournament competitors. In October, seventy-five speech directors met in San Antonio, elected officers, ratified a constitution and bylaws, and officially formed the Texas Forensic Association. TSCA gave official recognition to TFA as the forensics interest group of that association. Subsequently, the first TFA State Tournament was held at Baylor University, March 23-24, 1973.

During the first year of operation, it became apparent that a person was needed to coordinate records of state qualifiers, so an IQT coordinator was added to the Executive Council. The number of regions was reduced from five to four because of the participation level in the original Region I. During the annual convention in 1974, Duet Acting was added as a state event for 1975-76. TFA vice-presidents began assuming full responsibility for planning forensic-related programs for the TSCA annual convention. Since the Speech Communication Association/American Forensic Association was held in Houston in 1975, TFA hosted a reception for the AFA National Council to explore the relationship between the two organizations.

In 1976-1977, Student Congress was added to the events of the TFA State Tournament. Participation in the event increased rapidly, and it was expanded to include a semifinal and final house at the State Tournament. Because of the increase in Invitational Qualifying Tournament, the method of approval for tournaments was changed. In addition, Regional TFA Tournaments were discontinued. Membership in TFA was originally tied to membership in AFA. At the 1978 convention, the membership unanimously voted to require membership in TSCA of all TFA members. The intent of this move was to cement relationships between TFA and TSCA and to emphasize the professional nature of TFA. The TFA began publication of the State Judging Philosophy Booklet. In 1978, TFA sent Virginia Meyers as a delegate to the NUCEA topic selection conference. TFA also assumed full partnership with TSCA in promoting speech curriculum concerns in the state.

In 1979, Lincoln Douglas Debate was added as a national qualifying event. A major constitutional revision was initiated, and in 1981, an AD Hoc Committee for TFA Scholarships was formed. In 1982, TFA voted to make the NUCEA Committee on Topic Selection a standing committee with the charge to prepare research studies each year for the topic selection process. A Lincoln-Douglas Topic Selection Committee was formed and charged with formulating L-D topics each year for use in TFA qualifying and State tournaments.

Many of the goals of the charter members of TFA were realized in its first ten years of existence. The focus of the association moved from that of providing a national qualifying tournament to that of becoming a force in the broad range of professional concerns: competitive, curricular, and professional.

1985-1986 provided new challenges for all student organizations with the passage of House Bill 72. Again, TFA weathered the storm of the new regulations by adapting the invitational tournament schedules and shifting the State Tournament from a three-day format to a two-day schedule. 1987-1988 saw the TFA taking a leadership
position in establishing the importance of forensics in Texas under state guidelines. As a result, speech was accepted as a fine art credit qualifying it for the advanced seal requirement set by the State of Texas. Domestic and Foreign Extemporaneous Speaking replaced the Men’s and Women’s divisions.

In 1988-1989, the State Tournament grew as Supplemental Events were added on a trial basis, and in 1989-1990, they became a permanent addition to the tournament schedule. On a national level, the debate topic drafted by the TFA representative to the NUCEA topic selection conference was adopted as the official national topic for 1989-1990. A constitutional revision in 1988-1989 established a committee to select Emeritus Life Members to be honored for significant contributions to the association. The first members of this select group were honored at the 1989 convention. Charter members named were Jean Boles, Ed Brower, Opal Hall, and Dell McComb. At the 1989 convention, the membership voted to no longer require members to join the AFA.

In 1991, TFA became an incorporated organization. This guaranteed our tax-exempt status and helped to streamline accounting procedures. During this same year, the term of service by TFA officers was changed to coincide with the completion of the state tournament, allowing for a smoother transition in administrations and more preparation time for convention planning. An amendment was passed at convention providing for a rotational system for the location of the State Tournament, and efforts were begun to reduce the size of the State Tournament to provide the highest quality competition. Changes made from 1992 from 1994 included the establishment of five regions instead of four, returning to the three-day format for the State Tournament, establishment of a State Tournament Sweepstakes, and a commitment to new technology with the purchase of new computer equipment.

In 1997, the qualification procedure was changed to a point system in an effort to limit the size of entries. The points began at six, and then moved to eight. As participation in Student Congress continued to increase, a Semifinal Congress was added to the State Tournament.

The membership of the organization has grown steadily each year with more than 420 professional members in the 1997-1998 Silver Anniversary year. The Association continues to set high standards and strive to meet new goals for the benefit of Texas teachers and students. The model of the Texas Forensic Association has been recommended for other states where similar needs are felt.

As TFA begins its second quarter century, the future is secure. TFA is founded in positive goals and fosters a cooperative relationship between high school and college coaches.
# Hall of Fame Life Members

*(previously “Emeritus,” changed 2014)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jean Boles</td>
<td>Anne Rains Hayden</td>
<td>Roberta Grenfell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed Brower</td>
<td>Jim Long</td>
<td>Bruce Garner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opal Hall</td>
<td>Carla McGee</td>
<td>Barbara Garner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dell McComb</td>
<td>David Reins</td>
<td>Sandra Greene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elected 1990</td>
<td>Elected 2000</td>
<td>Elected in 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vernon McGuire</td>
<td>Ed Thompson</td>
<td>Connie Aufdembrink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Horace Griffin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Debbie Dehlinger</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Judy Carter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paula Moeller Fisher</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elected 1994</td>
<td>Elected 2001</td>
<td>Elected in 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill English</td>
<td>Miff Mendoza</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maridell Fryar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee Polk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elected 1995</td>
<td>Elected 2003</td>
<td>Elected in 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carl Adkins</td>
<td>Rita Harlan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackie Jarrett</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanny Naegelin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elected 1997</td>
<td>Elected in 2006</td>
<td>Elected in 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linda Donnell</td>
<td>Elizabeth White</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judy Dorsett</td>
<td>David Johnson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Myers</td>
<td>Ira Evers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guy Paul Yates</td>
<td>Tanya Evers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elected 1998</td>
<td>John O’Neill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ron Dodson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy Lucaa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.J. Naegelin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mildred Peveto</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerson Turner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elected 1998</td>
<td>Elected in 2007</td>
<td>Elected in 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sandy Schneider</td>
<td>Mary Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cheryl Ryne</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dr. Kerry Moore</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elected in 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td>Elected in 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Larry Balfre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Carolyn DeLaCoeur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>George Grice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Charlotte English Joyner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Margo Kendrick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Johnny Mitchell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Paul Newman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alan Shumate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elected in 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Glenda Ferguson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Janet Melton</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hall of Fame

**Elected 2006**  
Randy Ellis  
Cindi Timmons  
David Baker  
J.E. Masters

**Elected 2007**  
Kandi King  
Bill Schuetz

**Elected 2008**  
Joe Trevino  
Charlotte Brown  
Ann Shofner

**Elected 2009**  
Aaron Timmons  
Dave Huston  
Gary Boeger  
Barbara McCain  
Shawn Mena  
Karen Wilbanks

**Elected 2010**

**Elected 2011**

**Elected 2012**  
Robert Shepard  
Kim Falco  
Billye Lucas  
Cheryl Potts

**Elected 2013**  
Lee Ann Ince  
Michael Merritt  
Jane Boyd  
Sammy Green

**Elected 2014**  
Diane Forbes  
Brent Hinkle  
Eloise Blair  
Dr. Rich Edwards

**Elected 2015**  
Chris Agee  
Dan Lingel  
Kay Magill

**Elected 2016**  
Tim Cook  
Connie McKee  
Terri Robinson  
Sally Squibb
TFA Leadership

1972-73: Dr. Bill English, President; Dr. Lee Polk, Vice President; Georgiana Sims, Secretary; John Crain, Treasurer; Jerry Knight, Maridell Fryar, Alan Shumate, Rev. Paul Duffey, Emerson Turner, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Baylor University.

1973-74: Mary Alice Taker, President; Nancy DePuy, Vice President; Jackie Jarrett, Secretary; John Crain, Treasurer; Georgiana Sims, IQT Coordinator. State Tournament – Baylor University.

1974-75: Maridell Fryar, President; Bill Henderson, Vice President; Carla McGee, Secretary, John Crain, Treasurer; Johnny Mitchell, IQT Coordinator; Virginia Myers, J.E. Masters, Georgiana Sims, Danny Jennings, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Baylor University.

1975-76: Carla McGee, President; J.E. Masters, Vice President; Jean Boles, Secretary; Ed Brower, Treasurer; Beverly Wakefield, IQT Coordinator; Virginia Myers, Dorothy Huffstutler, Johnny Mitchell, David Rien, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Odessa College.

1976-77: Jean Boles, Secretary; Ed Brower, Treasurer; Dorothy Huffstutler, Johnny Mitchell, David Rien, James Buchanan, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Baylor University.

1977-78: Alan Shumate, President; George Grice, Vice President; Jean Boles, Secretary; Johnny Mitchell, Treasurer; Brent Northrum, IQT Coordinator; James Buchanan, Dorothy Huffstutler, Pat Garman, David Rien, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Odessa College.

1978-79: Lanny Naegelin, President; Virginia Myers, Vice President; Judy Carter, Secretary; Judy Dorsett, Treasurer; Roz Laves, IQT Coordinator; Jackie Jarrett, Blair Lybbert, Ira Evers, Roz Laves, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – The University of Texas at Arlington.

1979-80: Judy Dorsett, President; Maridell Fryar, Vice President; Ira Evers, Secretary; Jean Boles, Treasurer; Roz Laves, IQT Coordinator; Jackie Jarrett, J.E. Masters, Johnny Mitchell, David Rien, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – The University of Texas at Arlington.

1980-81: Lanny Naegelin, President; Horace Griffin, Vice President; Carolyn DeLecour, Secretary; Don Blankenship, Treasurer; Judy Qualls, IQT Coordinator; Carolyn Ewing, J.E. Masters, Sandra Richmond, David Rien, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – The University of Texas at Arlington.

1981-82: J.E. Masters, President; David Thomas, Vice President; Jackie Jarrett, Secretary; Debbie Dehlinger, Treasurer; Linda Donnell, IQT Coordinator; Carolyn Ewing, Paul Newman, Sandra Richmond, Margo Kendrick, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – The University of Houston.

1982-83: Debbie Dehlinger, President; Carl Adkins, Vice President; Linda Donnell, Secretary; Charlotte English, Treasurer; Karen McGlashen, IQT Coordinator; Ann Shofner, Paul Newman, Ruth Zurate, Margo Kendrick, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Richfield High School, Waco.

1983-84: Jackie Jarrett, President; Margo Kendrick, Vice President; Ann Shofner, Secretary; Charlotte English, Treasurer; Ron Dodson, IQT Coordinator; Stuart Baker, Ruth Zurate, Larry Balfe, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Westlake High School, Austin.

1984-85: Margo Kendrick, President; Horace Griffin, Vice President; Debie Brantley, Secretary; Ron Dodson, Treasurer; Kandi King, IQT Coordinator; Bob Fennell, Sandy Lusas, Larry Balfe, Regional Representatives. State
Tournament – Roosevelt High School, San Antonio.

1985-86: Ann Shofner, President; Treva Dayton, Vice President; Jane Eichen, Secretary; Ron Dodson, Treasurer; Kandi King, IQT Coordinator; Bob Fennell, Debbie Brantley, Stan Klein, Cheryl Ryne, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Central High School, San Angelo.

1986-87: Mildred Peveto, President; Paula Moeller, Vice President; Kerry Moore, Secretary; Kandi King, Treasurer; Mark Evans, IQT Coordinator; Lacreitia Marsh, Sandy Schneider, Debbie Brantley, Cheryl Ryne, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – R.L. Turner High School, Carrollton.

1987-88: Paula Moeller, President; David Baker, Vice President; Mark Evans, Secretary; Kandi King, Treasurer; Anne Raines, IQT Coordinator; Lacreitia Mash, Sandy Schneider, Mechelle Sexton, Valleri Speer, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Westlake High School, Austin.

1988-89: David Baker, President; Jim Long, Vice President; Cindi Dittrich, Secretary; Kandi King, Treasurer; Bill Tedford, IQT Coordinator; Shawn Crain, Susan Hedger, Mechelle Sexton Bryson, Valleri Speer, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Winston Churchill High School, San Antonio.

1989-90: Jim Long, President; Anne Raines, Vice President; Cindi Dittrich LaMendola, Secretary; Kandi King, Treasurer; Betsy Geery, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Shawn Crain Mena, Susan Hedger, Debbie Brantley Ladis, Wayne Paulus, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Robert E. Lee High School, San Antonio.

1990-91: Anne Raines, President; Randy Ellis, Vice President; Lois Davis, Secretary; Kandi King, Treasurer; Betsy Geery, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Joe Willis, Debbie Ladis, Wayne Paulus, Pam Hummel, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Langham Creek High School, Houston.

1991-92: Anne Raines, President; Randy Ellis, Vice President; Lois Davis, Secretary; Kandi King, Treasurer; Betsy Geery, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Joe Willis, Debbie Ladis, Gay Hollis, Pam Hummel, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Hanks High School, El Paso.

1992-93: Randy Ellis, President; Ron Dodson, Vice President; Betsy Geery, Secretary; Kandi King, Treasurer; Barbara Garner, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Joe Willis, Pam Hummel, Carla Ford, Gay Hollis, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Lewisville High School, Lewisville.

1993-94: Ron Dodson, President; Gay Hollis/Cindy LaMendola, Vice President; Charlotte Brown, Secretary; Kandi King, Treasurer; Barbara Garner, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Connie McKee, Carla Ford, David Thweatt, Teresa Lee, Lynda Melanson, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Clark High School, San Antonio.

1994-95: Cindi LaMendola, President; David Thweatt, Vice President; Charlotte Brown, Secretary; Kandi King, Treasurer; Ken Ogden, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Connie McKee, Sally Tate, Elizabeth White, Teresa Lee, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Dulles High School, Houston.

1995-96: David Thweatt, President; Elizabeth White, Vice President; Becky Hodges, Secretary; Kandi King, Treasurer; Ken Ogden, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Julie Fuller, Bruce Garner, Terri Robinson, Michael Thompson, Lynda Melanson, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Hanks High School, El Paso.

1996-97: Elizabeth White, President; Kandi King, Vice President; Becky Hodges, Secretary; Terri Robinson, Treasurer; Ken Ogden, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Julie Fuller, Sandra Shelton, Tom Ray, Michael Thompson, Billye Lucas, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Monterey High School, Lubbock.
1997-98: Kandi King, President; Barbara Garner, Vice President; Heath Dixon, Secretary; Teri Robinson, Treasurer; Lisa Barnett, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Lana Hall, Sandra Shelton, Tom Ray, Michael Thompson, Billye Lucas, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Colleyville Heritage High School, Colleyville.

1998-99: Barbara Garner, President; Tom Ray, Vice President; Heather Sands, Secretary; Bill Schuetz, Treasurer; Lisa Barnett, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Lana Hall, Sandra Shelton, Joseph Johnson, Mariann Fedrizzi, Billye Lucas, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Cypress Falls High School, Houston.

1999-2000: Tom Ray, President; Lisa Barnett, Vice President; Barbara McCain, Secretary; Bill Schuetz, Treasurer; Dixie Waldo, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Tricia Evans, Diane Forbes, Joseph Johnson, Mariann Fedrizzi, Billye Lucas, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Bel Air High School, El Paso.

2000-01: Lisa Barnett, President; Gary Boeger, Vice President; Barbara McCain, Secretary; Bill Schuetz, Treasurer; Barbara Garner, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Tricia Bass, Diane Forbes, Kandi King, Mariann Fedrizzi, Billye Lucas, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Ronald Reagan High School, San Antonio.

2001-02: Gary Boeger, President; Randy Ellis, Vice President; Barbara McCain, Secretary; Bill Schuetz, Treasurer; Cindi Saukel, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Elyane Patridge, Diane Forbes, Kandi King, Mariann Fedrizzi, Billye Lucas, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Lubbock High School, Lubbock.

2002-03: Randy Ellis, President; Keith Townsend, Vice President; David Huston, Secretary; Bill Schuetz, Treasurer; Cindi Saukel, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Elyane Patridge, Diane Forbes, Kandi King, Rhonda Bell, Billye Lucas, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Highland Park High School, Dallas.

2003-04: Keith Townsend, President; Rosemary Kinkaid, Vice President; David Huston, Secretary; Bill Schuetz, Treasurer; Cindi Saukel, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Elyane Patridge, Diane Forbes, Terri Robinson, Rhonda Bell, Billye Lucas, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Bryan High School, Bryan.

2004-05: Rosemary Kinkaid, President; Dixie Waldo, Vice President; David Huston, Secretary; Bill Schuetz, Treasurer; Cindi Saukel, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Elyane Patridge, Diane Forbes, Terri Robinson, Rhonda Bell, Billye Lucas, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Bel Air High School, El Paso.

2005-06: Dixie Waldo, President; Aaron Timmons, Vice President; David Huston, Secretary; Bill Schuetz, Treasurer; Alicia Elliott, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Carol Hildebrand, Diane Forbes, Erin Stage, Rhonda Bell, Shawn Mena, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Pharr-San Juan-Alamo High School, San Juan.

2006-07: Aaron Timmons, President; Rhonda Bell, Vice President; Brent Hinkle, Secretary; Bill Schuetz, Treasurer; Alicia Dunson, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Carol Hildebrand, Jason Sykes, Erin Stage, Gary Boeger, Shawn Mena, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Creekview High School, Carrollton.

2007-08: Rhonda Bell, President; Bill Schuetz, Vice President; Brent Hinkle, Secretary; David Gardiner, Treasurer; Alicia Dunson, IQT Coordinator; Sammy Green, Archivist; Carol Hildebrand, Jason Sykes, Erin Stage, Adam Woodward, Yolanda Silva, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Coppell High School, Coppell.

2008-09: W.E. Schuetz, President; Karen Wilbanks, Vice President; Brent Hinkle, Secretary; David Gardiner, Treasurer; Alicia Dunson, IQT Coordinator; Sammy Green, Archivist; Carol Hildebrand, Jason Sykes, Erin Stage, Adam Woodward, Yolanda Silva, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Cy Fair ISD, Houston.
2009-10: Karen Wilbanks, President; Robert Shepard, Vice President; Raul Ruiz, Secretary; David Gardiner, Treasurer; Alicia Dunson, IQT Coordinator; Sammy Green, Archivist; Carol Hildebrand, Diane Forbes, Kirsten Nash, Adam Woodward, Yolanda Silva, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Ysleta ISD, El Paso.

2010-11: Robert Shepard, President; Shawn Mena, Vice President; Scott Baker, Secretary; Cindi Havron, Treasurer; Alicia Dunson, IQT Coordinator; Sammy Green, Archivist; Carol Hildebrand, Eric Mears, Kirsten Nash, Debbie Waddell, Yolanda Silva, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Flower Mound. High School, Lewisville.

2011-12: Shawn Mena, President; Heath Martin, Vice President; Scott Baker, Secretary; Cindi Havron, Treasurer; Grant Hahn, IQT Coordinator; Sammy Green, Archivist; Carol Hildebrand, Eric Mears, Kirsten Nash, Debbie Waddell, Yolanda Silva, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Amarillo High School, Amarillo.

2012-2013: Heath Martin, President; Joseph Uhler, Vice President; Scott Baker, Secretary; Jason Warren, Treasurer; Grant Hahn, IQT Coordinator; Robert Shepard, Archivist; Mellessa Denny, Wendi Brandenburg, Kirsten Nash, Debbie Waddell, Yolanda Silva, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Grand Prairie High School, South Grand Prairie.

2013-2014: Joseph Uhler, President; Kirsten Nash, Vice President; Scott Baker, Secretary; Jason Warren, Treasurer, Grant Hahn, IQT Coordinator; Robert Shepard, Archivist; Mellessa Denny, Wendi Brandenburg, John Mast, Debbie Waddell, Yolanda Silva, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Cypress Creek High School, Cypress Fairbanks.

2014-2015: Kirsten Nash, President; Gay Hollis, Vice President; Scott Baker, Secretary; Jason Warren, Treasurer, Grant Hahn, IQT Coordinator; Robert Shepard, Archivist; Mellessa Denny, Wendi Brandenburg, John Mast, Debbie Waddell, Yolanda Silva, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Franklin High School, El Paso.

2015-2016: Gay Hollis, President; Perry Beard, Vice President; Wendi Brandenburg, Secretary; Jason Warren, Treasurer, Grant Hahn, IQT Coordinator; Robert Shepard, Archivist; Ryan Lovell, Rhonda Smith, John Mast, Debbie Waddell, Yolanda Silva, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Hendrickson High School, Pflugerville.

2016-2017: Noah Recker, President; Perry Beard, Vice President; Wendi Brandenburg, Secretary; Jason Warren, Treasurer, Grant Hahn, IQT Coordinator; Kirsten Nash, Archivist; Ryan Lovell, Rhonda Smith, John Mast, Debbie Waddell, Yolanda Silva, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Plano West Senior High School, Plano.
# TFA State Champions

*If you have any missing information, please contact Archivist Robert Shepard or your Region Representative so that our records may be completed.*

## Humorous Interpretation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Winner</th>
<th>School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1974-77</td>
<td>No information available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>Greg Baldwin</td>
<td>Spring HS, Spring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>Paul Vela</td>
<td>Jefferson HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>Kyndal May</td>
<td>Newman Smith HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>Steven Crabtree</td>
<td>Newman Smith HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>John Matthews</td>
<td>McAllen HS, McAllen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>Chris Welch</td>
<td>MacArthur HS, Irving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>Lyra Barrera</td>
<td>Gregory-Portland HS, Portland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>Audra Hans</td>
<td>Newman Smith HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>Ben McDonald</td>
<td>Klein HS, Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>Paul DeCrodova</td>
<td>Gregory-Portland HS, Portland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Gwen Templeton</td>
<td>Klein HS, Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>Mark Town</td>
<td>Duncanville HS, Duncanville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>Greg McFadden</td>
<td>Klein HS, Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Michael Frederico</td>
<td>Vines HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Demond Wilson</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Trevor Wood</td>
<td>Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Brad Goertz</td>
<td>Klein HS, Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Mark Banks</td>
<td>Arlington HS, Arlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Michael Washington</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Leah Chaney</td>
<td>Gregory-Portland HS, Portland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Dan Charbaneau</td>
<td>Texas Military Institute, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Marco Ramirez</td>
<td>Gregory-Portland HS, Portland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Robert Sublett</td>
<td>Bishop HS, Kingsville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Robert Sublett</td>
<td>Bishop HS, Kingsville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Buddy Hardt</td>
<td>Foster HS, Foster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Cody Kirkpatrick</td>
<td>Gregory-Portland HS, Portland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Alice Wiesner</td>
<td>Klein HS, Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Chase Williamson</td>
<td>Carroll Senior HS, Southlake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Reggie Talley</td>
<td>Klein Forest HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>A J Galvan</td>
<td>W.B. Ray HS, Corpus Christi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Brett Gray</td>
<td>Cypress Ridge HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Landry Ayres</td>
<td>Grapevine HS, Grapevine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Tommy Waas</td>
<td>Klein HS, Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Marah Wilson</td>
<td>Grapevine HS, Grapevine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Michael Ferguson</td>
<td>Creekview HS, Carrolton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>John Biebighauser</td>
<td>Grapevine HS, Grapevine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Dramatic Interpretation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Winner</th>
<th>School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1974-77</td>
<td>No information available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>Greg Baldwin</td>
<td>Spring HS, Spring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>Thomas Bruner</td>
<td>Jefferson HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>Dee Dee Green</td>
<td>Newman Smith HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>Janay Ferguson</td>
<td>Highland Park HS, Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>Natalie Staley</td>
<td>Newman Smith HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>Brian Hoffman</td>
<td>Newman Smith HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>Lyra Barrera</td>
<td>Gregory-Portland HS, Portland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>Kent Lanier</td>
<td>Klein HS, Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>Sarah Fisch</td>
<td>Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Bruce Smith</td>
<td>Duncanville HS, Duncanville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>Jennifer Mueller</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>Christy Vannoy</td>
<td>Newman Smith HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Jenny Hall</td>
<td>Westlake HS, Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Jeni Seaman</td>
<td>Klein HS, Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>David Hornsby</td>
<td>The Kinkaid School, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Sue Cerreta</td>
<td>Klein HS, Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Jared Coseglia</td>
<td>Newman Smith HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Nathan Fraling</td>
<td>South HS, Harlingen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Angela Grovey</td>
<td>Elkins HS, Elkins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Blake Walker</td>
<td>Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Stephanie Cerreta</td>
<td>Klein HS, Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Chris Grant</td>
<td>Denton Senior HS, Denton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Meg Fee</td>
<td>The Kinkaid School, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Ashley Gay</td>
<td>Creekview HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Bianca Anderson</td>
<td>Duncanville HS, Duncanville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>D'Angelo Lacy</td>
<td>Creekview HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Ace Heckathorn</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Alex Hardaway</td>
<td>James Martin HS, Arlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Lawryn LaCroix</td>
<td>Creekview HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Lawryn LaCroix</td>
<td>Creekview HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Jake McCready</td>
<td>Coppell HS, Coppell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Keegan Latham</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Robert Jackson</td>
<td>Alief-Hastings HS, Alief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Aldean Pearson II</td>
<td>Mansfield HS, Mansfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Abigail Onwunali</td>
<td>Alief-Hastings HS, Alief</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Extemporaneous Speaking (Men's and Women's)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>Kenneth Marks</td>
<td>Bellaire HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>Collyn Peddie</td>
<td>Bellaire HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>David Dow</td>
<td>Bellaire HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>Kelly Coyner</td>
<td>Bellaire HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>Denise Huddle</td>
<td>Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mark Kolitz</td>
<td>Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>Linda O'Connor</td>
<td>Roosevelt HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mark Taylor</td>
<td>Humble HS, Humble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>Sheri Brown</td>
<td>Jefferson HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mike Gagliardi</td>
<td>Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>Cathy Palczewski</td>
<td>Robert E. Lee HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gene Spears</td>
<td>Cypress Creek HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>Rajesh Aggarwal</td>
<td>Bellaire HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>Kim Wulfie</td>
<td>Robert E. Lee HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>Becky Buckman</td>
<td>Kingwood HS, Humble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Joey Profaizer</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>Barbara Wall</td>
<td>Kingwood HS, Humble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Steve Hill</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Foreign Extemporaneous Speaking

1988  Michael Tomz  Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
1989  Suzie Sprague  Plano Senior HS, Plano
1990  Christina Rodriguez  Robert E. Lee HS, San Antonio
1991  Alison Tedor  Robert E. Lee HS, San Antonio
1992  Michelle Ganow  Humble HS, Humble
1993  Robby Root  MacArthur HS, San Antonio
1994  Wade Coriel  Cypress Creek HS, Houston
1995  Jason Earle  MacArthur HS, San Antonio
1996  Caleb McDaniel  Clark HS, San Antonio
1997  Anjan Choudhury  Taylor HS, Katy
1998  Rajit Marwah  Alief-Hastings HS, Alief
1999  Sean Yom  Kingwood HS, Kingwood
2000  Bryan Gray  Lewisville HS, Lewisville
2001  Emily Scheer  Calallen HS, Calallen
2002  Lily Wang  Plano East Senior HS, Plano
2003  Jeff Geels  Carroll HS, Southlake
2004  Jeff Geels  Carroll HS, Southlake
2005  Jeff Geels  Carroll HS, Southlake
2006  Christine Halbert  Carroll HS, Southlake
2007  Samin Agha  Alief Taylor High School, Houston
2008  Dillon Huff  Carroll Senior HS, Southlake
2009  Kate Moynski  Coppell High School, Coppell
2010  Dillon Huff  Carroll Senior HS, Southlake
2011  Lavanya Sunder  Lamar HS, Houston
2012  Arvind Venkataraman  Carroll Senior HS, Southlake
2013  Cyrus Ghaznavi  Parish Episcopal School, Addison
2014  Abhinav Sridharan  Plano Senior HS, Plano

Domestic Extemporaneous Speaking

1988  Steve Hill  Plano Senior HS, Plano
1989  Heath Dixon  Plano East Senior HS, Plano
1990  Stefanie Rosenthal  Robert E. Lee HS, San Antonio
1991  Christina Rodriguez  Robert E. Lee HS, San Antonio
1992  Matthew Whitley  Central HS, San Angelo
1993  K.C. Allen  Uvalde HS, Uvalde
1994  Lisa Tsai  Jersey Village HS, Houston
1995  Win Hayes  Klein HS, Klein
1996  Jim Hawkins  Dulles HS, Sugarland
1997  Ryan Cunningham  Robert E. Lee HS, San Antonio
1998  Justine Fisher  Robert E. Lee HS, San Antonio
1999  Jason Warren  Plano Senior HS, Plano
2000  Amanda Knight  Friendswood HS, Friendswood
2001  Amanda Knight  Friendswood HS, Friendswood
2002  Amanda Knight  Friendswood HS, Friendswood
2003  Weston Elkins  Lamar Consolidated, Lamar
2004  Weston Elkins  Lamar Consolidated, Lamar
2005  Joanna Smolenski  Plano West Senior HS, Plano
2006  Mark Alan Isaacson  Bay City High School, Bay City
2007  Tex Dawson  Plano West Senior HS, Plano
2008  Nick Cugini  Cypress Ridge HS, Houston
2009  Nick Cugini  Cypress Ridge HS, Houston
2010  Claire Daviss  Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
2011  Shikha Garg  Plano Senior HS, Plano
2012  Zachary Stone  Plano West Senior HS, Plano
Original Oratory

1974 Neal Amsden Bellaire HS, Houston
1975-78 No Data
1979 Henry Samelson Jefferson HS, San Antonio
1980
1981
1982 Mike Chocon Amarillo HS, Amarillo
1983 Bill Thompson Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
1984
1985
1986 Emily Stouffer Newman Smith HS, Carrollton
1987 Debra Rodriguez Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
1988 Valerie Funkhouser Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
1989 Troy Wolverton Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
1990 David Greenstone R.L. Turner HS, Carrollton
1991 Lauren Hodges Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
1992 Ryan Breidenbach Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
1993 Trevor Wood Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
1994 Allison Webster The Kincaid School, Houston
1995 Matt Pierce McCullough HS, Conroe
1996 Maya Buryakovsky The Kincaid School, Houston
1997 Ashley Lucas Bowie HS, El Paso
1998 Danny Lutman Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
1999 Ryan Short Plano Senior HS, Plano
2000 Joe Williams Cypress Falls HS, Houston
2001 Michael Woywood South HS, Harlingen
2002 Melissa Messer Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
2003 Melissa Messer Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
2004 Kari Wohlschlegel Kingwood High School
2005 Danny Carissimi Carroll HS, Southlake
2006 Nicole Kreisberg Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
2007 Eddie Gamboa Bel Air HS, El Paso
2008 Mario Nguyen Plano Senior HS, Plano
2009 Morgan Booksh McNeil HS, Round Rock
2010 Matthew King Creekview HS, Carrollton
2011 Michael McBride Plano Senior HS, Plano
2012 Paige LaNasa Centennial HS, Frisco
2013 Billy Tate Plano Senior HS, Plano
2014 Sana Moti Grapevine HS, Grapevine

Duet Acting

1979 Ponce & Lopez Jefferson HS, San Antonio
1980 Dee Dee Green & Kyndal May Newman Smith HS, Carrollton
1981 Traci Milburn & Tiffany Tweddle Newman Smith HS, Carrollton
1982 Daniel Escobar & John Matthews McAllen HS, McAllen
1983 John Smith & Ivette Solar Robert E. Lee HS, San Antonio
1984 Troy Wharton & Desi Doyen Newman Smith HS, Carrollton
1985
1986 Jernard Burks & Billy Griffin Eisenhower HS, Houston
1987 Curtis Bay & Laurie Norton Klein HS, Klein
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Duo/Impromptu</th>
<th>Team Members</th>
<th>School/City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>Wayne Rowe &amp; Erin Ryan</td>
<td>Clear Lake HS, Clear Lake</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Kent Kubena &amp; Marshall York</td>
<td>Clear Lake HS, Clear Lake</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>Jennifer Kinard &amp; Kent Kubena</td>
<td>Clear Lake HS, Clear Lake</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>Gret McFadden &amp; Michelle Courtney</td>
<td>Klein HS, Klein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Brad Miles &amp; Haley Akridge</td>
<td>Dumas HS, Dumas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Phillip Gonzales &amp; Adam McCormick</td>
<td>Klein HS, Klein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>David Hornsby &amp; Brooke Herzstein</td>
<td>The Kincaid School, Houston</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Shauna Kinney &amp; Hillary Smith</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Jared Coseglia &amp; Brooke Herzstein</td>
<td>Newman Smith HS, Carrollton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Andrew Fenack &amp; Chris Cardenas</td>
<td>Madison HS, San Antonio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Hunter Wood &amp; Eric Steele</td>
<td>Highland Park HS, Dallas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Hunter Wood &amp; Eric Steele</td>
<td>Highland Park HS, Dallas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Steven Leyva &amp; William Cunningham</td>
<td>Booker T. Washington HS, Houston</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Chris Grant &amp; Amy Windle</td>
<td>Denton Senior HS, Denton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Coit Scharringhausen &amp; Jennifer Lovell</td>
<td>Creekview HS, Carrollton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Maggie Hope &amp; Dylan Vandam</td>
<td>Westlake HS, Austin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Patrick Morgan &amp; Mike Pivowar</td>
<td>Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Bridget Skaggs &amp; Andrew Ryder</td>
<td>Carroll HS, Southlake</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Cortney MacNeil &amp; Natalie Baker</td>
<td>Harlingen South HS, Harlingen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Lindsley Howard &amp; Darry Hearon</td>
<td>Elkins HS, Missouri City</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Sarah Lavere &amp; Forrest Weber</td>
<td>Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Andrew Asper &amp; Jennifer Tate</td>
<td>Ronald Reagan HS, San Antonio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Nico Bonacci &amp; Paige Kerr</td>
<td>St. Mary’s Hall, San Antonio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Lauren Rutherford &amp; Travis Tope</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Deshawn Weston &amp; Anissa Torres</td>
<td>Grand Prairie HS, Grand Prairie</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Dakota Ratliff &amp; Cody Vann</td>
<td>Ryan HS, Denton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Andrew Ratliff &amp; Drake Lawson</td>
<td>Guyer HS, Denton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Duo Interpretation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Duo/Impromptu</th>
<th>Team Members</th>
<th>School/City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Jonathan Foster &amp; Kelsi Tyler</td>
<td>Klein HS, Klein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Sarah Lavere &amp; Forrest Weber</td>
<td>Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Patrick McDonald &amp; Kathrine Daugherty</td>
<td>Mansfield HS, Mansfield</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Sarah Baston &amp; Landry Ayres</td>
<td>Grapevine HS, Grapevine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Julian Flores &amp; Juan Martinez</td>
<td>Grand Prairie HS, Grand Prairie</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Devin Hunt &amp; Beaven Waller</td>
<td>Mansfield HS, Mansfield</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Chris Jefferson &amp; Keith Machekanyanga</td>
<td>South Grand Prairie HS, Grand Prairie</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Seis Steves &amp; Emma Barnett</td>
<td>St. Mary’s Hall, San Antonio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Impromptu**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Duo/Impromptu</th>
<th>Team Members</th>
<th>School/City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>Rajan Shah</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>Michael Day</td>
<td>Lamar HS, Arlington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Michael Day</td>
<td>Lamar HS, Arlington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Justin Deabler</td>
<td>Kingwood HS, Kingwood</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Katie Perkins</td>
<td>Cooper HS, Abilene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Tejal Shah</td>
<td>Grapevine HS, Grapevine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Hana Sharif</td>
<td>Cypress Creek HS, Houston</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Vairavan Subramanian</td>
<td>Alief-Elsik HS, Alief</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Steve Peterson</td>
<td>Kingwood HS, Kingwood</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Keith Leventhal</td>
<td>Robert E. Lee HS, Midland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Megan Hall</td>
<td>South HS, Harlingen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Ryan Lovell</td>
<td>Tascosa HS, Amarillo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Katie Whidden</td>
<td>Abilene HS, Abilene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Zach Sumners</td>
<td>Monsignor Kelly High School, Beaumont</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2004  Drew Pfannmiller  Frenship High School, Frenship
2005  Ben Briscoe  Pampa High School, Pampa
2006  Marin Mueller  Plano West Senior HS, Plano
2007  Nicholas Brown  Hightower High School, Missouri City
2008  Ryan Aguirre  Gregory-Portland HS, Portland
2009  Sachin Shah  Plano Senior High School, Plano
2010  Avis Rodriguez  Cypress Woods HS, Houston
2011  Nick Brown  Bishop HS, Bishop
2012  Alex Pustelnik  Lake Travis HS, Austin
2013  John William VanDerSchans  Centennial HS, Frisco
2014  Sagar Segal  Summit International Prep., Arlington

Prose/Poetry

1990  Joe Walters (Prose)  Gregory-Portland HS, Portland
1991  Sophie Snodgres (Prose)  R.L. Turner HS, Carrollton
1992  Stacie Slaughter (Prose)  Amarillo HS, Amarillo
1993  Erin Greene (Poetry)  Sanford-Fitch HS, Sanford
1994  Robin Benson (Prose)  Duncanville HS, Duncanville
1995  Coung Nguyen (Poetry)  Central HS, San Angelo
1996  Kala Sanchez (Prose)  McNeil HS, Round Rock
1997  Kristin Kerbow (Poetry)  Central HS, San Angelo
1998  Andrea Gatelum (Prose)  Westlake HS, Austin
1999  Jennifer Frank (Poetry)  Gregory-Portland HS, Portland
2000  Trent Meyer (Prose)  Carroll HS, Southlake
2001  Katy Johnson (Poetry)  South HS, Harlingen
2002  Wayetu Moore (Prose)  Spring HS, Spring
2003  Nathan Barrientes (Poetry)  Bishop HS, Bishop
2004  Nathan Barrientes (POI)  Bishop HS, Bishop
2005  Alex Bergfors (Poetry)  Gregory Portland High School, Portland
2006  Grant Neal (Prose)  Bay City High School, Bay City
2007  Caitlin Taylor (Poetry)  Colleyville Heritage High School, Colleyville
2008  Sarah Mullinix (Prose)  Westlake HS, Austin
2009  Doha Hussein (Poetry)  Hanks High School, El Paso
2010  Tretitia Hobbs (Prose)  Hightower HS, Missouri City
2011  Elliot Garza (Prose)  Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
2012  Deborah Corpening (Poetry)  St. Michael’s Academy, Austin
2013  Katherine McDoniel (Prose)  Westlake HS, Austin
2014  Matthew Stone (Poetry)  Roysie City HS, Roysie City
2015  Cody Elrich (Prose)  South Grand Prairie HS, Grand Prairie
2016  Mahalia Tutuwaa Agyepong (Poetry)  Hightower HS, Sugar Land
2017  Carly Suhr (Prose)  Centennial HS, Frisco
2018  Alonna Ray (Poetry)  Centennial HS, Frisco

Public Forum Debate

2009  Shreya Balhara & Hamsika Chandrasekar  Clements High School, Sugarland
2010  Shikha Garg & Akshay Narayanan (co-champ.)  Plano Senior HS, Plano
2011  Kushal Gulati & Shahid Ahmed (co-champ.)  Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
2012  Patrick Beckwith & Shyam Prasad  Kempner HS, Sugar Land
2013  Eric Diep & Brandon Daniels  Parish Episcopal School, Addison
2014  Cyrus Ghaznavi & Sahil Vanjani  Lamar HS, Houston
Lincoln-Douglas Debate

1981  Todd Adkins  Plano Senior HS, Plano
1982  David Black  Deer Park HS, Deer Park
1983  Kelly Franklin  Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
1984  
1985  
1986  Steve Hill  Shepton HS, Plano
1987  Robyn Cohen  Plano Senior HS, Plano
1988  Steve Hill  Plano Senior HS, Plano
1989  Steve Gray  Plano East Senior HS, Plano
1990  John Shannon  Greenhill School, Addison
1991  Seth Perisho  Newman Smith HS, Dallas
1992  Jason Ciarochi  Duncanville HS, Duncanville
1993  Jenny Rudenick  Garland HS, Garland
1994  Aaron Cassidy  Garland HS, Garland
1995  Jay Conklin  Trinity HS, Euless
1996  Jim Hawkins  Dulles HS, Dulles
1997  Eric Melin  Grapevine HS, Grapevine
1998  Marc Wallenstein  Greenhill School, Addison
1999  Lindsey Jandal  Grapevine HS, Grapevine
2000  James Scott  Katy HS, Katy
2001  Stephen Babb  Texas Military Institute, San Antonio
2002  Sarah Smith (co-champion)  Georgetwon HS, Georgetown
                  Kristen Ray (co-champion)  
2003  Bryce Adams  R.L. Turner HS, Carrollton
2004  Paul Schiano  Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
2005  David Wolfish  Greenhill School, Addison
2006  Andy Werner  Strake Jesuit College Prep, Houston
2007  David McGough  Greenhill School, Addison
2008  David Donatti  Strake Jesuit College Prep, Houston
2009  Devin Race  Westlake High School, Austin
2010  Claire Daviss  Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
2011  Josh Roberts  Northland Christian, Houston
2012  Amyn Kassam  Dulles HS, Dulles
2013  Rebecca Kwang  Greenhill School, Addison
2014  Bennett Eckert  Greenhill School, Addison

Policy Debate

1974  Harold Dubinski & Kenneth Marks  Bellaire HS, Houston
1975  Brian Becker & Chris Hearn  Bellaire HS, Houston
1976  Tom Nichols & Charles Pyke  R.L. Turner HS, Carrollton
1977  Kathy Armstrong & Mark Moran  Notre Dame High School, Wichita Falls
1978  Chris Fairman & James Starr  Lanier High School, Austin
1979  Greg Ackels & Jeff Tillotson  Jesuit Preparatory School, Dallas
1980  Brian Pennington & Craig Couch  Burleson HS, Burleson
1981  Cliff Cary & Scott Edwards  Richfield HS, Waco
1982  Sam Paulos & Jeremy Ofseyer  St. Mark’s School of Texas, Dallas
1983  David Oelman & Phillip Stevenson  The Kinkaid School, Houston
1984  Rui de Figueiredo & Mike Weiss  Bellaire HS, Houston
1985  Diane Martin & Melissa Miller  Coronado HS, Lubbock
1986  David Coale & Troy Ficklin  Allen HS, Allen
1987  Jay Hudkins & Mike Tomz  Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
1988  Jeff Blum & Matt Drake  Robert E. Lee HS, San Antonio
1989  Jon Brody & Justin Shradar  The Kinkaid School, Houston
1990  Craig Ackerman & Drew Ungerma  St. Mark’s School of Texas, Dallas
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Winners</th>
<th>School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>Stapp Beeton &amp; Brett Larson-Hendricks</td>
<td>The Kinkaid School, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Brandon Fletcher &amp; Dan Kern</td>
<td>Newman Smith HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Brandon Fletcher &amp; Emily Paramore</td>
<td>Newman Smith HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Doug Moore &amp; Andy Szygenda (co-champ)</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jeremy Goldberg &amp; Marci Norton (co-champ)</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Jeremy Goldberg &amp; Walter Luh</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Lindsay Harrison &amp; Dustin Marshall (co-champ)</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rashad Hussain &amp; Josh Goldberg (champ.)</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Arif Mawji &amp; Orin Hoffman</td>
<td>The Kinkaid School, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Caitlin Talmadge &amp; Andrew Bradt</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Kate Eickmeyer &amp; Caitlin Talmadge</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asher Haig &amp; John Oden (co-champions)</td>
<td>Colleyville Heritage HS, Colleyville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ian Holmes &amp; Thomas Keane (co-champions)</td>
<td>Jesuit Preparatory School, Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Racquel Bracken &amp; Jordan Pietzsch</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Sam Ackels &amp; Anthony Jardina</td>
<td>Jesuit Preparatory School, Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Saad Hussain &amp; Maggie Ahn</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aimi Hamraie &amp; Justin Murray (co-champions)</td>
<td>Colleyville Heritage HS, Colleyville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Brandi Villarreal &amp; Sam Iola</td>
<td>Highland Park High School, Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Nick Miller &amp; David Roost</td>
<td>The Kinkaid School, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Stephen Polley &amp; Mat Andrews</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Bryant Huang &amp; Matthew Andrews</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Daniel Sharp &amp; Ryan Beiermeister</td>
<td>The Kinkaid School, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>David Mullins &amp; John Baker</td>
<td>Westlake High School, Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Carson Young &amp; Sullivan McCormick (co-champ)</td>
<td>Jesuit Preparatory School, Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Joel Diamond &amp; Ryan Gorman (champions)</td>
<td>The Kinkaid School, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Ryan Gorman &amp; Sullivan McCormick</td>
<td>Jesuit Preparatory School, Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Zach Rosenthal &amp; Ben Mitchell</td>
<td>The Kinkaid School, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Holmes Hampton &amp; Tyler Shearer</td>
<td>Highland Park HS, Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Emma Pabst &amp; Quaram Robinson</td>
<td>Cedar Ridge HS, Round Rock</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Debate Speaker Awards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Winners</th>
<th>School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Morton Barnett (CX)</td>
<td>St. Mark’s School of Texas, Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Craig Fisher (LD)</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>Steven Sklaver (CX)</td>
<td>St. Mark’s School of Texas, Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tim Martin (LD)</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>John Paul Lunn (CX)</td>
<td>Memorial HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John Stearns (LD)</td>
<td>Miller HS, Corpus Christi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Brandon Fletcher (CX)</td>
<td>Newman Smith HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cody Morrow (LD)</td>
<td>Bridgeport HS, Bridgeport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Collin Cox (CX)</td>
<td>Midway HS, Waco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meredith Armstrong (LD)</td>
<td>The Hockaday School, Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Miguel Duran (CX)</td>
<td>Carroll HS, Corpus Christi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Denise Killian (LD)</td>
<td>Lewisville HS, Lewisville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Julio Gonzales (CX)</td>
<td>Nikke Rowe HS, McAllen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autumn Smith (LD)</td>
<td>Midway HS, Waco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Joel DeLaGarza (CX)</td>
<td>Nikke Rowe HS, McAllen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan Cunningham (LD)</td>
<td>Robert E. Lee HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Rashad Hussein (CX)</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Liz Durham (LD)</td>
<td>Klein Oak HS, Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Caitlin Talmadge (CX)</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Steven Wilbur (LD)</td>
<td>Hays HS, Hays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Jessie Stuckey (CX)</td>
<td>Sugarland HS, Sugarland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Niraj Mehdiratta (LD)</td>
<td>Dulles HS, Dulles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Asher Haig (CX)</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amy Moffett (LD)</td>
<td>The Colony HS, The Colony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>CX Name</td>
<td>School/Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Eddie Ahn (CX)</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eric Wolfish (LD)</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Laura Leigh-Nix (CX)</td>
<td>Crosby HS, Crosby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frances Schendle (LD)</td>
<td>The Hockaday School, Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Adam Wright (CX)</td>
<td>McNeil High School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tyler Buxly (LD)</td>
<td>Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neil Conrad (LD)</td>
<td>Texas Military Institute, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Josh Lively (CX)</td>
<td>McCollough HS, The Woodlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jeremy Dudley (LD)</td>
<td>Mayde Creek HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Sam Iola (CX)</td>
<td>Highland Park HS, Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>David Wolfish (LD)</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Sydney Vanberg (CX)</td>
<td>Mercedes HS, Mercedes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jeremy Dudley (LD)</td>
<td>Mayde Creek HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Daniel Jung (CX)</td>
<td>Memoriaal HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Daniel Jung (CX)</td>
<td>Memoriaal HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Andrew Badachhappe</td>
<td>Clements HS, Sugar Land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Steven Murray (CX)</td>
<td>Westwood HS, Round Rock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Devin Race (LD)</td>
<td>Westlake HS, Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cody Orr/Raven McGuane (PF)</td>
<td>Lamar Consolidated, Lamar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Layne Kirshon (CX)</td>
<td>The Kinkaid School, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Megha Motgi (LD)</td>
<td>The Hockaday School, Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kevin King/Alejandro Martinez (PF)</td>
<td>Creekview HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Emily Wang (CX)</td>
<td>LASA/LBJ, Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Josh Roberts (LD)</td>
<td>Northland Christian, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shikha Garg (PF)</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Zach Rosenthal (CX)</td>
<td>The Kinkaid School, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Andrew McCormick (LD)</td>
<td>Strake Jesuit College Prep, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Priyanka Rao (PF)</td>
<td>Plano West Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Zach Rosenthal (CX)</td>
<td>The Kinkaid School, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jeremy Dang (LD)</td>
<td>Strake Jesuit College Prep, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kartik Sridhar (PF)</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Quaram Robinson (CX)</td>
<td>Cedar Ridge HS, Round Rock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shania Hunt (LD)</td>
<td>Northland Christian, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nazifa Mim (PF)</td>
<td>Cypress Falls HS, Cypress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sana Motti (IE)</td>
<td>Grapevine HS, Grapevine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Congressional Debate**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>School/Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>Louis Bonham</td>
<td>Lamar Consolidated HS, Rosenberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>Kerry Mooney</td>
<td>Coronado HS, Lubbock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>Steve Crawford</td>
<td>Westchester HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984-88</td>
<td>No DATA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Thomas Colyandro</td>
<td>Westfield HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>Wendy Saltzman</td>
<td>Robert E. Lee HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>Michael Lu</td>
<td>Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Aaron Day</td>
<td>James Martin HS, Arlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Ashley Damron</td>
<td>Westlake HS, Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Gene Bixler</td>
<td>Lewisville HS, Lewisville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Chad Dunn</td>
<td>Klein Oak HS, Spring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Tan-May Desai</td>
<td>Vines HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Liz Hong</td>
<td>James Martin HS, Arlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Jason South</td>
<td>Trinity HS, Euless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Dan Forbes</td>
<td>Connally HS, Pflugerville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Jared Tamez</td>
<td>Harlingen South HS, Harlingen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Shahzeb Gaziani</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>David Wechsler</td>
<td>Langham Creek HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Isaiah Broussard</td>
<td>Cypress Falls HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Amber Ahmed (House)</td>
<td>Kingwood HS, Kingwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>House/Senate</td>
<td>School Name/Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Jessica Ferguson (House)</td>
<td>Sandra Day O'Connor HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Will Chambers (Senate)</td>
<td>Bellaire HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Jessica Ferguson (House)</td>
<td>Sandra Day O'Connor HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Holly Moore</td>
<td>Carroll Senior HS, Southlake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Samantha Shaw (House)</td>
<td>Carroll Senior HS, Southlake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ian Jacoby (Senate)</td>
<td>Deer Park HS, Deer Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Kevin Eaton</td>
<td>Duncanville HS, Duncanville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mary Claire Parker</td>
<td>Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Louise Lu (House)</td>
<td>Bellaire HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Janus Pan (Senate)</td>
<td>Johnson HS, Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Sam Scott (House)</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rebecca Kuang (Senate)</td>
<td>Flower Mound HS, Flower Mound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>David Engleman (House)</td>
<td>Anderson HS, Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Forrest Hebron (Senate)</td>
<td>Van HS, Van</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Esteban Rodriguez-Vazquez (House)</td>
<td>La Vernia HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Azhar Hussain (Senate)</td>
<td>Carroll Senior HS, Southlake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Diane Sun (House)</td>
<td>Clements HS, Sugar Land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blake Seaman (Senate)</td>
<td>Allen HS, Allen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Daniella Cohen (House)</td>
<td>Carroll Senior HS, Southlake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sankalp Singh (Senate)</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
*Rules or Regulations in the following sections may be amended by the majority vote of the members present and voting at any annual meeting, special meeting, or mail ballot provided that copies of the Proposed amendment have been mailed to all members or placed on the official TFA website at least fourteen (14) days prior to the vote, or without prior notice by a three-fourths majority of those present and voting.

The Texas Forensic Association offers a number of speaking, literary interpretation, and debate events at Invitational Qualifying Tournaments that determine qualification to the State Tournament.

NOTE: Please consult the Invitational Qualifying Tournament Operations Manual and the State Tournament Operations Manual for tournament procedures. This document is strictly a guide to event rules and standards. The TFA Executive Council has established these rules, and often works with advisory committees on a periodic basis to review their effectiveness and educational value.
Debate Overview

Through this contest the student gains skills of analysis, perfects principles of argumentation, and learns effective research techniques in order to present the most effective case for or against a given proposition on a question of national or international implication. Because it is the belief of the Texas Forensic Association that the cross-examination format more realistically advances important debate and communication skills, all TFA team debate divisions shall follow a cross-examination format in order to provide the opportunity for Texas high school debaters to successfully perfect those skills necessary to compete nationally.

Debate, by its very nature, is public. Therefore all debates at TFA-sanctioned tournaments shall be open to the public. The right to privacy of person and the taking of personal notes for memory aid or otherwise shall not be abridged. Audience members may be excluded only for disorderly conduct that distracts contestants, lack of seating, or violations of TFA rules, as pursuant to local school policy.
Evidence Rules

Scope of Acceptable Material. Admissible evidence includes only material which has been published in written form. Information in formats which do not satisfy this criterion, such as personal correspondence or oral interviews, shall not be admissible as evidence.

Required Documentation

1. **Oral Requirements**: Where a quotation is ascribed to a particular individual(s), the name of the author(s), a reference to the qualifications of the author(s) (e.g., professional title or level or expertise in the subject area), and the date of the publication are required. Where the quotation is ascribed to an institutional source, (e.g., studies by research organizations, reference books, journalistic sources) the name of publication and date are adequate. Should the same source of material be quoted more than once in a round of competition, subsequent uses maybe presented with abbreviated citation.

2. **Written Requirements**
   a. **Bibliographic Documentation**: All participants submitting evidence in competition shall possess and present upon demand such evidence in written form. This written form must display full bibliographic source citation, even if the full citation is not orally delivered. “Full citation” includes the following elements: Author’s name, author’s qualifications, complete source information, complete date, and page number. Evidence submitted from an internet source should include the URL site.
   b. **Internal Ellipses**: Either no internal ellipses may be used in the written evidence form, or ellipses may be used in the written evidence form only if the original source or a copy of the original is present. The evidence may be read in the ellipsed form, but the entirety of the evidence must be available in one of the two ways cited. (Note: Ellipses are deletions after the first word of the quotation and before the final word.)

3. **Abrogation of Documentation Requirements**: Judges should be instructed that any evidence falling short of meeting these requirements should be afforded no legitimacy in supporting arguments.

4. **Reading of Evidence by the Judge**: Judges shall be strongly discouraged from examining evidence after round in the interest of expediting tournament operations and in the interest of maintaining the status of debate as an oral activity. Judges shall be instructed that evidence should not be reviewed if deficiency in the participants’ delivery (e.g., excessive speed or unclear enunciation) caused the lack of comprehension involved. Examples of proper instances of post-competition review of evidence include question of legitimacy or authenticity of the evidence and instances in which lack of comprehension lies beyond the fault of the student (e.g., external noises or mental lapses on the fault of the judge). Judges shall be instructed that should they decide that a given situation justifies overcoming the strong presumption against reviewing evidence they should be certain both that only evidence actually read in the round is reviewed and that additional elements of the sources reviewed that were not orally presented (e.g., qualifications not delivered in the speech) should be disregarded.

Evidence Misrepresentation

1. **Availability of Evidence**: In all debate rounds, if a team or individual debater asks to view evidence read by an opponent, the opposing team or individual is required to comply with that request at that time.
2. **Responsibility for Validity:** Each participant is responsible for the validity of all evidence s/he presents in rounds of competition.

3. **Reasonability of Debaters Challenging Evidence Validity:** Indictments or protests against the validity of evidence must be made only on substantive grounds.
   a. A challenger must have either the original source or a copy of the source cited, or
   b. A challenger must demonstrate that reasonable search has not been able to locate the source—such as copies of relevant pages of *Books in Print*, *Readers’ Guide to Periodical Literature*, etc.

4. **Definition of Misrepresentation:** Evidence is misrepresented if its origin is falsely portrayed or if it is altered so that the original intention of the author toward the issue in question is changed.

5. **Penalties for Misrepresentation:** Judges should be instructed that teams violating these standards shall automatically forfeit the round of competition in which the violation occurs.

**Use of Computers During a Round**

The use of laptop or portable computers by the competitors in debate rounds shall be allowed with the following provisions:

1. If the computer requires an insertable card in order to access a wireless connection, that card must be removed from the computer during the entirety of the round.

2. If the computer has built-in wireless capability, the wireless component must be inactivated during the entirety of the round.

3. If a judge or competitor observes a competitor in the round using a computer which has the wireless card inserted or the built-in component left active, the team which has possession of the computer shall automatically forfeit that round, with lowest ranks and zero speaker points being awarded for the round in question.

4. Electronic evidence must be as available to the opposition team/judge as paper evidence would have been. Teams will adapt to this new trend in a variety of ways but must keep in mind that the choice to read off of a computer cannot be an excuse to withhold evidence. If a team refuses to show evidence to an opposing team/judge in a timely manner (as determined by the judge), that evidence should not be considered in the judge’s decision.
Policy Debate

Policy Debate is a team event that focuses on a proposition of policy, where students use research to either affirm or negate the topic. Through this contest, students are encouraged to learn the following skills: research, policy analysis, case building, refutation, questioning, organization, team building and communication.

1. **Resolution**: The official national high school Policy Debate topic shall be used.

2. **Order of Speeches**: Each debater must give one and only one constructive speech, one period of questioning, one period of answering, and one rebuttal speech, in the following order:

   - **Affirmative Constructive Speech**: 8 minutes
   - **Negative Cross Examines Affirmative**: 3 minutes
   - **Negative Constructive Speech**: 8 minutes
   - **Affirmative Cross Examines Negative**: 3 minutes
   - **Affirmative Constructive Speech**: 8 minutes
   - **Negative Cross Examines Affirmative**: 3 minutes
   - **Negative Constructive Speech**: 8 minutes
   - **Affirmative Cross Examines Negative**: 3 minutes
   - **Negative Rebuttal**: 5 minutes
   - **Affirmative Rebuttal**: 5 minutes
   - **Negative Rebuttal**: 5 minutes
   - **Affirmative Rebuttal**: 5 minutes
   - **Prep time**: 8 minutes per team

3. **Time**: No grace time is allowed beyond completion of a sentence. The judge may penalize for overtime through reduction in speaker points. Each team shall be allowed a total of eight minutes of preparation time per round.

4. **Prompting Philosophy**: Only the specific speaker, witness or questioner should audibly participate in the cross-examination, constructive, or rebuttal phase of the round. Assistance in the form of oral comments from a partner or team member during a speech (other than time signals in numbers) or written comments other than pre-prepared material (i.e., evidence or briefs) shall be discouraged. Judges shall be instructed to respond with a remedy which is appropriate according to their own standards.

5. **A team shall consist of two competitors from the same school.**
Lincoln-Douglas Debate

Lincoln-Douglas Debate centers on a proposition of value, which concerns itself with what ought to be instead of what is. A value is an ideal held by individuals, societies, governments, etc. One debater upholds each side of the resolution from a value perspective. Through this contest, students are encouraged to develop a direct and communicative delivery and emphasize logic, theory and philosophy, in the development of argumentation.

1. **Resolution:** Directors of IQTs must choose either the current NFL or UIL Lincoln-Douglas topic.

2. **Order of Speeches:**
   - Affirmative Constructive Speech: 6 minutes
   - Negative Cross Examination: 3 minutes
   - Negative Constructive Speech: 7 minutes
   - Affirmative Cross Examination: 3 minutes
   - Affirmative Rebuttal: 4 minutes
   - Negative Rebuttal: 6 minutes
   - Affirmative Rebuttal: 3 minutes
   - Prep time: 4 minutes per debater

3. **Time:** No grace time is allowed beyond completion of a sentence. The judge may penalize for overtime through reduction in speaker points. Each debater shall be allowed a total of four minutes of preparation time per round.

4. **Conduct During the Round:** Only the specific speaker, witness or questioner should audibly participate in the cross-examination, constructive, or rebuttal phase of the round.

5. An entry consists of a single participant.
Public Forum Debate

Public Forum Debate is a team event that advocates or rejects a position. The clash of ideas should be communicated in a manner persuasive to the non-specialist or citizen judge. Through this contest, students are encouraged to communicate ideas with clarity, organization and eloquence and display solid logic, lucid reasoning and depth of analysis, in the development of argumentation.

1. **Resolution:** The official national high school Public Forum Debate topic shall be used.

2. **Procedure and Order of Speeches:** Prior to EVERY round and in the presence of the judge(s), a coin is tossed by one team and called by the other team. The team that wins the flip may choose one of two options: EITHER the SIDE of the topic they wish to defend (pro or con) OR the SPEAKING POSITION they wish to have (begin the debate or end the debate). The remaining option (SIDE or SPEAKING POSITION) is the choice of the team that loses the flip. Each debater must give one and only one 4 minute speech, one individual crossfire, and one 2 minute speech and Grand crossfire in the following order:

   First Speaker – Team A  
   First Speaker – Team B  
   Crossfire (first question by speaker A1 to B1)  
   Second Speaker – Team A  
   Second Speaker – Team B  
   Crossfire (first question by speaker A2 to B2)  
   Summary - First Speaker – Team A  
   Summary - First Speaker – Team B  
   Grand Crossfire  
   Final Focus - Second Speaker – Team A  
   Final Focus - Second Speaker – Team B  
   Prep time  

3. **Time:** No grace time is allowed beyond completion of a sentence. The judge may penalize for overtime through reduction in speaker points.

4. **Prompting Philosophy:** Only the specific speaker, witness or questioner should audibly participate in the cross-examination, constructive, or rebuttal phase of the round. Assistance in the form of oral comments from a partner or team member during a speech (other than time signals in numbers) or written comments other than pre-prepared material (i.e., evidence or briefs) shall be discouraged except during the Grand Crossfire. Judges shall be instructed to respond with a remedy which is appropriate according to their own standards.

5. A team shall consist of two competitors from the same school.
Cross-Examination Guidelines

During cross-examination, the questioner shall control the use of time (except in Public Forum, where time shall be shared) and may interrupt the respondent, but may not comment on the answers or make any statement of his own views.
Congressional Debate

Congressional Debate enables the student to gain a better knowledge of political science while utilizing the skills of public speaking, group discussion, debate, and parliamentary procedure. Students have the opportunity to qualify for the Texas Forensic Association State Tournament as a Representative in the United States House. Every TFA Member School shall be granted one legislator in the United States Senate.

Congressional Debate shall not be run as a Consolation Event at Invitational Qualifying Tournaments. Congressional Debate may be run in any pattern at the discretion of the tournament director, and the tournament director may limit cross-entries. A minimum number of actual time for floor debate must be met.

A preliminary session is defined as including:
1. Minimum of 3 hours
2. 18-20 students as the optimum number for three-hour session
3. Election of a presiding officer
4. New seating chart
5. Resetting of precedence/recency
6. New legislation that has not been debated in a previous session in the tournament

Floor Debate

Following the first two speeches on legislation, the presiding officer will alternately recognize affirmative and negative speakers, who will address the chamber for up to 3 minutes, followed by 1 minute of questioning by other delegates. If no one wishes to oppose the preceding speaker, the presiding officer may recognize a speaker upholding the same side. When no one seeks the floor for debate, the presiding officer may ask the chamber if they are “ready for the question” at which point, if there is no objection, voting may commence on the legislation itself. There is no “minimum cycle.” At the point at which 3 speeches are given unopposed the previous question will be immediately called.

1. In the event a student speaks on the wrong side called for by the presiding officer and the error is not caught, the speaker shall be scored and the speech shall count in precedence, but the speaker will receive no more than 3 points for not paying close attention to the flow of debate.
2. In the event a student speaks on an item of legislation not currently being debated, said speech shall count in precedence, but zero points shall be awarded.
   a. Violators who speak on the wrong side or the wrong item shall be refused further recognition for debate on that piece of legislation, and that speech will count for precedence.
   b. Speeches shall last no longer than three minutes with one minute of cross-examination time with the exception of sponsorship/authorship speeches and the first negative speech, where cross-examination shall last no longer than two minutes.
   c. Members shall not yield any portion of his/her time for questioning.
   d. Members may ask only one question per questioning period. No prefacing or multiple part questions are allowed. Members may ask only one question per questioning period. Speakers may request the rephrasing/repeating of a question, at the discretion of the parliamentarian. Members may ask additional questions if no members who haven’t asked a question want to ask a question.
   e. Members must speak only after being recognized by the presiding officer.
f. Members may not suspend the rules in order to change rules stipulated in the TFA Constitution.

g. Authorship shall be attributed to submitting schools. Therefore, opening speeches on a given piece of legislation will be authorship or sponsorship speeches, depending on whether the school authoring the legislation is in attendance at each tournament.

h. Two minutes of questioning shall follow the first pro and the first con speech, and all other speeches on legislation will be followed by 1 minute of questioning. At the State Tournament, the final round will include a direct questioning period, as described below. (Though not mandatory, Invitational Qualifying Tournaments are urged to use the direct questioning format in the final round.)

3. In the Final Round of Congressional debate, Speeches are four minutes, with two-minute questioning of speakers introducing legislation as well as the first negative, and one minute of questioning for subsequent speakers. Each questioner has 30 seconds within the one or two minutes to engage in direct questioning with the speaker. During direct questioning, all questioning periods are broken into 30-second segments, with one questioner per segment, who may ask multiple questions of the speaker during that segment. The Presiding Officer must track and select questionnaire based on recency the same way speakers are recognized. Recency for speaker should be tracked independently of questioners.

4. Tournament directors must declare in their tournament invitations if direct questioning is being used.

   a. Each bill or resolution shall be debated for a maximum of 45 minutes. If action has not been taken on the legislation by that time, an immediate vote shall be taken.

Legislation

Legislation for Invitational Qualifying Tournaments in the regular season shall be available for all schools and participants on the website at www.txfa.org. An “All Call” for legislation will invite all TFA member schools to submit up to three (3) pieces of legislation for consideration two times per year: Due by May 1st for debate in the months of August-December, and due by November 1st for debate in the months of January-March (including TFA State competition). No more than 2 pieces of legislation will be placed on the docket from any one school. The Congressional Debate Committee shall establish a docket of exactly 30 pieces of legislation for each of the two halves of yearly competition and post for use by all schools equally. In the event there are fewer than 30 items submitted that meet the Committee’s standard for debate, the Committee shall complete the docket with current topical legislation from other sources than the TFA membership.

Tournament Directors shall designate in their invitation which pieces of legislation shall be used in Prelims, Semis and Finals.

Plagiarism and “extensive paraphrasing” are not allowed. While some legislative issues continue to merit legislative debate in Congressional Debate, the creation of legislation surrounding the issue should be the original work of the authoring school. Legislation from NSDA District contests, NSDA Nationals, and previously submitted TFA Congressional Debate legislation (not the work of the submitting school) are all reviewed when a challenge to originality is made. If at any point it is discovered that a school has submitted plagiarized work for the docket, the school will have the item of legislation on the docket removed. The school will also lose authorship of any other pieces of legislation they might have on the docket. The Congressional Committee will have the ability to add a new piece of legislation to the docket either by moving up an item that missed the cut or by pulling from other sources.

Requirements

   a. Legislation which does not conform to guidelines will be rejected from consideration for the
Congressional Debate calendar.

b. Bills or resolutions are to be typed using the template provided on the NFL website. Links are located on the TFA Congress page at www.txfa.org.

c. Legislation Deadlines
   i. May 1 for August – December debate
   ii. November 1 for January-March (including TFA State) debate

Parliamentary Procedure

It is necessary for all Congressional Debate participants to have a working knowledge of parliamentary procedure. The Parliamentarian shall be the final authority on both parliamentary and Congressional Debate rules.

Ballots and Forms

All Ballots and Forms for Congressional Debate will be available to all members on the TFA website.
Extemporaneous Speaking

The Extemporaneous Speaking contest is designed to enable the student to speak in an integrated manner on a central idea, organize his/her ideas in a pattern which is meaningful to his/her listeners, and orally communicate his/her ideas effectively. This contest is similar in some respects to impromptu speaking in that the word extemporaneous is used to mean “on short notice.” It differs, however, in that although the specific extemporaneous speech has not been prepared in advance of the contest day, considerable preparation in terms of gathering, analyzing and organizing information about state, national, and international current events has gone on. A student may prepare for this contest by reading a variety of news sources, either online or in print.

1. There shall be two divisions: United States and International.

2. Topics are to be phrased in the form of a question.

3. Topics shall be from subjects discussed in standard periodicals of the current school year.

4. Thirty minutes before the contest is to begin, the first speaker shall draw three topics, choose one, and return the others. The other contestants shall draw in like manner, in their speaking order, at seven-minute intervals. A contestant drawing a topic on which s/he has spoken previously in the tournament shall return that topic and draw again.

5. After the contestant has chosen a topic, s/he shall withdraw to the preparation area and plan his/her speech without conferring or exchanging information with anyone. No prepared notes or outlines will be allowed in the preparation room. Contestants may refer to files containing books, book excerpts, periodicals, online retrievals from mass media publications, and/or photocopies of any of the above. Information retrieved from internet websites must include the URL citation. Cutting and pasting of multiple articles into a single document is not permitted. Once a contestant has chosen a topic, s/he may neither change from nor alter that topic. No visual aids may be used in the delivery of the speech. Contestants must present their topic slip to the judge(s) prior to speaking. Violation shall result in being disqualified from the event.

6. A note card may be used in preliminary rounds of Individual Qualifying Tournaments but is not permitted in any elimination rounds of these tournaments. Violation shall result in being ranked last in the round in which the violation occurred. Note: A note card may not be used in any round of the State Tournament.

7. Time limit is seven minutes maximum with a thirty-second grace period. Violation shall result in being ranked last in the round by the tab room when visible time signals have been given by the judge or by the authorized timekeepers. Overtime violators SHALL NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty. [as amended 10/15]

8. At the State Tournament, the final round will include a cross-examination period, as described below. (Though not mandatory, Invitational Qualifying Tournaments are urged to use the cross-examination format in the final round.)
   a. Cross-Examination Procedure: Each speaker shall be assigned a position in the speaking order. Drawing shall take place at 12-minute intervals. Thirty minutes after Speaker 1 has drawn, Speaker last shall enter the contest room. Speaker 1 shall give his/her speech and Speaker last shall take notes and/or listen. At the conclusion of Speaker 1’s speech, Speaker last shall cross-examine Speaker 1 for a time period not to exceed three minutes. The cross-examination will be an open format, similar to the cross-examination period employed in the final round of the NSDA National Tournament. Speaker last shall return to the prep room and Speaker 1 shall stay to listen to and question Speaker 2. Speaker 2 will question Speaker 3, etc.
9. Students can compete and qualify in both United States and International Extemporaneous Speaking, but s/he must choose one for TFA State.

10. The use of laptop computers by the competitors may be used during draw to retrieve information saved onto a storage device with the following provisions:
   a. If the computer requires an insertable card in order to access a wireless connection, that card must be removed from the computer during the entirety of the round.
   b. If the computer has built-in wireless capability, the wireless component must be inactive during the entirety of the round.
   c. If a tournament official or competitor observes a competitor in the round using a computer which has the wireless card inserted or the built-in component left active, the student who has possession of the computer shall automatically be disqualified from that event for that tournament.
   d. Laptops and any storage devices being used must be made available for review upon request of the tournament official.
   e. Articles must be saved with the URL intact on the saved document.
   f. All laptops and storage devices must be in compliance with the rules governing acceptable prep room materials.
   g. Laptop computers may be used only for information retrieval.
   h. Violation of any of the above conditions for the use of laptop computers in the extemp draw may result in the disqualification of any student(s) utilizing the laptop.
Original Oratory

Oratory is an activity in which the student writes, memorizes, and then delivers a persuasive speech arising from his/her personal feelings, convictions, or a source of irritation about some problem. Topics for the original oration may be selected by the student and with the aid of the coach or teacher, but the oration itself must be the product of the contestant alone and not his/her coach or teacher.

Although many orations deal with a current problem and propose a solution, this is not the only acceptable form of oratory. The oration may simply alert the audience to a threatening danger, strengthen its devotion to an accepted cause, or eulogize a person. Visual aids are not permitted in this contest.

TFA, understanding that final judgment must remain with the individual judge concerning effect on ranking, suggests that coaches encourage their students to avoid speeches that may be offensive because of language or subject matter.

1. The contestant may not use an oration which s/he used in any TFA contest prior to the current school year. The same oration shall be used for the duration of the tournament. Violation of this rule shall result in disqualification from the tournament.

2. Any appropriate subject may be used, but the orator must be truthful. Any nonfactual reference, especially a personal one, must be so identified. Violation shall result in ranking down in the round in which the violation occurred.

3. Not more than 150 words of the oration may be direct quotation from any other speech or writing, and such quotations shall be identified in the written copy of the speech. Extensive paraphrasing of any source is prohibited. Violation shall result in disqualification from the tournament.

4. A written copy of the oration must be available to the tournament director upon request. Violation of this rule will result in disqualification.

5. Each year, specific documentation will be required by the Executive Council for entry into the State Tournament. During the online registration process, coaches will be required to provide the oratory title. The competitor’s typed oration is required to be submitted during the registration process.
   a. All quoted material must be underlined and/or printed in red. Quoted material may not exceed 150 words.
   b. A cover sheet with title, student’s name and signature, coach’s name and signature and the school name must be completed. The cover sheet form can be found online at www.txfa.org
   c. Oratories need to be available for the duration of the tournament.

6. Time limit shall be ten minutes maximum with a thirty-second grace period. Violation shall result in being ranked last in the round by the tab room when visible time signals have been given by the judge or by the authorized timekeepers. Without adding text to the previously performed selection and only considering audience response, the contestant shall not be penalized for exceeding the time limit in all qualifying and state tournament elimination and final rounds. There is no minimum time required. Overtime violators SHALL NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty. [as amended 10/15]

7. The oration shall be memorized and presented without the use of notes, visual aids or costume. Violation
shall result being ranked last in the round.
**Duet Acting**

This contest will enable the students to develop skills in techniques, ensemble, and oral interpretation common to all communications. TFA, understanding that final judgment must remain with the individual judge concerning effect on ranking, suggests that coaches encourage their students to avoid (1) literature that is devoid of literary merit, (2) literature that is in poor taste and, therefore, may be offensive because of language or subject matter.

1. Students may qualify in both Duet Acting and Duo Interp, and may compete at the state level in both events, but in this case, they may not perform the same selection for both categories and they may only compete in one other event in which they are qualified.

2. Selections used in Duet Acting shall be cuttings from a single source from a published printed novel, short story, play, poem or screenplay. Coaches and/or students must provide the original source, including ISBN if one exists to the tournament director upon request. A photocopy is acceptable. Violation of this rule will result in disqualification.

3. Each year, specific documentation will be required by the Executive Council for entry into the State Tournament. During the online registration process, coaches will be required to provide the Duet title and ISBN number. The competitors’ original script and copied script is required to be checked at registration and must be available during the State Tournament.
   a. A flat copy of the text with page numbers and all lines being performed highlighted is required.
   b. A copy of the bibliographic information page is required.
   c. A cover sheet with title, author(s), ISBN number, date of publication, number of transitional phrases, student’s name, coach’s name and signature and the school name must be completed. The cover sheet form can be found online at [www.txfa.org](http://www.txfa.org)
   d. The original script, highlighted needs to be available for the duration of the tournament.

4. The selections may be serious or humorous works.

5. Time for presentation shall not exceed twelve minutes with a thirty-second grace period. There is no minimum time required. Violation shall result in being ranked last in the round by the tab room when visible time signals have been given by the judge or by the authorized timekeeper. Without adding text to the previously performed selection and only considering audience response, the contestant shall not be penalized for exceeding the time limit in all qualifying and state tournament elimination and final rounds. **Overtime violators SHALL NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty.** [as amended 10/15]

6. Each selection shall include an introduction which shall set the scene and mood and include the title and author of the work. Time for the introduction shall be included in the time limit. Violation shall result in an adverse effect on the team’s ranking in the round.

7. The selection shall be memorized and presented without the use of physical objects (notes, props, hand props, or scenery) or costume. Two chairs will be allowed. The two chairs may be used to create atmosphere and environment. Violation shall result in being ranked last in the round.

8. No student may use the same selection at a tournament in more than one event, but may change events with the selection during a tournament season. No student can use the same selection in more than one tournament year. In addition, a student may not qualify for nor compete with the same selection at the state meet.
9. A student may compete with one partner from the same school and one selection at a given tournament.

10. Transitional phrases may be used in Duet Acting but must meet the following word requirements. Violation will result in disqualification.
   a. No selection may use more than 100 total transitional words.
   b. These words cannot be used to write new scenes to any piece of literature.
   c. The 100 words cannot all be used in one particular location within the selection.
   d. All transitional phrases must be written in the margin, by hand, on the copy of the piece of literature for checking in case of protest. At TFA State, this will be used to check that the number does not exceed the 100 word limit.
   e. Introductions do not count as part of the transitional phrases.
Duo Interpretation

This contest will enable the students to develop skills in techniques, ensemble, and oral interpretation common to all communications. TFA, understanding that final judgment must remain with the individual judge concerning effect on ranking, suggests that coaches encourage their students to avoid (1) literature that is devoid of literary merit, (2) literature that is in poor taste and, therefore, may be offensive because of language or subject matter.

1. Students may qualify in both Duet Acting and Duo Interp, and may compete at the state level in both events, but in this case, they may not perform the same selection for both categories and they may only compete in one other event in which they are qualified.

2. Selections used in Duo shall be cuttings from a single source from a published printed novel, short story, play, poem or screenplay. Coaches and/or students must provide the original source, including ISBN if one exists to the tournament director upon request. A photocopy is acceptable. Violation of this rule will result in disqualification.

3. Each year, specific documentation will be required by the Executive Council for entry into the State Tournament. During the online registration process, coaches will be required to provide the Duo title and ISBN number. The competitors’ original script and copied script is required to be checked at registration and must be available at the State Tournament.
   a. A flat copy of the text with page numbers and all lines being performed highlighted is required.
   b. A copy of the bibliographic information page is required.
   c. A cover sheet with title, author(s), ISBN number, date of publication, number of transitional phrases, student’s name, coach’s name and signature and the school name must be completed. The cover sheet form can be found online at www.txfa.org
   d. The original script, highlighted copy need to be available for the duration of the tournament.

4. The selections may be serious or humorous works.

5. Time for presentation shall not exceed ten minutes with a thirty-second grace period. There is no minimum time required. Violation shall result in being ranked last in the round by the tab room when visible time signals have been given by the judge or by the authorized timekeeper. Without adding text to the previously performed selection and only considering audience response, the contestant shall not be penalized for exceeding the time limit in all qualifying and state tournament elimination and final rounds. Overtime violators SHALL NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty. [as amended 10/15]

6. Each selection shall include an introduction which shall set the scene and mood and include the title and author of the work. Time for the introduction shall be included in the time limit. Violation shall result in an adverse effect on the team’s ranking in the round.

7. The selection shall be memorized and presented without the use of physical objects (script, props, hand props, or scenery) or costume. Violation shall result in being ranked last in the round.

8. In Duo Interpretation focus may be direct during the introduction and the performers may look at each other, but must be indirect (off-stage) during the performance itself.

9. Each of the two performers may play one or more characters, as long as performance responsibility in the cutting remains as balanced as possible. If the selection is prose or poetry and contains narration, either or
both of the performers may present the narration.

10. No student may use the same selection at a tournament in more than one event, but may change events with the selection during a tournament season. No student can use the same selection in more than one tournament year. In addition, a student may not qualify for nor compete with the same selection at the state meet.

11. A student may compete with only one partner from the same school and one selection at a given tournament.

12. Transitional phrases may be used in Duo Interpretation but must meet the following word requirements. Violation will result in disqualification.
   a. No selection may use more than 100 total transitional words.
   b. These words cannot be used to write new scenes to any piece of literature.
   c. The 100 words cannot all be used in one particular location within the selection.
   d. All transitional phrases must be written in the margin, by hand, on the copy of the piece of literature for checking in case of protest. At TFA State, this will be used to check that the number does not exceed the 100 word limit.
   e. Introductions do not count as part of the transitional phrases.
Dramatic Interpretation

This contest enables the student not only to develop skills common in all speech contests, but also to select and condense worthy dramatic literature suitable to the contestant’s personality and maturity, and to convey orally the meaning of that dramatic literature through characterization and narration. TFA, understanding that final judgment must remain with the individual judge concerning effect on ranking, suggests that coaches encourage their students to avoid (1) literature that is devoid of literary merit, (2) literature that is in poor taste and, therefore, may be offensive because of language or subject matter.

1. Selections used in Dramatic shall be cuttings from a single source from published printed novels, short stories, plays, poems, or screenplays. Coaches and/or students must have the original source, including ISBN if one exists to the tournament director upon request. A photocopy is acceptable. Violation of this rule will result in disqualification.

2. Each year, specific documentation will be required by the Executive Council for entry into the State Tournament. During the online registration process, coaches will be required to provide the Dramatic title and ISBN number. The competitor’s original script and copied script is required to be checked at registration and must be available at the State Tournament.
   a. A flat copy of the text with page numbers and all lines being performed highlighted is required.
   b. A copy of the bibliographic information page is required.
   c. A cover sheet with title, author(s), ISBN number, date of publication, number of transitional phrases, student’s name, coach’s name and signature and the school name must be completed. The cover sheet form can be found online at www.txfa.org
   d. The original script, highlighted copy need to be available for the duration of the tournament.

3. The selection shall be memorized and presented without the use of physical objects (script, props, hand props, or scenery) or costume. Students should avoid movement in the presentation that would distract from the literature itself. Violation shall result in being ranked last in the round.

4. No student may use the same selection at a tournament in more than one event, but may change events with the selection during a tournament season. No student can use the same selection in more than one tournament year. In addition, a student may not qualify for nor compete with the same selection at the state meet.

5. The contestant shall name the author and the title of the selection being presented during his/her performance. Violation shall result in being ranked down in the round.

6. Maximum time shall be ten minutes with a thirty-second grace period. Violation shall result in being ranked last in the round by the tab room when visible time signals have been given by the judge or by the authorized timekeeper. Without adding text to the previously performed selection and only considering audience response, the contestant shall not be penalized for exceeding the time limit in all qualifying and state tournament elimination and final rounds. Overtime violators SHALL NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty. [as amended 10/15]

7. Transitional phrases may be used in Dramatic Interpretation but must meet the following word requirements. Violation will result in disqualification.
   a. No selection may use more than 100 total transitional words.
b. These words cannot be used to write new scenes to any piece of literature.
c. The 100 words cannot all be used in one particular location within the selection.
d. All transitional phrases must be written in the margin, by hand, on the copy of the piece of literature for checking in case of protest. At TFA State, this will be used to check that the number does not exceed the 100 word limit.
e. Introductions do not count as part of the transitional phrases.
Humorous Interpretation

This contest enables the student not only to develop skills common to all speech contests, but also to select and condense worthy humorous literature suitable to the contestant’s personality and maturity, and to convey orally the humor of that literature through characterization and narration. Suggestions for suitable material and specific rules governing this contest are the ones listed for Dramatic Interpretation.
Judge Conduct

When more than one judge adjudicates the same round, each judge should render their decision independently and without collusion. No judges should confer with each other before their decision is rendered and written on the ballot. It is the responsibility of the tournament director to inform each judge of this rule.
The Texas Forensic Association is committed to providing every student with the opportunity to qualify for the State Tournament in a fair and impartial manner. This document provides the specific procedures for hosting Invitational Qualifying Tournaments. Due diligence must be made to ensure that these procedures are followed to ensure fairness as well as the absence of the perception of impropriety when running an IQT. The members of TFA has established and approved these procedures for all Invitational Qualifying Tournaments. During each contest,
your Tournament Director, Region Representative and Executive Council are available to adjudicate disputes regarding these rules.
Hosting an Invitational Qualifying Tournament

In regions with more than 15 tournament applications, each member school will be limited to hosting a single qualifying tournament. Any TFA member school or institution wishing to apply for its tournament to be a TFA Qualifying tournament must meet the following conditions:


2. The designated tournament director must have experience in directing/coordinating a competitive tournament of a similar nature to the TFA qualifiers. If a school wishes to host a tournament, but doesn’t have an experienced director, it may apply under the aegis of a group of two or more experienced directors, who may be from other schools. Letters of acceptance of responsibility from each experienced director must accompany the application. Approval is pursuant to the Executive Council’s contact with these sponsors. This also applies to approved tournaments where the director leaves the TFA host school during the year.

3. The tournament shall be held between the dates of the weekend following the 4th Monday in August and the second weekend in February. No qualifying tournament can be held on the dates of the TSCA convention. In the event of a natural or man-made disaster, the Executive Council shall be granted authority to alter the qualifying requirements, in regions so affected, so as not to affect the ability to host the State Tournament on its pre-determined weekend.

4. TFA members will be notified by March 15 of the availability of the IQT application on the TFA Website. Members wishing to host a tournament will complete the online application form and submit the document. Tournament hosts will be expected to print a copy of the form and submit the tournament fee to the TFA Treasurer no later than May 1. The website administrator will forward copies of the form to the TFA President, TFA Treasurer, and the appropriate Region Representative. Tournament dates will be established by the Executive Council. Applications received after May 1 will be considered, but may not be accepted. No applications will be considered after August 15.

5. The tournament dates shall be assigned according to the following priorities:
   a. Those schools having previously hosted a qualifying tournament and who are requesting the same weekend as the previous year.
   b. Those schools having previously hosted a qualifying tournament and who are requesting a different weekend from the previous year.
   c. Anyone requesting to hold a qualifying tournament who had not hosted one the previous year.
   d. Any application received after May 1.
   e. Those schools who requested a tournament date the previous year and subsequently cancelled their tournament or moved their tournament date.
   f. The Executive Council reserves the right to revoke or alter precedence for tournaments who were protested and sanctioned in the previous competitive year.
   g. If two or more schools choose to host a tournament together (co-host), the co-hosting pair has precedence based on the guidelines stated above. If they co-hosting schools choose to apply separately in subsequent years, they qualify for priority c above.

6. By the third week in May, the Secretary shall notify all TFA members of the posting on the TFA website of the tentative list of tournaments which will be TFA qualifiers for the next school year. The tournament list will be
reviewed, amended, and given final approval in August. Petitions for changing approved tournament dates must be submitted to the TFA Executive Council prior to August 15th unless extenuating circumstances are documented by the administrator and coach involved prior to the beginning of the TSCA convention. Requests for date changes and tournament additions to the list received after August 15th will be brought before the members of TFA attending the business meeting at the TSCA convention and must be approved by that body for those changes and additions to occur.

7. The Tournament Director for any IQT must be a regular member of the school’s faculty.

8. The tournament must have a minimum of ten schools participating in order for it to be a qualifier.

9. The host school must be a current school year TFA member at the time its tournament is held.

10. A maximum of two tournaments per region can be scheduled on the same weekend, with the exception of tournaments being 150+ miles apart within a region. When 150+ miles apart, from the closest hosting school, a region may host up to four tournaments per weekend. [ref. amendment 10/14] Conflicts will be resolved by application of the above priorities. If no tournament in the region offers a qualifying event on a given weekend, a third tournament in that region may be offered that holds only the event(s) that are missing from the other tournaments. Such tournaments would have to meet any other requirement such as minimum schools, following the TFA rules, etc, with the exception of the four qualifying event requirement. Schools in any given region that intend not to host an event should make that intention known in the tournament application process. Region reps them should make that known to allow schools to plan their schedules for apply for tournaments. In the instance that this circumstance arises, schools would be allowed to host a second tournament (with the events not offered elsewhere in the regions only) in a school year.

11. If more than two tournaments within any one region apply for the same date, the Executive Council shall attempt to accommodate the alternate dates on the tournament applications. If an alternative date cannot be found, the TFA Treasurer shall accept only the first two applications and return the other application(s) along with the registration fee.

Qualifying Numbers

1. Qualification to the State Tournament will be based on a twelve (12) point system. When an individual or team accumulates twelve points, they will qualify for the State Tournament.

2. Invitational Qualifying Tournaments must have ten (10) participating schools in attendance in order to award any qualification points. Minimum numbers in each event to award qualification points are: Policy-10 teams, PFD-10 teams, LD-10 entries, Congressional Debate-10 entries; all IE’s (including Duo and Duet)-10 entries/teams. The Executive Council may modify this section at the beginning of the tournament season in order to make it consistent with any changes to the point system if the need arises.

3. Qualification points will be awarded as outlined in the current year’s Qualifying Point Schedule on www.txfa.org.

4. A tournament director must certify that the number of entries at the tournament do not include “phantom” entries. The number must include only those students who actually participate in at least one round of the scheduled event at the tournament, compete using their own names, and are listed with the appropriate school affiliation. Tournament directors who do not follow accepted practices will be considered to be in violation of the Professional Code of Conduct and applicable procedures will then apply.

5. It will be the responsibility of the school’s TFA coach of record to keep track of students’ points. They will
notify the IQT coordinator of students’ qualifications which will then be checked with the finalists list from the tournament.

6. The Executive Council may modify this point schedule at the beginning of the tournament season if the need arises.

Requirements

1. Separate divisions for men and women shall not be offered in any TFA event.

2. The specified qualifying events shall follow the rules, including time limits, of those events as outlined in the TFA Standing Rules.

3. Tabulation and tie-breaking procedures shall follow those specified in the standing rules.

4. TFA/AFA ballots should be used as available.

5. The minimum number of rounds for TFA-sanctioned individual events and Duet Acting or Duo Interpretation is at least one preliminary round, followed by semifinals and finals. For tournaments with single preliminary rounds, at least two competitors must advance from each preliminary section to semifinals, and at all tournaments at least two competitors must advance from each semifinal section to finals in all TFA events. At tournaments in which there are 16-24 [ref. amendment 10/14] or fewer entries in an individual event or duet acting/duo interpretation, the tournament may have two or more preliminary rounds, followed by a finals round.

6. Entries from the same school must not be assigned to compete in the same section or pairing in TFA events unless there are more entries from that school than there are sections or pairings.

7. No more than eight contestants may be scheduled to appear in any section of individual events/duet/duo in TFA events.

8. The minimum number of preliminary rounds shall be three in Policy, Public Forum and Lincoln-Douglas Debate. The last preliminary round shall be power-matched. The minimum number of elimination rounds for all divisions shall be semifinals and finals. Additional rounds may be required based on event entries.

9. The Tournament Director shall report all qualifiers to the IQT Coordinator online at www.txfa.org within 24 hours following the completion of his/her tournament. Additional assistance can be found online at http://www.txfa.org/help/iqt-how-to-submit-results.htm.

10. Video taping of rounds will not be allowed. The use of audio recordings will only be used to ensure that students accurately represent the material they read during debates. Participants who are recorded may require the recording be deleted after the Judge has rendered a decision and ethics charges were not raised during the debate. [ref. amendment 10/14]

11. In advance of the tournament, all non-member schools must be provided with a copy of TFA regulations for all TFA events.

12. TFA regulations must be followed in all TFA events. Only matters of rule interpretation or clarification may be made by the tournament director. Under no circumstances may event rules or time limits be altered.

13. Judges of any and all TFA events shall have already graduated from high school.
14. Texas students participating at TFA tournaments must be affiliated with and a representative of the schools they are attending.

15. Fees collected for judging must be used for payment of judges and not as tournament profit.

16. Dissemination of Ballots: Following the tabulation of preliminary rounds, and all subsequent rounds, except for finals, the ballots shall be made available to the coaches. A reasonable time and place shall have been designated for verification of preliminary results. This verification period must allow reasonable time for coaches to be made aware that a challenge is taking place and must occur in a location that is easily accessible to the coach. Challenge/verification for all divisions of a category of events (ex. all debate) must occur at the same location. An open tab room may not be substituted for the formal challenge period. Following this verification period, except for finals, results are to be considered final and any errors should stand. Following the beginning of the rounds for each subsequent elimination round, the results for the previous rounds are considered final. No challenge of judges’ decisions is allowed. Once the school has been eliminated from the tournament, no ballots should be withheld from that coach.

17. No rounds of any TFA event may begin after midnight on Friday or Saturday. Any tournament in violation of this policy may, at the discretion of the Executive Council, forfeit status as a TFA State Qualifying Tournament in the following year.

18. No school may participate in elimination rounds at their own tournament.

19. A tournament must disclose in their invitation whether panels will be used in quarterfinals and beyond of debate events and finals of Individual Events, Duet Acting and Duo Interpretation.

20. Tournament hosts shall make their sweepstakes formula available in their invitation information or information given to participating schools at the beginning of the tournament.

21. No Texas Forensic Association Invitational Qualifying Tournament may offer a separate division in which all pre-qualified students must/are encouraged to compete.

22. Any team that concedes or forfeits an elimination round debate to a team from another school other than their own shall only be awarded points for the last elimination round they actually participated in. For example, a team that concedes in the semifinals in a tournament that would award them 4 points would receive 2 points for successfully participating in the quarterfinals. Another example, a team that concedes in the quarterfinals in a tournament without octofinals, would be awarded no points. Additionally, any tournament that arranges or encourages concessions may forfeit their TFA qualifying status in that debate event at the discretion of the Executive Council. The Executive Council may grant exceptions to this rule to accommodate unavoidable extenuating circumstances (such as weather).

23. Competitors who forfeit elimination rounds in finals of individual events will receive qualification points as if they had placed last in the I.E. round.

24. Any name changes must be submitted in writing to the tournament director prior to the end of the first round of that event.

Procedure for Processing Protests of Violations

1. Any violation of Texas Forensic Association rules or regulations by an Invitational Qualifying Tournament host should be reported to the TFA Executive Council, along with data to support such a charge. If investigation results in confirmation of such charge, eligibility of the offending school to host an IQT may be revoked for one
year.

2. Any violation of the TFA Code of Professional Standards by a coach concerning an IQT should be reported to the TFA Executive Council, along with data to support such a charge. See *TFA Code of Professional Standards*. 
Debate Tabulation

The following methods have been devised by the Texas Forensic Association for determining winners in Policy, Public Forum and Lincoln-Douglas Debate. Specific exclusions will be applicable for Public Forum Debate in regards to side constraints.

Pairing of Preliminary Rounds

1. The first two rounds of an IQT will be pre-set in a manner that schedules each entrant in one affirmative and one negative round. These rounds should be randomly paired, attempting to minimize individuals from the same two schools hitting one another (if possible), and avoid the pairing of individuals from the same school. If power ranking is used for teams, every attempt should be made to balance the power of teams that are paired against one another.

2. At least one round of a three or four round preliminary tournament will be power-matched. If the tournament features five or more preliminary rounds, power matching will begin no later than the 4th round. If time allows, tournaments are highly encouraged to use some form of power matching beginning with the 3rd round. The power matching should take place within win/loss brackets as commonly practiced. Undefeated entrants should debate undefeated entrants; entrants with one loss should debate entrants with one loss, and so on. Tournaments should announce in their invitation which form of power matching they will be using (high-high or high-low within brackets).

3. At the end of each even numbered round, each entrant (with the exception of entrants having received a BYE) will have debated an equal number of affirmative and negative rounds.

4. If using a computer to run the tournament, the tournament host will be aware and take proper steps to set-up the tournament, including but not limited to room use; entrant code/names; division characteristics including how pairing and power matching procedures will occur; and the avoidance of team and judge conflicts. These steps will be taken to minimize and/or avoid challenges as the tournament progresses.

5. When power matching teams, priorities shall be as follows:
   a. Entrants shall have an equal number of affirmative and negative debates following each even numbered round.
   b. Entrants shall not meet another entrant from their own school during any preliminary round unless a school’s entry comprises more than half the field.
   c. Entrants shall not meet the same entrant more than once in preliminary rounds.
   d. In the event entrants cannot be paired within a given bracket during power matching, entrants should be pulled from the next lower bracket(s) until these requirements are met. Pulling from two or more brackets down may be required in some instances.

Advancement

The criteria for determining teams advancing to elimination rounds are in the following order:

1. If Only 3 Prelim Rounds are Held:
   a. Win-loss record
   b. Total speaker points
   c. Adjusted speaker points (drop highs and lows) per team
2. If More than 3 Prelim Rounds are Held:
   a. Win-loss record
   b. Adjusted speaker points (drop highs and lows) per team
   c. Total speaker points
   d. Total speaker ranks
   e. Opponent’s win-loss record
   f. Opponent’s speaker points
   g. Opponent’s ranks
   h. Adjusted ranks
   i. Blind draw

Elimination Brackets

1. If a tournament holds three preliminary debate rounds and 51 or more entries compete, elimination rounds must include an octofinal round; if 75 or more entries compete, elimination rounds must include a double octofinal round, if 120 or more compete, elimination rounds must include a triple octofinal.
   a. If a tournament holds four preliminary rounds and 51 or more entries compete, elimination rounds must include an octofinal round; if 100 or more entries compete, elimination rounds must include a double-octofinal.
   b. If 15 or fewer entries compete, quarterfinals do not have to be held.
   c. Upon completion of the preliminary round portion of a tournament, all students with an undefeated record shall advance to the elimination bracket.

2. Entries will be seeded according to their prelim round record for the purpose of creating an elimination round bracket. This seeding shall not be changed, even if entries are removed from the bracket. New entries may not be pulled up into the bracket because of entry removal. The only exception to this rule shall be if an entry is designated prior to the final preliminary round in writing as not being eligible to break (they will not be considered in the seeding process).

3. If entries from the same school are meeting, brackets may be broken, at the discretion of the tournament director, in the following manner. Brackets will be broken unless an Invitational Qualifying Tournament’s invitation stipulates that they will not be broken.
   a. The lower seeded entry of the two will be moved up in the bracket (i.e., In a conflict between the 5th seed and the 12th seed, the 12th seed will be switched with the 11th seed). In the case where the initial switch does not resolve the conflict, additional switches may be made by moving the entry causing the conflict one place at a time until the conflict is resolved (i.e., if the 5th seed and 32nd entry loses, move the 5th seed to the 31st place and then the 31st place to the 30th place, and so on until the conflict is resolved). Brackets shall be broken by working down the pairings in seed order (i.e., check the 1-32 pairings first, if it works, then move to the 2-31 pairing, the 3-30 pairing, etc.). In all cases where a switch is made, either by the tab room before a round or by a lower seeded entry winning a round, the new seed position will be assumed for purposes of breaking brackets in the next round (i.e., in a debate between 1-32, if the 32nd entry wins, it assumes the first seed position for the next round).
   b. Brackets are broken only when a conflict occurs. Brackets are not broken in anticipation of conflict in future elimination rounds.
   c. In the event that a conflict cannot be avoided, the entries involved will not be forced to debate. The
coach of the squad involved will make the determination if there is to be a debate or which entry will advance.

d. In the event that a school closes out both sides of the bracket, the coach (sponsor) may have the option of choosing any of the involved students to advance for the qualifying positions even if this involves two competitors or teams from the same side of the bracket.

Determining Speaker Points for Forfeit or Bye

In order to determine speaker points for a bye the debater(s) will receive the average number of points given in their preliminary rounds. In case of a forfeit, the team forfeiting the debate will receive zero speaker points. The team who is being forfeited to will be given the average number of points given in their other preliminary rounds.
# Congressional Debate Tabulation

## Entry Numbers and Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum number of entries for Qualifying Event</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum number of students per Preliminary House</td>
<td>20 (if 20 or fewer entries exist, then one chamber for the entire tournament may be used) [ref. amendment 10/14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semifinals Houses required</td>
<td>61 or greater entries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum entries per Semifinal chamber</td>
<td>16 per chamber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum advancing to Super Congress</td>
<td>16 with a minimum of 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum total hours of actual floor debate (recesses do not count as floor debate time)</td>
<td>3 hours minimum for prelims; 2 hours for semis with 12 competitors (add 10 minutes for each additional competitor-not to exceed 16 competitors); 2 hours for finals with 12 competitors (add 10 minutes for each additional competitor-not to exceed 16 competitors). <strong>If only one Chamber is held, the minimum total debate time is 4 hours.</strong> [ref. amendment 10/14]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Preliminary Judges

- 1 Scorer
- 1 Parliamentarian*

*A minimum of 1 Judge (who scores speeches and serves as Parliamentarian) [ref. amendment 10/14]*

### Semis

A minimum of two judges (1 Scorer and 1 Parliamentarian) [ref. amendment 10/14]

### Finals Judges

- 2 Scorers
- 1 Parliamentarian

*A minimum of THREE judges (2 Scorers and 1 Parliamentarian) [ref. amendment 10/14]*

### Presiding Officer Audition Time

Campaign speeches enumerating qualifications to preside, not to exceed one minute each.

### Score per speech

0 min- 6 max

*In Prelims and Semis, the Scorer may also serve as the Parliamentarian, if a student is elected as Presiding Officer. If no student is elected as Presiding Officer, a scorer, a parliamentarian, and an adult (high school graduate) Presiding Officer are required. In Finals, one of the scorers may also act as a Parliamentarian if there is a student Presiding Officer. If no student serves, 2 scorers and a Parliamentarian are required in addition to an adult (high school graduate) Presiding Officer. The parliamentarian scores only the student PO and ranks all competitors in the room. [ref. amendment 10/14]*

## Presiding Officers

Tournament Directors shall decide if students may run for Presiding Officers in all sessions and shall indicate this opportunity in the tournament invitation. Students wishing to run for PO shall declare their intention on the
tournament entry form or with the tournament director by the due date.

If students are permitted to run, brief speeches of qualification not to exceed one minute may be given. Candidates shall be elected via secret ballot by the members present. Candidates for PO shall be equally divided among houses, and if multiple preliminary sessions are held, candidates may be divided equally among sessions. Candidate speeches shall not be scored, will not count against precedence, and will not be included in the students’ totals. Parliamentarians shall award 0-6 points per hour of service to the elected Presiding Officer, which shall count against precedence and be included in the students’ totals. Parliamentarians and scorers shall award 0-6 points per hour of service to the elected Presiding Officer, which shall count against precedence and be included in the students’ totals.

If no PO candidates are running or are permitted to run, the designated Presiding Officer shall be an adult (high school graduate) parliamentarian designated to conduct the session. This adult PO will not have scoring responsibilities, or serve as Parliamentarian. [ref. amendment 10/14]

**Scoring and Advancement**

In all sessions, the Scorer(s) shall be responsible for evaluating every student speech given. The Parliamentarian scores only the student PO, and ranks all competitors in the room. [ref. amendment 10/14] Elected POs shall receive one score per hour of service from the Scorer Parliamentarian. If a student receives a score from the scorer, for both speaking and service, these scores shall be added together to compute the student’s total.

The required method for determining advancement and tabulating final results in Congressional Debate is ranking of the top 8 legislators. Speech points are required for NFL points only. Advancement is selected by the scorer, ranking the top 8 Best Overall Legislators. All other students will receive a rank of 9. Parliamentarians are to rank ALL members of the chamber. For initial rankings, the Parliamentarian’s ranks, up to eighth are tabulated as well, with subsequent ranks considered as ranks of 9. Parliamentarians will have scoring responsibilities only for the presiding officer.

Each individual chamber is tabulated independent of the others. Legislators with the lowest cumulative rank total advance to the next level of competition, employing the following tiebreakers:

1. Judge’s preference
2. Reciprocal fractions
3. Rank by the parliamentarian
Speech and Interpretation Tabulation

The criteria for determining winners in speech and interpretation events are in the following manner:

1. The contestant with the lowest cumulative score of the individual judges in the round. In tournaments with multiple preliminary rounds, students with the lowest cumulative ranks will advance.

2. If there is a tie on ranks in the round, judge’s preference shall be used to break the tie. The following diagram illustrates the “judge’s preference” method of breaking ties:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Judge 1</th>
<th>Judge 2</th>
<th>Judge 3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speaker A</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>= 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker B</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>= 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this case, both speakers’ ranks are the same when combined (Rule 1); however, Judge 1 ranks Speaker A over Speaker B, Judge 2 ranks Speaker B over Speaker A, and Judge 3 ranks Speaker B over Speaker A. Essentially, two out of the three judges have preferred Speaker B to Speaker A, even though ranks are tied.

If there is a 3 way tie, judge’s preference can be used to compare each pair of speakers head to head. If one speaker wins head to head judges preference against both tied opponents, that speaker will be awarded the highest rank. The remaining two contestants will be compared using judge’s preference to determine the next highest ranking. For example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Judge X</th>
<th>Judge Y</th>
<th>Judge Z</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speaker A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>= 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>= 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker C</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>= 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this example, Speaker A wins judge’s preference against Speaker B (Judge X and Y) and Speaker A wins judge’s preference against Speaker C (Judge X and Y). Hence, Speaker A would receive the highest rank amongst the three, Speaker B would receive the next highest rank.

3. In case of an unbreakable three-way tie, inverted fractions (reciprocals) shall be used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reciprocal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>1/6</td>
<td>1/7</td>
<td>1/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As a Decimal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>.125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Then add these numbers and the contestant with the highest score is the best of the three, and the next highest score receives the next rank, etc. See example below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ranks</th>
<th>Converts to</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speaker A</td>
<td>1 3 3 = 7</td>
<td>1.00 .33 .33</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>2nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker B</td>
<td>2 1 4 = 7</td>
<td>.50 1.00 .25</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1st</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In case of a tie in inverted fractions among 2 of the 3 tied speakers, revert back to judge preference to resolve the tie between the 2 tied speakers. See the example below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Ranks</th>
<th>Converts to</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speaker A</td>
<td>4 2 5 = 11</td>
<td>.25 .50 .20</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>4th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker B</td>
<td>3 6 2 = 11</td>
<td>.33 .17 .50</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker C</td>
<td>2 3 6 = 11</td>
<td>.50 .33 .17</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2nd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The 1.00 tie between speakers B and C is broken on the basis of Judge Preference (Speaker C is preferred over Speaker B by 2 of the 3 judges).

4. These methods shall be used in all rounds including the final round.

5. If for any reason the above methods cannot be utilized in the final round, winners shall be determined on the basis of accumulated ranks, including ranks from preliminary rounds, and all elimination rounds. This is also the procedure to be used for breaking unbreakable ties in quarterfinals and semifinals at the State Tournament.

6. If there is still a tie after the provisions mentioned above, a blind draw should be used to determine placement in the round. If the tie is to determine a state champion, a dual championship will be declared.
Appendix A – Seeding Order for Debate Elimination Rounds
Standing Rules:
State Tournament Operations Manual

*Rules or Regulations in the following sections may be amended by the majority vote of the members present and voting at any annual meeting, special meeting, or mail ballot provided that copies of the Proposed amendment have been mailed to all members or placed on the official TFA website at least thirty (30) days prior to the vote, or without prior notice by a three-fourths majority of those present and voting.

The Texas Forensic Association is committed to providing every student with a fair and impartial competition experience. This document provides the specific procedures for running the Tournament. Due diligence must be made to ensure that these procedures are followed to ensure fairness as well as the absence of the perception of impropriety. The members of TFA have established and approved these procedures for the State Tournament. During the contest, an ombudsman is available to answer any questions and field protests regarding an infraction of these rules.
General Rules

Each year, the Texas Forensic Association sponsors a State High School Tournament. The TFA State Tournament provides competition with opponents of high caliber; it determines a state champion in all TFA events. If the Executive Council is unable to secure a suitable location based on the state size and entry constraints, the decision may be made to not offer a state tournament that year.

Location: Each year the State Tournament shall be held in a different region so that in five years each region shall have hosted it once. The year a region is to host, that Region Representative shall submit the region’s choice for the site. If a region cannot host that year, the Executive Council shall open up bids for the site to the other regions.

a. The Executive Council will consider proposals with precedence going to the region who has hosted state least recently.
b. If a suitable host cannot be found in that region, the TFA Executive Council will consider proposals from the next least recent region, and so on and so forth.
c. In such a case, the rotation will resume as if the region slated to host state had hosted state (e.g. if region IV declines to host, region V hosts the following year regardless of where the tournament was held during region IV’s year).

Rotation: The order of regions to host State shall be as follows beginning with the 1997 State Tournament: (At the end of the cycle, the rotation will repeat.)

Region I (Upper West Texas/Panhandle)
Region II (North Texas)
Region IV (East Texas)
Region V (Far West Texas)
Region III (Central/South Texas)

Deadline: The deadline for choosing the state tournament site shall be the annual TSCA Convention in October.

Recording of Rounds: The TFA Executive Council has the exclusive right to audio-record and/or videotape any and all rounds with all participants’ or parents’ permission.

Tournament Director: The current TFA president will serve as the State Tournament Director. The president will appoint Tab Room directors for Debate and Individual Events. The Tab Room Directors will be assisted by the state tournament committee.
Steps to Limit the Size of the TFA State Tournament

Teams and individuals qualified for the state tournament will be determined in the following fashion. These are not mutually exclusive and can be adopted in any combination that decision makers would deem necessary.

1. **Step #1:** Set a required number of points that an individual or team needs to accumulate to qualify for the state tournament.

2. **Step #2:** If there are more teams or individuals qualified under the minimum qualification than the facility will allow, then the designated committee and/or tournament director will exercise one or more of the following options in the following order:
   a. **Option #1:** Students will be allowed to enter no more than two events
   b. **Option #2:** Students will be allowed to enter only one event
   c. **Option #3:** Under exigent circumstances, implementation of contingencies determined by the Executive Council and/or the tournament director from one or more of the following choices:
      i. Hosting of regional tournaments
      ii. Creating a “leg” system of qualification
Entry and Eligibility

1. Students participating at the TFA State Tournament must be affiliated with and a representative of the Texas school they are attending as defined by article III, section 1.

2. A student may qualify for participation at the TFA State Tournament by earning the required number of qualification points as determined by the Executive Council at one or more of the designated Invitational Qualifying Tournaments across the state (see Invitational Qualifying Tournaments for greater details).

3. In order to participate at the State Tournament, the student’s school or his coach must be a member of the Texas Forensic Association with membership dues paid on or before January 31st. The coach and/or a qualified adult must be in attendance with all contestants at the TFA State Tournament. Said coach or adult must be available to judge each round of competition. No single individual may represent more than one school. Failure of a school to comply will result in the disqualification of that school’s entire entry. Appeals may be made to the Executive Council in cases of extenuating circumstances.

4. Qualification to the state tournament is on the basis of the team or individual rather than the school. A school may not substitute students.

5. For a debate team, duet acting or duo interpretation team to qualify for the state tournament they may accumulate the required number of points with the same partner during the school year.

6. The Executive Council may modify this point schedule at the beginning of the tournament season if the need arises.

7. A student is eligible to compete at the State Tournament only in the event(s) in which s/he has qualified (i.e., students qualified in debate only may not participate in other events).

8. Entries shall not compete in both Duet or Duo and debate at the State Tournament.

9. A student must be a currently enrolled high school student in grades 9-12 in order to qualify for the State Tournament. Graduation prior to the State Tournament does not prevent a student from participating in the State Tournament.

10. The Executive Council holds the right to establish conflict patterns at the State Tournament for purpose of scheduling.

Examples of Acceptable Qualifications

1. A & B have the required number of points; A & C have the required number of points; A & D have the required number of points.
   a. Only one team is qualified from this combination.
   b. The team qualified must include A (A and B, A and C or A and D)
   c. An unacceptable combination is B and C, or B and D, or C and D because neither B, C, nor D have eight points, except with A.

2. A & B have the required number of points; C & D have the required number of points; E & F have the required number of points. There are three teams qualified.
3. A & B have the required number of points; B & C have the required number of points; D & E have the required number of points.
   b. There are only two teams qualified (A and B or B and C and D and E)

4. A & B have the required number of points; C & D have the required number of points; E & F have the required number of points.
   a. There are three teams qualified.
   b. The three teams may be entered as follows (these are only a few of the possible combinations):
      A and B  
      E and D  
      C and D  
      A and D  
      A and F  
      C and F  
      E and F  
      C and B  
      E and B

Examples of Unacceptable Qualifications

1. A & B have the required number of points; A & C have the required number of points; A & D have the required number of points
   a. An unacceptable combination is B and C, or B and D, or C and D because neither B, C, nor D have the required number of points except with A.

2. Coaches may switch partners of teams who have qualified as separate teams. In no case may a school’s team entries exceed the total number of teams that have qualified as independent teams.
Documentation Requirements

Each year, specific documentation will be required by the Executive Council for entry into the State Tournament.

Original Oratory

During the online registration process, coaches will be required to provide the title. The competitor’s typed oration is required to be submitted at registration.
   a. All quoted material must be underlined and/or printed in red. Quoted material may not exceed 150 words.
   b. A cover sheet with title, student’s name and signature, coach’s name and signature and the school name must be completed. The cover sheet form can be found online at www.txfa.org
   c. Oratories need to be available for the duration of the tournament.

Interpretation Events

During the online registration process, coaches will be required to provide the title and ISBN number. This information will be verified during registration. Titles of Interpretation selections must be submitted at registration. Once the titles are submitted, a change in selection will result in the student’s disqualification. The competitors’ original script and copied script is required to be checked during the registration process and must be available at the State Tournament.
   a. A flat copy of the text with page numbers and all lines being performed highlighted is required.
   b. A copy of the bibliographic information page is required.
   c. A cover sheet with title, author(s), ISBN number, date of publication, number of transitional phrases, student’s name, coach’s name and signature and the school name must be completed. The cover sheet form can be found online at www.txfa.org
   d. The original script, highlighted copy and bibliographic information page need to be available for the duration of the tournament.
Judging

1. **Judge Panels:** Panels of three (3) or more judges shall be used in all elimination rounds.

2. **Judges’ Fees:** The Executive Council shall appropriate a set sum to be used for the payment of judges by the State Tournament Judging Committee in a manner which they deem appropriate. Judges may be paid per round, or the money may be used for entertainment, hospitality, etc.
Tabulation of Events

1. **See Standing Rules:** Invitational Qualifying Tournament Operations Manual

2. **Dissemination of Individual Events Ballots:** The State Tournament Director shall be in charge of a closed individual events ballot room. Following the tabulation of preliminary rounds, semifinals, and finals, the ballots shall be made available to the coaches.

3. **Debate Challenge:** Following the final tabulation of win/loss, speaker points, and other data, the State Tournament Director shall post tabulation charts, elimination pairings, and other pertinent data. Ballots for each preliminary round are to be placed in a school envelope and coaches are admitted to the ballot room. Each school is personally responsible for comparing the posted record and results for his/her teams with the ballot. Following the debate challenge, results are final and any errors shall stand. No challenge of decisions is allowed.
1) All protests shall be submitted in writing to an Ombudsman, to be appointed by the tournament director. The Ombudsman shall have full power to adjudicate any protest, dispute, or interpretation of the rules. All protests must be submitted in writing and include the following information:
   a) Name of the coach filing the protest.
   b) Code and/or name of person/team being protested.
   c) Round being protested, including section number, room number, and speaker number of person/team being protested.
   d) Specific infraction being protested described with supporting details.
   e) Signature of the protesting coach.

2) The Ombudsman will then review and render a decision on the protest. All involved parties will be notified of the decision.

3) Appeals of the Ombudsman’s Decision: The Ombudsman’s ruling may be appealed to the Executive Council members who shall review the protest and the appeal, retire to closed session, and render a decision that shall be final.
Debate Rounds

General Rules

1. **Postings:** Codes of competitors and elimination brackets in their normal order shall be posted and made available for coaches during preliminary and elimination rounds.

2. **Debate Topics**
   a. **Policy Debate:** TFA shall adopt the current year National Forensic League’s Policy Debate topic as the topic for the State Tournament.
   b. **Lincoln Douglas:** TFA shall adopt the National Forensic League’s Lincoln-Douglas Debate topic (current at the time) as the topic for the State Tournament. If the state tournament begins with a February date, the January/February topic will be used. If the state tournament begins with a date in March, the March/April topic will be used.
   c. **Public Forum Debate:** TFA shall adopt the National Forensic League’s Public Forum Debate topic (current at the time) as the topic for the State Tournament. If the state tournament begins with a February date, the February topic will be used. If the state tournament begins with a date in March, the March topic will be used.

3. **Number of Rounds:** There shall be at least four (4) preliminary rounds of Policy, Public Forum and Lincoln-Douglas Debate followed by elimination rounds.

4. **Previously Met Teams:** Teams meeting for a second time during the tournament shall switch sides from those previously upheld.

Preliminary Rounds

Rounds I and II shall be pre-set. Preliminary round seeding shall be made before power matching. An effort shall be made to prevent debates between teams from the same region in Rounds I and II only. Additional preliminary rounds shall have no “lag” power matching. Rounds III through V will be matched high-low within brackets (low 2-1’s meet high 2-1’s, etc.). Each round shall be paired on the basis of all previous rounds. Entrants shall not meet the same entrant more than once in preliminary rounds.

Elimination Rounds Eligibility

Teams of high record shall meet teams of low record in the first elimination round bracket. Brackets shall be broken to prevent teams from the same school from meeting; this break will occur in the round in which the meeting is scheduled to occur. Brackets shall not be broken in an earlier round because a team may logically meet another team from the same school in a later elimination round.

At the state debate tournament, all teams or individuals (LD) with a record of 4-1 or better will participate in an elimination round. If the number of debaters with that record is greater than 16 or 32 (depending on the size of the tournament) then elimination rounds would begin with the partial pairing of the next appropriate elimination bracket. If a partial triple octofinals would be appropriate, then those individuals with the lowest 4-1 records would be paired against each other (32&33; 31&34; 30&35; etc.) until there were no other pairings. All individuals/teams with records equal to or greater than 4-1 with sufficient speaker points would receive a “bye” to the next elimination round. For example, the 1st seed in the above example would not have to debate until the double octofinal round. They would debate the winner of the 32 & 33 seed pairing.
Use of the Mutual Preference System of Judging

The TFA State Tournament will use a mutual preference system of assigning judges in Lincoln Douglas and Policy Debate. Mutual preference is defined as a system allowing individual schools and/or teams to assign available judges in pre-described categories within numeric parameters. At least one week prior to the beginning of the tournament, the Secretary or a designated representative, will make available to coaches of LD and Policy entries the list of judges scheduled to judge at the state tournament. The coaches will then have the opportunity to designate a pre-determined number of judges in one of the following categories: 1-(most preferred), 2-(preferred), 3-(acceptable), 4-(OK), 6-Strike. All remaining judges will be assigned a “5” (not preferred) rating.

Example: If there were 75 judges available to judge at the state tournament, teams might be asked to assign judges in the following manner: 1 judges -20; 2 judges-20; 3 judges-15; 4 judges -10; Strikes-5; 5 judges-5. The formula for how many judges will be assigned to each category will be pre-determined by the debate tabulation director.

Coaches would be required to return the mutual preference sheet to the Secretary or designated representative no later than the Wednesday prior to the beginning of the tournament. If returned by that time, the mutual preference system would be in place prior to Round 1 of the tournament. Coaches would also have the opportunity to complete and return the mutual preference sheet at the tournament registration. Those preferences would go into effect at the time that the tabulation room had the opportunity to input them.

It will be the responsibility of individual coaches to complete the mutual preference sheet. Tabulation room staff will verify the correctness of the mutual preference sheet with individual coaches at registration. Coaches who may have completed the sheet incorrectly will have the opportunity to complete the sheet correctly at that time. Coaches may only designate strikes if they choose. All other judges will then be designated as “1” judges in such instances. For those coaches who fail to complete the sheet correctly, the tabulation staff will reserve the right to randomly assign judges from one category to another to correct the sheet.
Congressional Debate

General Rules

1. Entry:
   a. Entries in the United States House shall be based upon qualifications at IQT’s that offer Congressional Debate. The students’ names shall be submitted on the entry form for the State Tournament.
   b. Each member school may enter one contestant in the United States Senate. These students may not have qualified in any other event and will compete in a separate division from the United States House.
   c. Students qualified for the United States House at the State Tournament may compete in up to two Individual Events in which they have also qualified, at the discretion of the TFA Executive Council. Students in the United States Senate may not compete in any other event.

2. Judging: Parliamentarians and Scorers will be selected by the Congressional Debate Committee prior to assigning judges for other events. All schools entering students in Congressional Debate will automatically be placed in the pool to serve as either a scorer or a parliamentarian if needed.

3. Chambers: There will be preliminary houses as determined by the number of entries. Each chamber shall contain no more than 20 students and shall be regionally balanced.
   a. Preliminary Sessions: There will be two 4 hour sessions aligned with 4 debate rounds. Parliamentarians shall be assigned to a chamber for the entire round. Scorers are reassigned each session (4 hours).
      i. A preliminary round is defined as including:
      ii. Minimum of 3 hours
      iii. 18-20 students as the optimum number for a 3 hour session
      iv. Election of a Presiding Officer
      v. New seating chart
      vi. Resetting of precedence/recency
      vii. New legislation that has not been debated in a previous session in the tournament.
   b. Semifinal Congress: In the event that there are three or more preliminary chambers, two semifinal chambers will be created for a round which shall be no less than four hours in length.
   c. Super Congress: There will be one “finals” round of three hours which will be known as Super Congress.

Procedural Rules

1. Order of the Docket: Docket order for State will be released following the conclusion of the IQT season.
2. Floor Debate: See section under IQT’s.
3. Presiding Officer: A presiding officer shall be elected at the beginning of each House/Senate preliminary session. At the conclusion of the Prelim sessions, each chamber will vote for their outstanding P.O. This student will be presented with a gavel at the awards ceremony.
4. Authorship speeches: Schools, whose legislation is selected for the Preliminary round at State, are guaranteed an authorship speech. The author of the bill or resolution shall be recognized to open debate, but shall have no other preference in speaking on said bill or resolution. Amendments are not allowed authorship speeches. A brief statement of justification is allowed but will not be scored.
   a. The authorship speech shall be a maximum of three minutes with an additional two minutes of
cross-examination time.

b. If the author of the legislation is not in the chamber, the chair shall recognize a member to begin debate. This will be treated as a sponsorship speech, not one of authorship and will be followed by two minutes of cross-examination. The first negative speech will also be followed by a two minute questioning period.

5. **Tiebreaking Procedures**: Each individual chamber is calculated independent of the others. Legislators with the lowest cumulative rank total advance to the next level of competition, employing the following tiebreakers.
   a. Judge’s preference
   b. Reciprocal fractions
   c. Rank by their parliamentarian

**Scoring**

Speaker points shall be awarded by the official scorers ranging from 1-6 with 6 being the highest score. Presiding officers shall be awarded points by the parliamentarian ranging from 1 to 6, with 6 being the highest score.
   a. Points shall be awarded only for speeches with Cross-examination also being a factor. Rankings should include activity in the chamber as well as speeches and question/responses to questions.
   b. The Parliamentarian shall award the presiding officer a score at the conclusion of each hour of service.
   c. The Parliamentarian will be assigned to a chamber for the entire Preliminary sessions.

The Ranking of the Top 8 Legislators, by the scorers in each chamber, will be used for determining advancement and tabulation of all rounds at the TFA State Tournament.

**Semifinal Congress**

1. **Sectioning**: Semifinal chambers shall be sectioned by rank balance between houses while maintaining regional balance
2. **Judges**: Parliamentarians and Scorers shall not have judged in preliminary sessions and shall be assigned to a chamber for the entire round.
3. **Number of Members**: Semifinal houses shall not contain more than 16 persons each.
4. **Minimum Preliminary Rounds**: If there are less than three preliminary chambers, no Semifinal Congress shall be held.

**Super Congress**

1. **Organization**
   a. No more than 16 delegates shall be in the Super Congress
   b. The assigned Parliamentarian/Scorers shall remain for the entire round.
   c. Adult P.O. may be assigned if available
   d. In Super Congress, Speeches are four minutes, with two-minute questioning of speakers introducing legislation as well as the first negative, and one minute of questioning for subsequent speakers. Each questioner has 30 seconds within the one or two minutes to engage in direct questioning with the speaker. During direct questioning, all questioning periods are broken into 30-second segments, with one questioner per segment, who may ask multiple questions of the speaker during that segment. The Presiding Officer must track and select questionnaire based on recency the same way speakers are recognized. Recency for speaker should be tracked independently of questioners.

2. **Selection for the Final Ballot**: At the conclusion of Super Congress, the ranks of the top 8 legislators, provided
by the three scorers, will be used to determine the winners. The cum rank of the top 8 will be tallied and the
lowest cum will receive 1st, next lowest 2nd, and so on to determine the top 8. The Parliamentarian will rank
everyone in the chamber, and these ranks will be used for tie-breaking purposes only.

3. **Awards**: The top six students in each division as determined by the tabulation of the ballots shall receive
awards. All Outstanding Presiding Officers from preliminary chambers shall receive an award.
Speech and Interpretation Rounds

General Rules

1. **Postings:** Codes of competitors and schematics shall be posted and made available for coaches during preliminary and elimination rounds.

2. **Number of Rounds:** At the State Tournament, Speech and Interpretation events shall have three preliminary rounds, quarterfinals, semifinals, and a final round.

3. **Extemporaneous Speaking Cross-Examination:** The final round will include a cross-examination period, as described below. (Though not mandatory, Invitational Qualifying Tournaments are urged to use the cross-examination format in the final round.)
   a. **Cross-Examination Procedure:** Each speaker shall be assigned a position in the speaking order. Drawing shall take place at 12-minute intervals. Thirty minutes after Speaker 1 has drawn, Speaker last shall enter the contest room. Speaker 1 shall give his/her speech and Speaker last shall take notes and/or listen. At the conclusion of Speaker 1’s speech, Speaker last shall cross-examine Speaker 1 for a time period not to exceed three minutes. The cross-examination will be an open format, similar to the cross-examination period employed in the final round of the NSDA National Tournament. Speaker last shall return to the prep room and Speaker 1 shall stay to listen to and question Speaker 2. Speaker 2 will question Speaker 3, etc.

Individual Events, Duo, and Duet Acting Elimination Round Eligibility

In individual events, the top 36 contestants would advance to quarterfinals based on cumulative ranks in the first three rounds. Ranks above 5 will be converted to 5 for the purposes of determining cumulative rank. If there is a tie at 36, that tie would be broken based first on converting scores to reciprocal fractions: $1^{st}=.25, 2^{nd}=.20, 3^{rd}=.33, 4^{th}=.25, 5^{th}=.20$. Ranks above 5 will be treated as 5s (.20) in determining the reciprocal. The contestants with the highest total would advance. If there is still a tie for the 36th spot, all contestants still tied for that slot would advance to quarterfinals up to 48 maximum. If, because of the tie, more than 48 would break, then the ties among those pushing the number over 48 would be broken by reverting to original ranks in the round—a rank of 7 would be counted as a 7, a 6 as a 6, etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contestant</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Conversion</th>
<th>Reciprocal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AB11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5(6)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5(7)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If contestants AB11, SD14, GH8 were ties for 42nd place, AB11 and SD14 break. The total breaking would be 43.

GH8 would be eliminated because in the conversion, the 1.03 is lower than the 1.45.

If AB11 and SD14 were tied for the 56th slot, the AB11 would advance, because originally SD14 had a rank of 6 that was converted to a 5 in round two (GH8 would already be out of consideration.)

In quarterfinals there would be 6 sections with up to a maximum of 8 in each section.

Three from each section would advance from quarters to semifinals, with 3 sections of 6 in semi finals. Two would
advance from each section to finals, for 6 in finals.
Consolation Events

The State Tournament will offer consolation events to all students entered in Individual Events or Duet Acting or Duo Interpretation who do not advance to elimination rounds. Students in debate will be allowed to enter if the tournament schedule allows.

Entry Guidelines

A student may enter only one consolation event. Pre-registration by coaches is required; upon elimination from the tournament, individual registration is required. Entry limits shall be established by the State Tournament Committee.

Events

A. **Prose (Offered in even years only):** Selection(s) must be from a published work (or published works) and must be read from a folder. Selections cannot be taken from plays. A memorized introduction stating the title(s) and author(s) is required. Maximum time is seven minutes with a thirty-second grace period. Without adding text to the previously performed selection(s) and only considering audience response, the contestant shall not be penalized for exceeding the time limit in all state tournament elimination and final rounds. **Overtime violators SHALL NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty.** [as amended 10/15]

B. **Poetry (Offered in odd years only):** The rules shall be the same as Prose, except that the literature must be poetic in nature.

C. **Impromptu:** The speaker will draw three topics, choose one, and take one minute to prepare. Topics shall be chosen from proverbs, quotations, current concerns, and famous people. The maximum time for the speech is five minutes with a thirty-second grace period. There is no minimum time. **Overtime violators SHALL NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty.** [as amended 10/15]

D. **As a pilot program for the 2015-16 State Tournament, replace Prose Interpretation and Impromptu Speaking with Performance of Oral Interpretation and Informative Speaking. At the 2016-17 State Tournament, the events will return to Prose in odd years, Poetry in even years, and Impromptu Speaking unless otherwise amended.**

   a. **Rules and description of Informative Speaking:**

   b. **Purpose:** An informative speech is an original speech designed to explain, define, describe, or illustrate a particular subject. The general purpose of the speech is for the audience to gain understanding and/or knowledge of a topic. Any other purpose such as to entertain or to convince shall be secondary. The use of audio/visual aids is optional. (See # 4 on Aids.)

   c. **Contest:** This contest comprises only memorized speeches composed by the contestants and not used by them during a previous contest season.

   d. **Subject:** Effective speeches provide new information or perspectives on a topic, including those that are widely known. The responsibility for choosing a worthwhile topic rests with
the contestant. A fabricated topic may not be used. Any non-factual reference, including a personal reference, must be so identified.

e. Aids: Audio/visual aids may or may not be used to supplement and reinforce the message. During the presentation, no electronic equipment is permitted. The use of live animals or any additional people as visual aids is not allowed during the speech. Items of dress put on and removed during the course of the presentation are considered costumes and may not be part of the contestant’s presentation. Visual aids may not violate law (weapons, drugs, etc.) The host school is not responsible for providing any facilities, equipment, or assistance in a contestant’s use of visual aids. Expedient set up and take down of aids is expected. If a visual aid displays published pictorial material, the source must be included in the work—cited page but does not need to be cited orally.

f. Length: The time limit is ten minutes with a 30 second “grace period.” If there are multiple judges in the round, all must agree that the student has gone beyond the grace period. Should a student go beyond the grace period, the student may not be ranked 1st. There is no other prescribed penalty for going over the grace period. The ranking is up to each individual judge’s discretion. Judges who choose to time are to use accurate (stopwatch function) timing devices. No minimum time is mandated.

g. Quotation: Not more than 150 words of the speech may be direct quotation and such quotations must be identified orally and in a printed copy of the speech supplied prior to registration.

h. Script: Manuscripts must be available at all district tournament contests in the event of a protest. However, it shall be the choice of each individual District Committee whether or not to require these materials be submitted prior to the district contest. The script must identify the quoted materials, state the number of quoted words, include a work—cited page in APA or MLA format, and both the speaker and the coach must attest by signature that the speech is the original work of the contestant.

E. Rules and description of Performance of Oral Interp (POI)

a. Purpose: POI is a program of oral interpretation of thematically-linked selections chosen from two or three genres: prose, poetry, drama (plays). A primary focus of this event should be on the development of the theme or argument through the use of narrative, story, language, and/or characterization. Competitors are encouraged to devote approximately equal times to each of the genres used in the program.

b. Literature requirements: At least two pieces of literature that represent at least two separate genres must be used.

c. Script: The use of a manuscript is required.

d. Time Limit: 10 minutes max with a 30 second grace period. Sources must meet all TFA Interp rules for publication.

e. Program Oral Interpretation Judging Criteria: An introduction should set the stage, enhancing the interpretation of the literature to the audience, providing information and analysis to the chosen theme. All selections must be verbally identified by title and author. However, where, when, and how these are accomplished are the speaker’s decisions. The intact manuscript may be used by the contestant as a prop so long as it remains in the contestant’s control at all times. No costumes or props other than the manuscript are permitted. Adaptations may be used only for the purpose of transition. This is a contest in oral interpretation. The contestant should be evaluated on poise, quality, and use of voice, inflection, pronunciation, and the ability to interpret characters consistently. The contestant should also be evaluated on how effective their argument/theme is projected in the total program. In developing a creative, thematic program, attention should be given to the design and organization of a cohesive and carefully conceived whole by
linking authors and ideas inherent in the literature. There is an expectation to use at least two pieces of literature each presenting a different genre with approximately equal times devoted to each genre. This distinction pertains to these three genres as a whole, not types of literature within a genre, such as fiction/nonfiction. The contestant must address the script; however, introduction and transitional material may be memorized. [added 10/15]
Awards

1) Debate Speaker Awards: Speaker awards for Policy, Public Forum and Lincoln-Douglas Debate at the State Tournament shall be determined by the following criteria.
   a) adjusted points
   b) total points
   c) total ranks win-loss record
   d) opponents’ win-loss record
   e) opponents’ speaker points
   f) opponents’ ranks
   g) adjusted ranks
   h) blind draw

2) Speech and Interpretation Event Awards: Awards will be given to all finalists. First, Second, and Third place awards will be presented to the top three placing students.

3) School Sweepstakes Awards: School sweepstakes will be presented to the top schools based on the following criteria:
   a) Qualifying Events
      i) Two points shall be awarded for advancing to debate double-octofinals and semifinal participation in the United States House.
      ii) Four points shall be awarded for reaching quarterfinals in individual events, duo, and duet.
      iii) Six points shall be awarded for reaching semifinals in qualifying events, finalists in the United State House not making the top 8, and non-advancing octofinalists in debate.
      iv) Ten points shall be awarded for each non-placing finalist in qualifying events, 4th through 8th place finalists in the United States House, and non-advancing quarter-finalists in debate.
      v) Fifteen points shall be awarded in qualifying events for each third place awarded, twenty points for each second place, and twenty-five points for each first place awarded.
   b) Consolation Events (Prose, Poetry, Impromptu, and US Senate)
      i) One point shall be awarded for semifinals participation in the United States Senate
      ii) Two points shall be awarded for participating in semifinals in consolation events and finals in United States Senate not making the top 8.
      iii) Five points shall be awarded for non-placing participants in finals in consolation events and 4th through 8th place in the United States Senate.
      iv) Eight points shall be awarded for each third place awarded, ten points for each second place, and fifteen points for each first place awarded.

4) Sweepstakes points are not cumulative - students receive points based on highest placement in an event.

5) Individual Sweepstakes Awards- Individual sweepstakes awards will be presented to the top individuals based on the following criteria.
   a) Students will receive the same number of points as they earn towards team sweepstakes.
   b) The top five students will be recognized.
      i) In the event of a tie, the tie will be broken based on most final rounds, then semi-final rounds, then quarter-final rounds. If still tied, ties will be broken based on number of 1st place finishes, and then 2nd place finishes, etc. Tied contestants after the above tie breakers are applied will remain tied.

6) Naming of Awards in Honor of Service to the Organization: The Executive Council shall be empowered to dedicate the awards and scholarships presented at the State Tournament to the honor of individual TFA members in recognition of service to this organization.

7) Breakfast of Champions: The first place winners and their coaches will be recognized at the Breakfast of
Champions following the tournament.
Appendix A – Seeding Order for Debate Elimination Rounds

```
Double-Octos
1  1
3  2
16
17
8
25
9
24
5
28
12
21
4
29
13
20
2
31
15
18
7
26
10
23
3
30
14
19
6
27
11
22
```
Texas Forensic Association Change Log

*The following section chronicles changes voted upon by the general body and/or Executive Council housekeeping issues that arise. The purpose of this section is to document changes that occur over time. This section begins in October 2010, immediately after the annual convention.
2010 Convention Amendments

By-Laws – Page 6
An Amendment Regarding Standing and Special Committees

Section 6. COMMITTEES. Committees shall be of two types, standing and special. The standing committee shall be appointed by the President with nominations from each Region provided by the Regional Representatives. Special committees shall be appointed by the President alone. A standing committee shall serve for one year, while special committees shall be appointed for one year, or until their assignment is completed, whichever is shorter. All committees shall report at the annual meeting and, upon request of the President, shall be required to report to the Executive Council. Membership of each committee shall be published in the newsletter no later than September 1st. The following committees shall each consist of five members and be regionally balanced: Public Relations, Professional Relations, Finance, Scholarship, Emeritus Membership and Congressional Debate.

C. Debate Topic Selection Committee. This standing committee shall consist of three members. Members shall be appointed by the President and it shall be their duty to research topic areas for the National Federation of State High School Associations and to make recommendations as to the national debate topic. It should be noted that the Texas Forensic Association is not, however, a voting participant in the NFSHSA.

G. Scholarship Committee. This standing committee shall consist of six members from at least three different regions of the organization. The committee shall send out scholarship applications by January 1. Completed applications shall be returned to the committee chairperson by February 1. The committee shall review all applications with school and student names omitted. Two male and two female recipients shall be announced at the TFA State Tournament.

By-Laws – Page 8
An Amendment Regarding TFA Officer Removal

Section 12. REMOVAL FROM OFFICE.

A. Grounds for Removal: The Texas Forensic Association shall have the power to remove any of its officers as provided in this section. The valid grounds for such removal shall include 1) continued gross or willful neglect or the duties of the office 2) failure or refusal to disclose necessary information on matters of organization business 3) unauthorized expenditures or misuse of organizations funds 4) conviction of a felony.

B. Removal Procedures: The following procedures shall be followed in all proceedings leading to the possible expulsion of an officer and shall not exceed a 60-day time limit from the time of the resolution.

1. A resolution by the Executive Council to consider the removal of an officer can be introduced by any member of the Executive Council. The resolution must be accompanied by corroborating evidence and/or support of the resolution.

2. The accused and accuser shall recuse themselves from voting in any of the proceedings.

3. If said resolution to consider the removal of an officer should pass the Executive Council by a simple majority, adequate defense shall be made by the officer, by telephone, by mail, or in person, to all those who shall vote on the question of his removal. No vote shall be taken to remove that officer until he/she has finished presenting his/her defense. The procedure for removing an officer must provide adequate notice to the accused officer, a fair hearing and the right to counsel.

4. After the officer in question has finished his defense, a two-thirds vote of the Executive Council shall be necessary to bring the issue to the body remove the officer in question. No officer shall be denied a response to proceedings aimed at his removal. If a two-thirds vote of the Executive Council is reached, the officer they will be required to step down.
immediately. If it is an office that has control over funds of the Texas Forensic Association, they will be obligated to cede control over said funds in a timely manner as determined by the Executive Council.

5. A vote will be called to ask for removal of the officer in question. An appeal may be brought before the membership by the officer in question. A vote requiring a two-thirds majority of the membership will be required to remove an officer from an elected position. The vote of the body will be conducted as soon as feasible (within the 60-day period outlined above. The vote may be electronic or by US mail.


An Amendment Regarding Script Violations in Speech & Interpretation Events

Extemporaneous Speaking

11. A note card may be used in preliminary rounds of Individual Qualifying Tournaments but is not permitted in any elimination rounds of these tournaments. Violation shall result in being ranked last in the round in which the violation occurred. Note: A note card may not be used in any round of the State Tournament. Violation shall result in being ranked last in the round.

Original Oratory

4. A written copy of the oration must be available to the tournament director upon request. Violation of this rule shall result in ranking down in the round in which the violation occurred will result in disqualification.

Original Oratory

7. The oration shall be memorized and presented without the use of notes, visual aids or costume. Violation shall result in an adverse effect on the team’s ranking in the round being ranked last in the round.

Duet Acting

7. The selection shall be memorized and presented without the use of physical objects (notes, props, hand props, or scenery) or costume. Two chairs will be allowed. The two chairs may be used to create atmosphere and environment. Violation shall result in an adverse effect on the team’s ranking in the round being ranked last in the round.

Duo Interpretation

7. The selection shall be memorized and presented without the use of physical objects (script, props, hand props, or scenery) or costume. Violation shall result in an adverse effect on the team’s ranking in the round being ranked last in the round.

Dramatic Interpretation

8. The selection shall be memorized and presented without the use of physical objects (script, props, hand props, or scenery) or costume. Students should avoid movement in the presentation that would distract from the literature itself. Violation shall result in ranking down being ranked last in the round.


An Amendment Regarding Time Limit in Speech & Interpretation Events Rounds

Original Oratory

6. Time limit shall be ten minutes maximum with a thirty-second grace period. […] Without adding text to the previously performed selection and only considering audience response, the contestant shall not be penalized for exceeding the time limit in all qualifying and state tournament elimination and final rounds.

Duet Acting

5. Time limit shall be twelve minutes maximum with a thirty-second grace period. […] Without adding text to the previously performed selection and only considering audience response, the contestant shall not be penalized for exceeding the time limit in all qualifying and state tournament elimination and final
rounds.

**Duo Interpretation**
5. Time limit shall be ten minutes maximum with a thirty-second grace period. [...] Without adding text to the previously performed selection and only considering audience response, the contestant shall not be penalized for exceeding the time limit in all qualifying and state tournament **elimination and** final rounds.

**Dramatic Interpretation**
6. Time limit shall be ten minutes maximum with a thirty-second grace period. [...] Without adding text to the previously performed selection and only considering audience response, the contestant shall not be penalized for exceeding the time limit in all qualifying and state tournament **elimination and** final rounds.

**Events**
1. **Prose (Offered in even years only):** Selection must be from a published work and must be read from a folder. [...] Without adding text to the previously performed selection and only considering audience response, the contestant shall not be penalized for exceeding the time limit in all state tournament **elimination and** final rounds.

**Standing Rules: Competition Events Guide – Page 33-35**

*An Amendment Regarding Transitional Phrases in Interpretation Events*

**Duet Acting**
11. Transitional phrases may be used in Duet Acting but must meet the following word requirements. **Violation will result in disqualification.**

**Duo Interpretation**
13. Transitional phrases may be used in Duo Interpretation but must meet the following word requirements. **Violation will result in disqualification.**

**Dramatic Interpretation**
8. Transitional phrases may be used in Dramatic Interpretation but must meet the following word requirements. **Violation will result in disqualification.**

*Standing Rules: Competition Events Guide – Page 33-35*

*An Amendment Regarding Authorial Intention in Interpretation Events*

**Duet Acting**
10. **Cuttings should maintain the author’s intent.**
11. Transitional phrases may be used in Dramatic Interpretation but must meet the following word requirements:
   
   f. No selection may use more than 100 total transitional words.
   
   g. These words cannot be used to write new scenes to any piece of literature.
   
   h. The 100 words cannot all be used in one particular location within the selection, as to change the author’s intent by adding excessive words/sentences.

**Duo Interpretation**
12. **Cuttings should maintain the author’s intent.**
13. Transitional phrases may be used in Dramatic Interpretation but must meet the following word requirements:

   a. No selection may use more than 100 total transitional words.
   
   b. These words cannot be used to write new scenes to any piece of literature.
   
   c. The 100 words cannot all be used in one particular location within the selection, as to change the author’s intent by adding excessive words/sentences.
Dramatic Interpretation
7. Cutting should maintain the author’s intent.
8. Transitional phrases may be used in Dramatic Interpretation but must meet the following word requirements:
   a. No selection may use more than 100 total transitional words.
   b. These words cannot be used to write new scenes to any piece of literature.
   c. The 100 words cannot all be used in one particular location within the selection, as to change the author’s intent by adding excessive words/sentences.


An Amendment Regarding Host School Participation

Qualifying Numbers
7. Invitational Qualifying Tournaments must have ten (10) participating schools in attendance (not including the host school) in order to award any qualification points. Minimum numbers in each event to award qualification points are: Policy-10 teams, PFD-10 teams, LD-10 entries Duo-10, Duet-10 teams, Congressional Debate-15 entries; all IE’s-15 entries. The Executive Council may modify this section at the beginning of the tournament season in order to make it consistent with any changes to the point system if the need arises.

Allowing cross entries to the State Tournament in both Duo Interp and Duet Acting

On page 33-35 and of the TFA Constitution:
Duo Interpretation –
Specific rules governing this contest are:
“Students may qualify in both Duet Acting and Duo Interp, but MAY ONLY COMPETE at the state level in one or the other.

Duet Acting – Specific rules governing this contest are:
“Students may qualify in both Duet Acting and Duo Interp, but MAY ONLY COMPETE at the state level in one or the other.

CHANGE TO: “Students may qualify in both Duet Acting and Duo Interp, and may compete at the state level in both events, but in this case, they may NOT perform the same selection for both categories, AND they may only compete in one other event in which they are qualified.”

An Amendment Concerning Crossfire
On page 28, Section “Cross-Examination and Crossfire Guidelines”
1. Delete all references to Crossfire

On page 43, under “Elimination Brackets”:
1. Insert: “1. Upon completion of the preliminary round portion of a tournament, all students with an undefeated record shall advance to the elimination bracket.”

Amendments which become effective in the 2011-2012 Competitive Year
The following underlined segments of rules concerning Congressional Debate found on page 28 of the TFA Constitution

Legislation
Legislation for Invitational Qualifying Tournaments in the regular season shall be available for all schools and participants on the website at www.txfa.org. An “All Call” for legislation will invite all TFA member schools to submit up to three (3) pieces of legislation for consideration two times per year; Due by December 1 and May 1. The Congressional Debate Committee shall establish a docket of exactly 30 pieces of legislation for each two-month period (August-October; November-December; January-February) and post for use by all schools
equally. In the event there are fewer than 30 items submitted that meet the Committee’s standard for debate, the Committee shall complete the docket with current topical legislation from other sources than the TFA membership. Authorship in the regular season shall be anonymous. Therefore, all opening speeches on a given piece of legislation will be sponsorship speeches. There are NO guaranteed authorship speeches in the regular season, therefore, no mandatory 2-minute CX. Instead, a one-minute CX period will follow all speeches in accordance with NFL guidelines.

should be amended to read:

Due by May 1st for debate in the months of August-December, and due by November 1st for debate in the months of January-March (including TFA State competition). The Congressional Debate Committee shall establish a docket of exactly 30 pieces of legislation for each of the two halves of yearly competition and post for use by all schools equally.

Additionally, these underlined words

Authorship in the regular season shall be anonymous. Therefore, all opening speeches on a given piece of legislation will be sponsorship speeches. There are NO guaranteed authorship speeches in the regular season, therefore, no mandatory 2-minute CX. Instead, a one-minute CX period will follow all speeches in accordance with NFL guidelines.

should be amended to read:

Authorship shall be attributed to submitting schools. Therefore, opening speeches on a given piece of legislation will be authorship or sponsorship speeches, depending on whether the school authoring the legislation is in attendance at each tournament. Questioning following these speeches shall follow NFL rules and procedures.

Allowing 8 to finals at the State Tournament in all Individual Events: Dramatic, Humorous, Duet, Duo, Oratory, International and Domestic Extemp

On page 57 of the TFA Constitution:

Individual Events Elimination Round Eligibility-

On Breaks to out rounds it states:

“In quarterfinals there would be 7 sections with up to a maximum of 8 in each section. If the break at 42 is clean, there will be 7 sections of 6. If ties force the number to 46, then there would be 7 sections–4 of 7, 2 of 6.

Three from each section would advance from quarters to semifinals, with 3 sections of 7 in semifinals. Two would advance from each section to finals, for 6 in finals.”

CHANGE TO: “Four from each section would advance from quarters to semifinals, with 4 sections of 7 in semifinals. Two would advance from each section to finals, for 8 in finals.”
2011 Convention Amendments

Professional Standards:
Add “other coaches and students.” to 7(d) after intimidating judges to read:
7. All sponsors chaperoning students participating in a TFA-sanctioned competition are expected to conduct themselves in a professional manner. Such conduct would include, but not be limited to, the following: paying entry fees at time of registration or demonstrating proof of intent to pay in the form of a purchase order or personal check. All outstanding fees are to be settled or received no later than sixty (60) days from the date of the tournament; (b) any additional judging, drop fees, or refunds must be paid or settled no later than thirty (30) days from the date of the tournament; (c) being present or having a principal-approved designee present so long as students from the sponsor’s school are at the tournament site; and (d) refraining from berating or intimidating judges, other coaches and students.

Congressional Debate:
Proposal: Page 28 #8
Delete the phrase...if the base system factors into the tabulation process.

p. 55 Scoring
Currently reads: The Best of Base System will be used for determining advancement and tabulation of all rounds at the TFA State Tournament

Amendment Proposed: Replace the above with:
The Ranking of the Top 8 Legislators, by the scorers in each chamber, will be used for determining advancement and tabulation of all rounds at the TFA State Tournament.

p. 56 – Semi-final Congress
Selection of Delegates
Delete # 1, 7 and 8 – No longer necessary if using Ranks of Top 8

p. 56 – Super Congress
Replace #2 – Selection for the Final Ballot: At the conclusion of Super Congress, the ranks of the Top 8 Legislators, provided by the 3 scorers, will be used to determine the winners. The cum rank of the top 8 will be tallied and the lowest cum will receive 1st, next lowest, 2nd; and so on to determine the Top 8. The parliamentarian will rank everyone in the chamber, and these ranks will only be used for tie-breaking purposes only.

Current Reading: p. 45 – Scoring and Advancement
2nd paragraph
The required method for determining advancement and tabulating final results in Congressional Debate is the Best of Base System. A student may give as many speeches as “precedence” will allow and all points will be recorded, however, BASE will determine the number of speeches counted in the final tabulation. (Ex: If the chamber completes base 4, and Representative Smith has given 6 speeches, his best 4 will be counted.)

New amendment: Replace the above paragraph with the following:
The required method for determining advancement and tabulating final results in Congressional Debate is ranking of the top 8 legislators. Speech points are recorded for NFL points only. Advancement is selected by the scorer, ranking the top 8 Best Overall Legislators. All other students will receive a rank of 9. Parliamentarians are to rank ALL members of the chamber and these ranks will used for tie-breaking purposes only. Parliamentarians will have NO scoring responsibilities. If more than one scorer is in a chamber, the ranks of all judges will be added together and the 8 members with the lowest cum will advance. Two scorers and a parliamentarian MUST be present in the final rounds.
FROM THE CONSTITUTION PG 28

4. Members shall not yield any portion of his/her time except for a question.

AMEND TO READ
4. Members shall not yield any portion of his/her time for questioning.

Prose and Poetry Amendment:
Selection of literature:
1. Prose (Offered in even years only): Selection(s) must be from a published work(or published works) and must be read from a folder. Selections cannot be taken from plays. A memorized introduction stating the title(s) and author(s) is required. Maximum time is seven minutes with a thirty-second grace period. Without adding text to the previously performed selection(s) and only considering audience response, the contestant shall not be penalized for exceeding the time limit in all state tournament elimination and final rounds.

2. Poetry (Offered in odd years only): The rules shall be the same as Prose, except that the literature must be poetic in nature.

Debate Evidence Amendment:
2. Written Requirements
   a. Bibliographic Documentation: All participants submitting evidence in competition shall possess and present upon demand such evidence in written form. This written form must display full bibliographic source citation, even if the full citation is not orally delivered. “Full citation” includes the following elements: Author’s name, author’s qualifications, complete source information, complete date, and page number. Evidence submitted from an internet source (delete bold) should include the following elements: an acknowledgment that the material was electronically retrieved, name of online source (Nexis, Internet, Dialogue, etc.), the date of access and the URL site.

Professional Standards Amendment:
Pg 9, add to #6. After “Upon,”
“In the event of an official protest the host is required to respond to protesting coaches/sponsors prior to subsequent rounds or awards.”
2012 Convention Amendments

Previous Wording, prior to 2012 changes:

Change # 1

**Exttemp speaking (p.31)**

5. After the contestant has chosen a topic, s/he shall withdraw to the preparation area and plan his/her speech without conferring or exchanging information with anyone. No prepared notes or outlines will be allowed in the preparation room. Contestants may refer to files containing books, book excerpts, periodicals, online retrievals from mass media publications, and/or photocopies of any of the above. Information retrieved from internet websites must include the URL citation. Cutting and pasting of multiple articles into a single document is not permitted. Once a contestant has chosen a topic, s/he may neither change from nor alter that topic. No visual aids may be used in the delivery of the speech. Violation shall result in ranking down in the round in which the violation occurred.

Change # 2

Individual Events, Duo, and Duet Acting Elimination Round Eligibility (p. 57) In individual events, the top 42 contestants would advance to quarterfinals based on cumulative ranks in the first three rounds. If there is a tie at 42, that tie would be broken based first on converting scores to reciprocal fractions: 1st=1.00, 2nd=.50, 3rd=.33, 4th=.25, 5th=.20. The contestants with the highest total would advance. If there is still a tie for the 42nd spot, all contestants still tied for that slot would advance to quarterfinals up to 56 maximum. If, because of the tie, more than 56 would break, then the ties among those pushing the number over 56 would be broken by reverting to original ranks in the round—a rank of 7 would be counted as a 7, a 6 as a 6, etc. In quarterfinals there would be 7 sections with up to a maximum of 8 in each section.

Four from each section would advance from quarters to semifinals, with 4 sections of 7 in semi finals. Two would advance from each section to finals, for 8 in finals.

Change # 3

Code of Professional Standards- Proposed Amendments

5. Any decision rendered by a tournament host that results in the disqualification of a participant, school, or sponsor may be appealed to the Executive Council of the TFA. Responsibility for contacting and presenting the specifics of that appeal to the Executive Council rests with the appellant.

Change # 4

6. Hosts of TFA qualifying tournaments are responsible for meeting minimum TFA standards and offering at least four TFA events. Moreover, the speech sponsor or sponsors hosting a TFA-sanctioned competition are responsible for providing a competition that is fairly and competently administered and realistically scheduled. In the event of an official protest, the host is required to respond to protesting coaches/sponsors prior to subsequent rounds/awards. Upon receipt of proof of the failure of an Invitational Qualifying Tournament to adhere to these standards and meet acceptable administrative and scheduling guidelines, the TFA Executive Council is empowered to restrict the offending institution from hosting a TFA-sanctioned competition for a period of at least one year.

Change # 5

Hosting an IQT

5. The tournament dates shall be assigned according to the following priorities:

a. Those schools having previously hosted a qualifying tournament and who are requesting the same weekend as the previous year.

b. Those schools having previously hosted a qualifying tournament and who are requesting a different weekend from the previous year.

c. Anyone requesting to hold a qualifying tournament who had not hosted one the previous year.
d. Any application received after May 1.
e. Those schools who requested a tournament date the previous year and subsequently cancelled their tournament or moved their tournament date.

Change # 6
Public Forum Debate
Strike (p.27): Rules for specific administration of this event shall follow those provided by the NFL.
Strike (p.28): 3. Time: Each team shall be allowed a total of eight minutes a preparation time per round.

Change # 7
Scholarship Committee. The committee shall send out scholarship applications by January 1. Completed applications shall be returned to the committee chairperson by February 1. The committee shall review all applications with school and student names omitted. Two male and two female recipients shall be announced at the TFA State Tournament.

Change # 8
1. School Sweepstakes Awards: School sweepstakes will be presented to the top five schools based on the following criteria:
a. Qualifying Events
i. Two points shall be awarded for advancing to octofinals in debate and semifinal participation in the United States House.
ii. Four points shall be awarded for reaching quarterfinals in qualifying events and final participants in the United States House not making the final ballot.
iii. Six points shall be awarded for reaching semifinals in qualifying events and non-placing finalists making the ballot in the United States House.
iv. Ten points shall be awarded for each non-placing finalist in qualifying events.
v. Fifteen points shall be awarded in qualifying events for each third place awarded, twenty points for each second place, and twenty-five points for each first place awarded.
b. Consolation Events
i. One point shall be awarded for semifinals participation in the United States Senate
ii. Two points shall be awarded for participating in semifinals in consolation events and finals in the United States Senate not making the final ballot.
iii. Five points shall be awarded for non-placing participants in finals in consolation events and non-placing finalists making the ballot in the United States Senate
iv. Eight points shall be awarded for each third place awarded, ten points for each second place, and fifteen points for each first place awarded

Change # 9
Hosting an Invitational Qualifying Tournament
3. The tournament shall be held between the dates of August 15 and the second weekend in February. No qualifying tournament can be held on the dates of the TSCA convention. In the event of a natural or man-made disaster, the Executive Council shall be granted authority to alter the qualifying requirements, in regions so affected, so as not to affect the ability to host the State Tournament on its pre-determined weekend.

Change # 10
8. No portion of a work used by either student prior to the current school year or during the current year in another TFA event shall be used in TFA competition. The only exception will be that a student may use the same Duet Acting selection during the same school year in both Duo and Duet. The same selection shall be used for the duration of the tournament. Violation of this rule will result in disqualification.
10. No portion of a work used by either student prior to the current school year or during the current year in another TFA event shall be used in TFA competition. The only exception will be that a student may use the same Duo Interpretation selection during the same school year in both Duo and Duet. The same selection shall be used for the duration of the tournament. Violation of this rule will result in disqualification.

Change # 11
1) On page 28 under Congressional Debate:
Congressional Debate enables the student to gain a better knowledge of political science while utilizing the skills of public speaking, group discussion, debate, and parliamentary procedure. Students have the opportunity to qualify for the Texas Forensic Association State Tournament as a Representative in the United States House. Every TFA member School shall be granted one legislator in the United States Senate. Participation at the TFA State tournament requires that all schools submit legislation to the Congressional Debate Committee Chair by the December 15th deadline.
Change # 12

Floor Debate
1. Each bill or resolution shall be debated for a maximum of 45 minutes. If action has not been taken on the legislation by that time, an immediate vote shall be taken.
2. Members shall claim the floor only if they wish to oppose the views of the preceding speaker so that debate shall alternate. (Violators shall be refused further recognition).
Proposed change: at the end of “refused further recognition” add “for debate on that piece of legislation.”

Change # 13
Current: Congress Tabulation (pg. 45)

Scoring and Advancement
The required method for determining advancement and tabulating final results in Congressional Debate is ranking of the top 8 legislators. Speech points are required for NFL points only. Advancement is selected by the scorer, ranking the top 8 Best Overall Legislators. All other students will receive a rank of 9. Parliamentarians are to rank ALL members of the chamber and these ranks will be used for tie-breaking purposes only. Parliamentarians will have NO scoring responsibilities. If more than one scorer is in a chamber, the ranks of all judges will be added together and up to 8 members with the lowest cum will advance. Two scorers and a parliamentarian MUST be present in the final rounds.

Change # 14
Add to the chart/table on p. 44 of the TFA Constitution Minimum time requirements for each chamber containing the following number of individuals:
8-12 90 minutes (with the exception of the final chamber)
12-14 120 minutes
15-17 150 minutes
18-20+ 180 minutes (would include those tournaments with only one preliminary chamber)

Change # 15
The President and Regional Representatives will appoint a regionally balanced committee of at least 10 experienced coaches (2 from each region) and a chair to study, propose, and suggest for adoption by the TFA members general standards and norms for Individual Qualifying Tournaments. Such norms and standards would include, but not limited to: --Minimum rounds necessary for events dependent on the number of entries--Suggested tournament schedules for one day and two day tournaments--Judge recruitment and training--Tournament entry, school, and judge fees--Offering non-qualifying events (prose, poetry, impromptu) when choosing not to offer other qualifying events (debate)--Definition and accepted practices for “swing” tournaments--Electronic reporting of results (requirements for putting packet information on accepted website locations)

Change # 16
Page 28 under ‘Floor Debate’
• Strike item 1 “each bill or resolution shall be debated for a minimum of 45 minutes. If action has not been taken on the legislation by that time, an immediate vote shall be taken.”
• Add to item 3 “Membership speeches shall last no longer than three minutes with one minute of cross-examination time with the exception of sponsorship/authorship speeches and the first negative speech, where cross-examination shall last no longer than two minutes.”
• Add to item 5 "Members may ask only one question per questioning period. No prefacing or multiple part questions are allowed. Questions cannot be repeated. Members may ask additional questions if no members who haven’t asked a question want to ask a question."

Change # 17
Page 44-45 amend the paragraph ‘If students are... breaking mechanism only” to read:
If students are permitted to run, it is advisable that the time for auditions be limited in order to maximize time for debate. Tournament directors may also opt for brief speeches of qualification instead of audition periods. In either case, candidates shall be elected via secret ballot by the members present. Candidates for PO shall be equally divided among houses, and if multiple preliminary sessions are held, candidates may be divided equally among sessions. Candidate auditions shall not be scored, will not count against precedence, and will not be included in the students’ totals. Parliamentarians and scorers shall award 0-6 points per hour of service to the elected Presiding Officer, which shall count against precedence and be included in the students' totals.

Change # 18
Page 55 under procedural rules item 3, presiding officer. Strike lines a-d. “a. Students wishing to run for presiding officer shall indicate such on the State Entry. b. Each candidate shall preside for fifteen minutes whereupon the members shall, by secret ballot, select a presiding officer. c. The presiding officer should have an expert knowledge of parliamentary procedure, leadership ability, and a sense of fairness. d. Please see the NFL Congress Manual or Handbook for Parliamentary guidelines. www.nflonline.org.”
Page 56 Semifinal Congress and Super Congress. Strike item 2 (semis) and 1b (Super Congress) and replace with “Presiding Officers will be elected from the floor following the same procedures as preliminary rounds”.
2013 Convention Amendments

Change # 1:
Page 59, Sweepstakes
Two points shall be awarded for advancing to debate double-octofinals and semifinal participation in the United States House.

Change # 2: added
Page 59, Sweepstakes
  c. Sweepstakes points are not cumulative- students receive points based on highest placement in an event.

Change # 3: added
4. Individual Sweepstakes Awards- Individual sweepstakes awards will be presented to the top individuals based on the following criteria.
   i. Students will receive the same number of points as they earn towards team sweepstakes.
   ii. The top five students will be recognized.
   iii. In the event of a tie, the tie will be broken based on most final rounds, then semi-final rounds, then quarter-final rounds. If still tied, ties will be broken based on number of 1st place finishes, then 2nd place finishes, etc... Tied contestants after the above tie breakers are applied will remain tied.

Change # 4: added page 3
Members must represent a school, defined as an organization, institution, or group which grants a diploma or its equivalent as recognized by the Texas State Board of Education and/or Texas Education Agency to provide instruction for grades nine, ten, eleven, and/or twelve.

Change # 5: Page 51, Added to item 1, "as defined by article III,section 1."

Change # 6: Date changed to January 31. Then added, "Schools hosting a TFA qualifier must become members before October 31, either through an individual membership or institutional membership."

Change # 7: Added to page 57: “Ranks above 5 will be converted to 5 for the purposes of determining cumulative rank.” Also “Ranks above 5 will be treated as 5s (20) in determining the reciprocal total.”

Change # 8: Page 51 Location: Add to paragraph 2: a. The Executive Council will consider proposals with precedence going to the region who has hosted state least recently. b. If a suitable host cannot be found in that region, the executive council will consider proposals from the next least recent region, and so on and so forth. c. In such a case, the rotation will resume as if the region slated to host state had hosted state (e.g. if region IV declines to host, region V hosts the following year regardless of where the tournament was held during region IV’s year).
The order of regions to host State shall be as follows beginning with the 2012 state tournament: Region I (Upper West Texas/Panhandle) Region II (North Texas) Region IV (East Texas) Region V (Far West Texas) Region III (Central/South Texas)

Change # 9: Add Social Media Correspondent. This position will be appointed by the TFA President (at the same time and through the same process as the IQT Coordinator and Archivist), and shall serve for one year. This individual will update and maintain the TFA website, update and maintain the TFA Facebook page, update and maintain the TFA twitter account, and shall be responsible for presenting social media opportunities to the body at the annual meeting. S/He will also be responsible for scanning ballots (if this option is available) at the TFA State Tournament. S/He will also be responsible for maintaining the purchasing and distribution of TFA merchandise. This person will be a non-voting member of the TFA
Executive Council.

Change # 10:
Added to 2 on page 47.

If there is a 3 way tie, judge’s preference can be used to compare each pair of speakers head to head. If one speaker wins head to head judges preference against both tied opponents, that speaker will be awarded the highest rank. The remaining two contestants will be compared using judge’s preference to determine the next highest ranking. For example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Judge:</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speaker A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker C</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this example, Speaker A wins judge’s preference against Speaker B (Judge X and Y) and Speaker A wins judge’s preference against Speaker C (Judge X and Y). Hence, Speaker A would receive the highest rank amongst the three, Speaker B would receive the next highest rank.

Change # 11: Add to Code of Professional Standards
Any challenge or charges regarding a TFA issue should be presented in writing within ten days to all parties involved in the matter. At that time, all parties have ten days to request a formal hearing so that each may present pertinent facts in person or via electronic communication. The Executive Council may then make a decision based on the circumstances surrounding each unique situation.

Changes 12-26: Congressional Committee Recs, passed as a single piece of legislation

These are the recommendations of the Congressional Debate Committee at the conclusion of meetings held in Irving, Texas on Aug 30-31, 2013:

P. 7 Under I. Congressional Debate Committee, strike the last sentence of the first paragraph.

I. Congressional Debate Committee. A regionally balanced committee appointed by the TFA President shall select the best 30 pieces of submitted legislation through a blind review to create the calendar for all sessions. Legislation will be selected according to the following criteria: (a) grammatical structure and style, (b) legislative intent, (c) need for the plan and feasibility, and (d) formatted in the prescribed template, and (e) national in scope. By June 1st the committee shall post the Fall docket, and by December 1st the committee shall submit the Spring docket (January through March) to the webmaster for posting on the TFA website.

pp. 27-31

Congressional Debate

Strike the last sentence of the first paragraph

Congressional Debate enables the student to gain a better knowledge of political science while utilizing the skills of public speaking, group discussion, debate, and parliamentary procedure. Students have the opportunity to qualify for the Texas Forensic Association State Tournament as a Representative in the United States House. Every TFA Member School shall be granted one legislator in the United States Senate. Participation at the TFA State Tournament in the United States Senate as well as the House requires that all schools requesting to participate submit legislation to the Congressional Debate Committee Chair by the May 1st deadline or November 1st deadline.

Congressional Debate shall not be run as a Consolation Event at Invitational Qualifying Tournaments. Congressional Debate may be run in any pattern at the discretion of the tournament director, and the tournament director may limit cross-entries. A minimum number of actual time for floor debate must be met.

Strike the following sentences.

It is the goal of the Congressional Debate Committee and the Executive Council to align our Congress as closely as possible with the National Forensic League Congressional Debate policies and procedures. Due to our unique circumstances, any special distinctions will be addressed under IQT and/or State Meet guidelines.
Add the following:
A preliminary session is defined as including:
1. Minimum of 3 hours
2. 18-20 students as the optimum number for a three-hour session.
3. Election of a presiding officer
4. New seating chart
5. Resetting of precedence/recency
6. New legislation that has not been debated in a previous session in the tournament

Floor Debate
Following the first two speeches on legislation, the presiding officer will alternately recognize affirmative and negative speakers, who will address the chamber for up to three minutes, followed by one minute of questioning by other delegates. If no one wishes to oppose the preceding speaker, the presiding officer may recognize a speaker upholding the same side. When no one seeks the floor for debate, the presiding officer may ask the chamber if they are "ready for the question," at which point, if there is no objection, voting may commence on the legislation itself. There is no "minimum cycle rule. At the point where three speeches are given unopposed the previous question will be immediately called.

a. In the event a student speaks on the wrong side called for by the presiding officer and the error is not caught, the speaker shall be scored and the speech shall count in precedence but the speaker must be but the speaker will receive no more than three points for not paying close attention to the flow of debate.

b. In the event a student speaks on an item of legislation not currently being debated, said speech shall count in precedence, but zero points shall be awarded.

Strike the next sentence and remove the parenthesis enclosing the next sentence.

1. Members shall claim the floor only if they wish to oppose the views of the preceding speaker so that debate shall alternate. (Violators who speak on the wrong side or the wrong item shall be refused further recognition for debate on that piece of legislation, and that speech will count for precedence).

Strike the first word of the next sentence and capitalize the word Speeches.

2. Membership Speeches shall last no longer than three minutes with one minute of cross-examination time with the exception of sponsorship/authorship speeches and the first negative speech, where cross-examination shall last no longer than two minutes.

3. Members shall not yield any portion of his/her time for questioning.

Strike the words “multiple questions” and “if all other members have been recognized and time is still available”.
Add the words “only one question” in the first sentence as demonstrated.
Add the sentence beginning "Members may ask additional questions".

4. Members may ask multiple questions only one question per questioning period. If all other members have been recognized and time is still available. No prefacing or multiple part questions are allowed. Questions cannot be repeated. Members may ask additional questions if no members who haven’t asked a question want to ask a question.

5. Members must speak only after being recognized by the presiding officer.

Strike the words “and/or the NFL Congressional Debate Manual”

6. Members may not suspend the rules in order to change rules stipulated in the TFA Constitution, and/or the NFL Congressional Debate Manual.

Strike the sentence beginning “Precedence must be enforced”. Add next two sentences beginning with “Authorship shall be attributed”.

7. Precedence must be enforced unless there are no members wishing to speak. Authorship shall be attributed to submitting schools. Therefore, opening speeches on a
given piece of legislation will be authorship or sponsorship speeches, depending on whether the school authoring the legislation is in attendance at each tournament.

Strike the first sentence beginning “Questioning following these speeches”:
Add the next three sentences as demonstrated:

8. Questioning following these speeches shall follow NFL rules and procedures.
   Two minutes if questioning shall follow the first pro and first con, and all other speeches on legislation will be followed by one minute of questioning.

9. Each bill or resolution shall be debated for a maximum of 45 minutes. If action has not been taken on the legislation by that time, an immediate vote shall be taken.

Legislation
Add the sentence beginning “No more than 2 pieces” as demonstrated.
Delete the sentence beginning “Authorship shall be attributed” as demonstrated.
Legislation for Invitational Qualifying Tournaments in the regular season shall be available for all schools and participants on the website at www.txfa.org. An “All Call” for legislation will invite all TFA member schools to submit up to three (3) pieces of legislation for consideration two times per year: Due by May 1st for debate in the months of August-December, and due by November 1st for debate in the months of January-March (including TFA State competition). No more than 2 pieces of legislation will be placed on the docket from any one school. The Congressional Debate Committee shall establish a docket of exactly 30 pieces of legislation for each of the two halves of yearly competition and post for use by all schools equally. In the event there are fewer than 30 items submitted that meet the Committee’s standard for debate, the Committee shall complete the docket with current topical legislation from other sources than the TFA membership. Authorship shall be attributed to submitting schools. Therefore, opening speeches on a given piece of legislation will be authorship or sponsorship speeches, depending on whether the school authoring the legislation is in attendance at each tournament.

Tournament Directors shall designate in their invitation which pieces of legislation shall be used in Prelims, Semis and Finals.
Strike the words “are addressed under the Oratory rules in the TFA Constitution, and these rules apply to legislation submitted for Congressional Debate” and add “not allowed” as demonstrated.
Add the word “next” before “State Tournament” and delete “for that year” as demonstrated.

Plagiarism and “extensive paraphrasing” are addressed under the Oratory rules in the TFA Constitution, and these rules apply to legislation submitted for Congressional Debate not allowed. While some legislative issues continue to merit legislative debate in Congressional Debate, the creation of legislation surrounding the issue should be the original work of the authoring school. Legislation from NFL District contests, NFL Nationals, and previously submitted TFA Congressional Debate legislation (not the work of the submitting school) are all reviewed when a challenge to originality is made. Schools found to be in violation shall be disqualified from participation in Congressional Debate at the next State Tournament for that year.

Requirements

d. Legislation which does not conform to guidelines will be rejected from consideration for the Congressional Debate calendar.
e. Bills or resolutions are to be typed using the template provided on the NFL website. Links are located on the TFA Congress page at www.txfa.org.
f. Legislation Deadlines
   iii. May 1 for August – December debate
   iv. November 1 for January-March (including TFA State debate
Parliamentary Procedure
It is necessary for all Congressional Debate participants to have a working knowledge of parliamentary procedure. The Parliamentarian shall be the final authority on both parliamentary and Congressional Debate rules.

Strike the sentence beginning “Please see the NFL Congressional” as demonstrated.

Please see the NFL Congressional Debate Manual or Handbook for additional assistance. www.nflonline.org.

Pp. 46-48
Add the words “for Qualifying Tournaments” as demonstrated.

Congressional Debate Tabulation for Qualifying Tournaments
Entry Numbers and Requirements
Adjust the chart as demonstrated beginning row 15.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum number of entries for Qualifying Event</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum number of students per Preliminary House</td>
<td>20 (if total entries are 20-30, 1 chamber is acceptable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semifinals Houses required</td>
<td>61 or greater entries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum entries per Semifinal chamber</td>
<td>16 per chamber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum advancing to Super Congress</td>
<td>16 with a minimum of 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum total hours of actual floor debate (all sessions together); recesses do not count as floor debate time</td>
<td>5 hours, with a minimum of 2 for finals depending on the number of contestants in each chamber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary Judges</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Parliamentarian*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scorer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semis &amp; Finals Judges</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Parliamentarian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scorers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presiding Officer Audition Time</td>
<td>15 minutes max per candidate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score per speech</td>
<td>0 min - 6 max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum number of minutes for each chamber with 8-11 contestants</td>
<td>90 minutes (exception of the final chamber which must be a minimum of 2 hours)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum number of minutes for each chamber with 12-14 contestants</td>
<td>120 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum number of minutes for each chamber with 15-17 contestants</td>
<td>150 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum number of minutes for each chamber with 18-19 contestants</td>
<td>180 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum number of entries for Qualifying Event</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum number of students per Preliminary House</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semifinals Houses required</td>
<td>61 or greater entries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum entries per Semifinal chamber</td>
<td>16 per chamber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum advancing to Super Congress</td>
<td>16 with a minimum of 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum total hours of actual floor debate (recesses do not count as floor debate time)</td>
<td>3 hours minimum for prelims; 2 hours for semis with 12 competitors (add 10 minutes for each additional competitor—not to exceed 16 competitors); 2 hours for finals with 12 competitors (add 10 minutes for each additional competitors—not to exceed 16 competitors)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary Judges</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scorer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role</td>
<td>Requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semis &amp; Finals Judges</td>
<td>1 Parliamentarian*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scorers</td>
<td>2 Scorers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Parliamentarian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presiding Officer Selection Process</td>
<td>Campaign speeches enumerating qualifications to preside, not to exceed one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>minute each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score per speech</td>
<td>0 min - 6 max</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In Prelims and Semis, the Scorer may also serve as the Parliamentarian, if a student is elected as Presiding Officer. If no student is elected as Presiding Officer, a separate scorer, and a parliamentarian and an adult (high school graduate) Presiding Officer are required. In Finals, one of the scorers may also act as a Parliamentarian if there is a student Presiding Officer. If no student serves, 2 scorers and an additional a Parliamentarian are required in addition to an adult (high school graduate) Presiding Officer.

Add the sentence beginning "The Parliamentarian scores" as demonstrated.

The Parliamentarian scores only the student PO and ranks all competitors in the room.

**Presiding Officers**

Tournament Directors shall decide if students may run for Presiding Officers in all sessions and shall indicate this opportunity in the tournament invitation. Students wishing to run for PO shall declare their intention on the tournament entry form or with the tournament director by the due date.

*Strike the words “separate”, “and”, and “an additional” as demonstrated.
Add the words “a”, “and an adult (high school graduate) Presiding Officer”, “a”, and “in addition to an adult (high school graduate) Presiding Officer” as demonstrated.
*

If students are permitted to run, it is advisable that the time for auditions be limited in order to maximize time for debate. Tournament directors may also opt for brief speeches of qualification instead of audition periods (the preferred method). In either case, not to exceed one minute may be given. Candidates shall be elected via secret ballot by the members present. Candidates for PO shall be equally divided among houses, and if multiple preliminary sessions are held, candidates may be divided equally among sessions. Candidate audition speeches shall not be scored, will not count against precedence, and will not be included in the students' totals. Parliamentarians shall award 0-6 points per hour of service to the elected Presiding Officer, which shall count against precedence and be included in the students' totals. Parliamentarians and scorers shall award 0-6 points per hour of service to the elected Presiding Officer, which shall count against precedence and be included in the students' totals.

Add “PO” following “This adult” and “or ranking” as demonstrated and strike the words "but will rank all participants at the end of the session”.

If no PO candidates are running or are permitted to run, the designated Presiding Officer shall be an adult (high school graduate) parliamentarian designated to conduct the session. This adult PO will not have scoring or ranking responsibilities, but will rank all participants at the end of the session.

**Scoring and Advancement**

In all sessions, the Scorer(s) shall be responsible for evaluating every student speech given. Elected POs shall receive one score per hour of service from the Scorer. If a student receives a score from the scorer, for both speaking and service, these scores shall be added together to compute the student’s total.

*Strike the word “no” in the sentence beginning “Parliamentarians will have” and add “only for the presiding officer” and “as outlined in the IE Tab section” as demonstrated.

The required method for determining advancement and tabulating final results in Congressional Debate is ranking of the top 8 legislators. Speech points are required for NFL points only. Advancement is selected by the scorer, ranking the top 8 Best Overall Legislators. All other students will receive a rank of 9.
Parliamentarians are to rank ALL members of the chamber. For initial rankings, the Parliamentarian’s ranks, up to eighth are tabulated as well, with subsequent ranks considered as ranks of 9. Parliamentarians will have NO scoring responsibilities only for the presiding officer.

Each individual chamber is tabulated independent of the others. Legislators with the lowest cumulative rank total advance to the next level of competition, employing the following tiebreakers:

1. Judge's preference
2. Reciprocal fractions as outlined in the IE tabulation section.
3. Rank by the parliamentarian

Congressional Debate
General Rules

Strike the first section c beginning “All schools planning to participate” as demonstrated.

4. Entry:
   d. Entries in the United States House shall be based upon qualifications at IQT’s that offer Congressional Debate. The students’ names shall be submitted on the entry form for the State Tournament.
   e. Each member school may enter one contestant in the United States Senate. These students may not have qualified in any other event and will compete in a separate division from the United States House.
   f. All schools planning to participate at the State Tournament must submit legislation to the Congressional Debate Committee Chair by May 1st or November 1st of the calendar year before the year the State Tournament takes place regardless of current qualifications. (So, if the State Tournament is held in 2013, the legislation must be sent in by May 1, 2012, or November 1, 2012.)

   c. Students qualified for the United States House at the State Tournament may compete in up to two Individual Events in which they have also qualified, at the discretion of the TFA Executive Committee.

   Students in the United States Senate may not compete in any other event.

5. Judging: Parliamentarians and Scorers will be selected by the Congressional Debate Committee prior to assigning judges for other events. All schools entering students in Congressional Debate will automatically be placed in the pool to serve as either a scorer or a parliamentarian if needed.

Strike Item 3 pertaining to “Legislation” as demonstrated.

4. Legislation: If A SCHOOL PLANS TO ATTEND TFA STATE IN CONGRESSIONAL DEBATE, the school must submit at least one piece and up to three (three maximum) of legislation to the Congressional Debate Committee by the November 1st deadline in order to participate. Failure to submit legislation by the deadline renders a school INELIGIBLE to participate at TFA State for that competitive year in the United States House or the United States Senate. A regionally balanced Congressional Debate Committee appointed by the TFA President shall select the best pieces of submitted legislation through a blind review to create the calendar for all sessions. Legislation will be selected according to the following criteria: (a) grammatical structure and style, (b) legislative intent, (c) need for the plan and feasibility, (d) formatted into the prescribed template, and (e) national in focus. By December 1st, the Congressional Debate Committee shall submit the docket to the webmaster for posting on the TFA website.

Make “Chambers” become Item 3. In section a strike the sentence beginning “The number and length” and add “There will be two 4 hour sessions aligned with 4 debate rounds” and information beginning “scorers are reassigned each session” as demonstrated.

6. Chambers: There will be preliminary houses as determined by the number of entries. Each chamber shall contain no more than 20 students and shall be regionally balanced.
   d. Preliminary Sessions: The number and length of preliminary sessions shall be determined by the
State Tournament Director and the Congressional Debate Committee. There will be two 4 hour sessions aligned with 4 debate rounds. Parliamentarians and Scorers shall be assigned to a chamber for the entire round. Scorers are reassigned each session (4 hours).

A preliminary session is defined as including:
1. Minimum of 3 hours
2. 18-20 students as the optimum number for a three hour session.
3. Election of a presiding officer
4. New seating chart
5. Resetting of precedence/recency
6. New legislation that has not been debated in a previous session in the tournament

e. **Semifinal Congress:** In the event that there are three or more preliminary chambers, two semifinal chambers will be created for a round which shall be no less than four hours in length.

f. **Super Congress:** There will be one “finals” round of three hours which will be known as Super Congress.

**Procedural Rules**

*Strike the sentence beginning “The first five pieces” and add the sentence beginning “Docket order for State” as demonstrated.*

6. **Order of the Docket:** The first five pieces of legislation, must be debated in order for all rounds of competition at TFA State. Docket order for State will be released following the conclusion of the IQT season.

7. **Floor Debate:** See section under IQT’s.

*Strike the word “Round” and add sessions in the sentence beginning “At the conclusion of the Prelim” as demonstrated.*

8. **Presiding Officer:** A presiding officer shall be elected at the beginning of each House/Senate preliminary session. At the conclusion of the Prelim **Round sessions**, each chamber will vote for their outstanding P.O. This student will be presented with a gavel at the awards ceremony.

9. **Authorship speeches:** Schools, whose legislation is selected for the Preliminary round at State, are guaranteed an authorship speech. The author of the bill or resolution shall be recognized to open debate, but shall have no other preference in speaking on said bill or resolution. Amendments are not allowed authorship speeches. A brief statement of justification is allowed but will not be scored.
   a. The authorship speech shall be a maximum of three minutes with an additional two minutes of cross-examination time.
   b. If the author of the legislation is not in the chamber, the chair shall recognize a member to begin debate. This will be treated as a sponsorship speech, not one of authorship and will be followed by two minutes of cross-examination. The first negative speech will also be followed by a two minute questioning period.

*Add section 5 as demonstrated*

5. **Tiebreaking Procedures**

Each individual chamber is tabulated independent of the others. Legislators with the lowest cumulative rank total advance to the next level of competition, employing the following tiebreakers:

1. Judge’s preference
2. Reciprocal fractions
3. Rank by the parliamentarian

*Strike the sentence beginning “See the Student Congress Manual”, See the Student Congress Manual or Parliamentary Procedure Handbook www.nflonline.org.*

**Scoring**

*In section c strike the words “and Scorer” and “Round” and add “sessions” as demonstrated.*

Speaker points shall be awarded by the official scorers ranging from 1-6 with 6 being the highest score. Presiding officers shall be awarded points by the parliamentarian ranging from 1 to 6, with 6 being the highest score.
d. Points shall be awarded only for speeches with Cross-examination also being a factor. Rankings should include activity in the chamber as well as speeches and question/responses to questions.

e. The Parliamentarian shall award the presiding officer a score at the conclusion of each hour of service.

f. The Parliamentarian and Scorer will be assigned to a chamber for the entire Preliminary Round sessions.

The Ranking of the Top 8 Legislators by the scorers in each chamber will be used for determining advancement and tabulation of all rounds at the TFA State Tournament.

Semifinal Congress

1. **Sectioning:** Semifinal chambers shall be sectioned by:
   
   *Strike sections b and c and add “b. Rank balance between houses while maintaining regional balance.”
   
   b. Regional balance, followed by
   
   c. Previous seating in a particular house
   
   Rank balance between houses while maintaining regional balance.

2. **Judges:** Parliamentarians and Scorers shall not have judged in preliminary sessions and shall be assigned to a chamber for the entire round.

3. **Number of Members:** Semifinal houses shall not contain more than 16 persons each.

4. **Minimum Preliminary Rounds:** If there are less than three preliminary chambers, no Semifinal Congress shall be held.

Super Congress

*Strike “PO” in section b and add “Parliamentarians” as demonstrated.
Add section c “Adult PO may be assigned if available”.
In section 2 strike “only” in the last clause.

4. **Organization**
   
e. No more than 16 delegates shall be in the Super Congress
   
f. The assigned P.O./Parliamentarians/Scorers shall remain for the entire round.
   
g. Adult PO may be assigned if available.

5. **Selection for the Final Ballot:** At the conclusion of Super Congress, the ranks of the top 8 legislators, provided by the three scorers, will be used to determine the winners. The cum rank of the top 8 will be tallied and the lowest cum will receive 1<sup>st</sup>, next lowest 2<sup>nd</sup>, and so on to determine the top 8. The Parliamentarian will rank everyone in the chamber, and these ranks will only be used for tie-breaking purposes only.

6. **Awards:** The top six students in each division as determined by the tabulation of the ballots shall receive awards. All Outstanding Presiding Officers from preliminary chambers shall receive an award.

Change # 27: Deletion of following sentence in plagiarism section: Schools found to be in violation shall be disqualified from participation in Congressional Debate at the next State Tournament.

Change # 28: Page 38, passage on direct questioning was added.

Change # 29: Term of Office/Term Limit for President was added.

Change # 30: Punishment for plagiarism was added to Congressional Debate legislation.

Change # 31: Declaration of Direct Questioning in Congressional Debate in IQT invitation was added.

Change # 32: Allowing Repeating/Rephrasing of CX Question in Congressional Debate was added.

Change # 33: Amendment start date clarification was added.
Amendment # 8: Congress Single Chamber. Friendly amendment to make 3 hours now 4 hours for a single chamber. PASSES.

Name: Jason Warren

School: Parish Episcopal School

Rationale for Amendment: We used to have a provision allowing a one chamber tournament for 30 or fewer entries, which we rightfully struck down. However, since the standard preliminary chamber size is in the 16-20 range, this amendment gives tournaments the flexibility to have only one chamber if they are so inclined and the numbers are small enough. This also clarifies the ambiguity that the maximum chamber size is 20 but later on the maximum final chamber size is 16- if there are 17-20 entries, there is no need to break to a final- three hours of debate in one room should be enough to determine a winner.

Section of the Constitution where Change occurs:
___ Constitution
___ ByLaws
___ Code of Professional Standards
___ Standing Rules: Competition Event Guide
___ Standing Rules: State Tournament Operations Manual

Page number of change: 60

Proposed Change(s) (List the change/add here. Changes should include any language you are replacing from the existing constitution along with the proposed new language.)

Maximum number of students per Preliminary House  20 (If 20 or fewer total entries exist, then one chamber for the entire tournament may be used).
Minimum total hours of actual floor debate (recesses do not count as floor debate time)- 3 hours minimum for prelims; 2 hours for semis with 12 competitors (add 10 minutes for each additional competitor-not to exceed 16 competitors); 2 hours for finals with 12 competitors (add 10 minutes for each additional competitor-not to exceed 16 competitors). If only one chamber is held, the minimum total debate time is 3 hours.
Amendment # 9: IE Semis Round. Questions. Discussion. PASSES.

Name: Jason Warren

School: Parish Episcopal School

Rationale for Amendment: Most tournaments use a standard 2 rooms of 6 for a semi-final round when entries are small, especially if they are below the cutoff for points for top 8 performers. Going from 17 competitors to 12 is a bit cumbersome and it doesn’t make a lot of sense to advance two-thirds of the field. This amendment would align the cut off for semis to coincide with the cut off for the second tier of qualification points, which currently stands at 25.

Section of the Constitution where Change occurs:

Constitution
ByLaws
Code of Professional Standards
Standing Rules: Competition Event Guide

Page number of change: 55

Proposed Change(s) (List the change/add here. Changes should include any language you are replacing from the existing constitution along with the proposed new language.)

The minimum number of rounds for TFA-sanctioned individual events and Duet Acting or Duo Interpretation is at least one preliminary round, followed by semifinals and finals. For tournaments with single preliminary rounds, at least two competitors must advance from each preliminary section to semifinals, and at all tournaments at least two competitors must advance from each semifinal section to finals in all TFA events. At tournaments in which there are 16-24 or fewer entries in an individual event or duet acting-duo interpretation, the tournament may have two or more preliminary rounds, followed by a finals round.
Amendment # 5: Hall of Fame/Emeritus. No questions. No discussion. PASSES.

Title of Amendment: Hall of Fame/Emeritus Membership Committee

Page of Constitution/By-Laws/Standing Rules/Code of Professional Standards you wish to address: 8

Which section of the constitution are you amending?

X _ By-Laws, Standing Rules, and Code of Professional Standards (majority vote if submitted w/i 30 days, three-fourths otherwise)

Constitutional Wording Now:

H. Emeritus Membership Committee. This committee shall consist of five former TFA Executive council members. It shall be their duty to designate honorees for the status of Emeritus/Hall of Fame membership. To be eligible for Emeritus membership one must be retired from forensic and/or theatre teaching and shall have served the organization and his/her students in a meritorious fashion. For designation into the Hall of Fame honorees may still be actively teaching forensics and/or theatre teaching and/or shall have served the organization and his/her students in a meritorious fashion. The announcement shall be made at the annual business meeting. A yearly designee is not necessary.

Change you wish to make:
1. Insert “Hall of Fame/” before “Emeritus” in the name of the Committee.
2. In the second sentence, delete “Emeritus/”.
3. Delete the third sentence “To be eligible for Emeritus… fashion.”
4. Add a new paragraph that states:

To be eligible for Emeritus membership one must be retired from forensic and/or theatre teaching and shall have served the organization and his/her students in a meritorious fashion. Upon retirement from forensic and/or theatre teaching a member of the Hall of Fame will automatically be designated Emeritus.

Rationale:
This amendment cleans up the Committee name and its function to be in alignment with its usage. In 1988-89 the Emeritus Committee was created to honor outstanding members of our organization upon their retirement. Then, in 2006-07 the Hall of Fame designation was added. In the years since the creation of the Hall of Fame, the designees have often become duplicative. This amendment will continue the intent of both designations in a more cohesive manner while maintaining the ability of the Committee to designate an Emeritus member who may make significant contributions but has not received Hall of Fame designation.
Date amendment would take effect: Upon passage of amendment

Respectfully Submitted
Name: Kandi King, Chair of Emeritus Committee
School: Retired
Amendment codifying the ability of colleges/universities to be TFA Members

Name: Executive Council

Rationale for Amendment:
Clarifies the intent of the organization to allow colleges and universities to be TFA members and host tournaments as such.

Section of the Constitution where Change occurs:

- Constitution
- By Laws
- Code of Professional Standards
- Standing Rules: Competition Event Guide

Portion of the constitution being changed: Article III Membership (Page 4)

Proposed Change(s)

Section 7: Persons engaged in directing forensics and theatre arts shall be eligible for membership and shall become members upon payment of annual dues. This type of individual membership shall remain with the person rather than the institution during the membership term (i.e., if the person changes schools the membership will remain with the person). These members must represent a school, defined as an organization, institution, or group which grants a diploma or its equivalent as recognized by the Texas State Board of Education and/or Texas Education Agency to provide instruction for grades nine, ten, eleven, and/or twelve.

ADD: Directors of colleges and universities recognized and accredited by the US Department of Education are allowed membership in the organization for purposes of hosting tournaments.

Section 3: Institutional memberships shall be available for institutions by payment of annual dues. This type of membership shall remain with the institution during the membership period regardless of personnel changes. These members must represent a school, defined as an organization, institution, or group which grants a diploma or its equivalent as recognized by the Texas State Board of Education and/or Texas Education Agency to provide instruction for grades nine, ten, eleven, and/or twelve.

ADD: Colleges and universities recognized and accredited by the US Department of Education are allowed membership in the organization for purposes of hosting.
Amendment # 4, Audio Recording. Questions. Friendly amendment, to differentiate between debate and IE events. PASSES 58-46-1

Audio recording amendment

Name: Timothy Mahoney

School: St. Mark’s School of Texas

Rationale for Amendment:

Clipping occurs when a debater claims to have read material that they didn’t actually read. In order to enforce clipping rules audio recording is often necessary. The National Debate Coaches Association has recommended that tournaments adopt policies to help judges enforce prohibitions against clipping. A version of that policy can be seen here: http://joyoftournaments.com/tx/stmarks/info.asp?p=1

On that same page you can see the audio permission form that we require debaters to submit as a condition of competing in our tournament. This form ensures that we comply with all relevant laws involving recording of minors.

Section of the Constitution where Change occurs:

___ Constitution
___ ByLaws
___ Code of Professional Standards
___ Standing Rules: Competition Event Guide
___ Standing Rules: State Tournament Operations Manual

Page number of change: 55

Proposed Change(s) (List the change/add here. Changes should include any language you are replacing from the existing constitution along with the proposed new language.)

Existing language:

10. Audio and /or video taping of rounds will not be allowed.
(Hosting an Invitational Qualifying Tournament/Requirements)

Proposed wording

10. Video taping of rounds will not be allowed. The use of audio recordings will only be used to ensure that students accurately represent the material they read during debates. Participants who are recorded may require that the recording be deleted after the judge has rendered a decision and ethics charges were not raised during the debate.
Amendment # 3: Tournament Hosting with Distance. Friendly Amendment to 90 miles. Student may only compete in 2 tournaments per weekend. Amendment returned back to 150 miles. PASSES. Goes into effect next season.

Name: ______Seth Pietsek_________

School: ______Abilene High School

Rationale for Amendment: _Regions that span a long distance have called for the Executive Council to consider re-mapping TFA regions in an effort to give better local options for tournament dates. To avoid the monstrous task of remapping, this amendment solves for the tournament conflict, particularly with the popularity of swing tournaments._

Section of the Constitution where Change occurs:
___ Constitution
___ ByLaws
___ Code of Professional Standards
___ Standing Rules: Competition Event Guide
___ Standing Rules: State Tournament Operations Manual

Page number of change: ___55_____  

Proposed Change(s) (List the change/add here. Changes should include any language you are replacing from the existing constitution along with the proposed new language.)

__10__ A maximum of two tournaments per region can be scheduled on the same weekend, with the exception of tournaments being 150+ miles apart within a region. When 150+ miles apart, from the closest hosting schools, a region may host up to 4 tournaments per weekend.

______________________________________________________________

______________________________________________________________
Amendment # 7: Congressional Debate Judges. Constitutional Committee unanimously support this. Friendly amendment to insert “a minimum” before judges. PASSES.

Name: Greg McGee
School: Mayde Creek High School

Rationale for Amendment: To Clarify the judging requirements for congress. The current version is slightly confusing as it requires more judges when there is an adult Presiding Officer, even when that adult PO has no scoring responsibilities. This amendment clarifies the minimum number of judges.

Section of the Constitution where Change occurs:

|   |
|---|---|
| Constitution |   |
| ByLaws |   |
| Code of Professional Standards |   |
| Standing Rules: Competition Event Guide | X |

Page number of change: 60-61

Proposed Change(s):

Congressional Debate Tabulation

Entry Numbers and Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum number of entries for Qualifying Event</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum number of students per Preliminary House</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semifinals Houses required</td>
<td>61 or greater entries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum entries per Semifinal chamber</td>
<td>16 per chamber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum advancing to Super Congress</td>
<td>16 with a minimum of 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum total hours of actual floor debate (recesses do not count as floor debate time)</td>
<td>3 hours minimum for prelims; 2 hours for semis with 12 competitors (add 10 minutes for each additional competitor-not to exceed 16 competitors); 2 hours for finals with 12 competitors (add 10 minutes for each additional competitor-not to exceed 16 competitors).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary Judges</td>
<td>1 Scorer Judge (who scores speeches and serves as Parliamentarian)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Parliamentarian*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semis Judges</td>
<td>Two judges (1 Scorer and 1 Parliamentarian)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Presiding Officers

Tournament Directors shall decide if students may run for Presiding Officers in all sessions and shall indicate this opportunity in the tournament invitation. Students wishing to run for PO shall declare their intention on the tournament entry form or with the tournament director by the due date.

If students are permitted to run, brief speeches of qualification not to exceed one minute may be given. Candidates shall be elected via secret ballot by the members present. Candidates for PO shall be equally divided among houses, and if multiple preliminary sessions are held, candidates may be divided equally among sessions. Candidate speeches shall not be scored, will not count against precedence, and will not be included in the students’ totals. Parliamentarians shall award 0-6 points per hour of service to the elected Presiding Officer, which shall count against precedence and be included in the students’ totals. Parliamentarians and scorers shall award 0-6 points per hour of service to the elected Presiding Officer, which shall count against precedence and be included in the students’ totals.

If no PO candidates are running or are permitted to run, the designated Presiding Officer shall be an adult (high school graduate) parliamentarian designated to conduct the session. This adult PO will not have scoring responsibilities or serve as parliamentarian.

Scoring and Advancement

In all sessions, the Scorer(s) shall be responsible for evaluating every student speech given. The parliamentarian scores only the student PO and ranks all competitors in the room. Elected POs shall receive one score per hour of service from the. Scorer-Parliamentarian. If a student receives a score from the scorer, for both speaking and service, these scores shall be added together to compute the student’s total.

The required method for determining advancement and tabulating final results in Congressional Debate is ranking of the top 8 legislators. Speech points are required for NFL points only. Advancement is selected by the scorer, ranking the top 8 Best Overall Legislators. All other students will receive a rank of 9. Parliamentarians are to rank ALL members of the chamber. For initial rankings, the Parliamentarian’s ranks, up to eighth are tabulated as well, with subsequent ranks considered as ranks of 9. Parliamentarians will have scoring responsibilities only for the presiding officer.

Each individual chamber is tabulated independent of the others. Legislators with the lowest cumulative rank total advance to the next level of competition, employing the following tiebreakers:

4. Judge’s preference
5. Reciprocal fractions
6. Rank by the parliamentarian
Amendment # 10 PF Eliminate Coin-Flip. Questions. Discussion. FAILS.

Name: Greg McGee

School: Mayde Creek High School

Rationale for Amendment: I propose we eliminate the coin flip to choose speaker positions in PF debate. The switching of sides and positions in PF causes a lot of confusion for lay judges. Countless times tournament directors have to track down judges who mistakenly voted for the wrong team. Additionally, teams should not be able to go one side for the entire tournament. For some topics, it is not the better debater that wins but the luckier team. We should treat PF like all the other debates.

Section of the Constitution where Change occurs:

| __ | Constitution |
| ___ | ByLaws |
| ___ | Code of Professional Standards |
| X___ | Standing Rules: Competition Event Guide |
| ___ | Standing Rules: State Tournament Operations Manual |

Page number of change: 31, 57

Proposed Change(s)

On Page 31

2. Procedure and Order of Speeches: Prior to EVERY round and in the presence of the judge(s), a coin is tossed by one team and called by the other team. The team that wins the flip may choose one of two options: EITHER the SIDE of the topic they wish to defend (pro or con) OR the SPEAKING POSITION they wish to have (begin the debate or end the debate). The remaining option (SIDE or SPEAKING POSITION) is the choice of the team that loses the flip. Each debater must give one and only one 4 minute speech, one individual crossfire, and one 2 minute speech and Grand crossfire in the following order:

- First Speaker – Pro Team 4 minutes
- First Speaker – Con Team 4 minutes
- Crossfire (first question by Pro speaker) 3 minutes
- Second Speaker – Pro Team 4 minutes
- Second Speaker – Con Team 4 minutes
- Crossfire (first question by Pro speaker) 3 minutes
- Summary - First Speaker – Pro 2 minutes
- Summary - First Speaker – Con 2 minutes
- Grand Crossfire 3 minutes
- Final Focus - Second Pro Speaker 2 minutes
- Final Focus - Second Con Speaker 2 minutes
- Prep time 2 minutes per team

On Page 57
Debate Tabulation

The following methods have been devised by the Texas Forensic Association for determining winners in Policy, Public Forum and Lincoln-Douglas Debate. **Specific exclusions will be applicable for Public Forum Debate in regards to side constraints.**
Amendment # 11: Overtime Penalties. Friendly amendment. Immediate implementation. 49—55. FAILS.

Name: Brian Eanes and Grant Hahn

School: Winston Churchill High School and Grapevine High School

Rationale for Amendment: For too long, overtime penalties in interpretation and public speaking events have been overly strict and enforced by the wrong people. Currently, by rule, a performance consisting only of a single expletive from a David Mamet play would rank higher than a thoughtfully cut and beautifully delivered performance of that same play if it was timed at 10:31. Tab room disqualifications should be reserved for individuals who purposefully break rules, not for those who run a second longer than their usual, practiced time. Additionally, tab room staff are in the least reasonable position to make a decision to penalize a competitor based on time. The judge is the adult in the room, observing and timing the performance (including audience reaction), and is, therefore, the best person to impose any penalty. A penalty WILL remain for overtime violations (a competitor running overtime may not be ranked first), discouraging conscious overtime. And the judge determines the final rank in the round, able to penalize competitors for time violations as s/he sees fit (individual judges always use their personal criteria to rank a round. If time is their number one priority, they are within their rights to rank overtime competitors last. This possibility would also discourage purposeful overtime by competitors). This rule change does two things. One - it makes the time limit a part of a performance rather than the only thing that matters in the case of overtime. Two – it gets the tab room out of the business of changing ranks after the round, and puts it in the hands of the judge where it belongs. (I also added the language, “There is no minimum time required.” to extemp, oratory, dramatic/humorous and prose/poetry for the sake of consistency and clarity.)

Section of the Constitution where Change occurs:

- Constitution
- ByLaws
- Code of Professional Standards
- Standing Rules: Competition Event Guide

Page number of change: For Extemp: p. 43 #7; For Oratory: p. 45 #6; For Duet Acting: p. 46 #5; For Duo Interp: p. 48 #5; For Dramatic – p. 50 #6; For Humorous – none needed, as it draws from the rules of Dramatic; For Prose – p. 80 #1; For Impromptu – p. 80 #3.

Proposed Change(s) (List the change/add here. Changes should include any language you are replacing from the existing constitution along with the proposed new language.)

[EXTEMP]: 7. Time limit is seven minutes maximum with a thirty-second grace period. There is no minimum time required. Violation shall result in being ranked last in the round by the tab room when visible time signals have been given by the judge or by the authorized timekeepers.
There is no minimum time required. Overtime violators MAY NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty.

[ORATORY]: 6. Time limit shall be ten minutes maximum with a thirty-second grace period. Violation shall result in being ranked last in the round by the tab room when visible time signals have been given by the judge or by the authorized timekeepers. Without adding text to the previously performed selection and only considering audience response, the contestant shall not be penalized for exceeding the time limit in all qualifying and state tournament elimination and final rounds.

[Underlined section replaced by]: There is no minimum time required. Overtime violators MAY NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty.

[DUET ACTING]: 5. Time for presentation shall not exceed twelve minutes with a thirty-second grace period. There is no minimum time required. Violation shall result in being ranked last in the round by the tab room when visible time signals have been given by the judge or by the authorized timekeeper. Without adding text to the previously performed selection and only considering audience response, the contestant shall not be penalized for exceeding the time limit in all qualifying and state tournament elimination and final rounds.

[Underlined section replaced by]: Overtime violators MAY NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty.

[DUO INTERPRETATION]: 5. Time for presentation shall not exceed ten minutes with a thirty-second grace period. There is no minimum time required. Violation shall result in being ranked last in the round by the tab room when visible time signals have been given by the judge or by the authorized timekeeper. Without adding text to the previously performed selection and only considering audience response, the contestant shall not be penalized for exceeding the time limit in all qualifying and state tournament elimination and final rounds.

[Underlined section replaced by]: Overtime violators MAY NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty.

[DRAMATIC/HUMOROUS]: 6. Maximum time shall be ten minutes with a thirty-second grace period. Violation shall result in being ranked last in the round by the tab room when visible time signals have been given by the judge or by the authorized timekeeper. Without adding text to the previously performed selection and only considering audience response, the contestant shall not
be penalized for exceeding the time limit in all qualifying and state tournament elimination and final rounds.

[Underlined section replaced by]: There is no minimum time required. Overtime violators MAY NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty.

[PROSE/POETRY]: 1. Prose (Offered in even years only): Selection(s) must be from a published work (or published works) and must be read from a folder. Selections cannot be taken from plays. A memorized introduction stating the title(s) and author(s) is required. Maximum time is seven minutes with a thirty-second grace period. Without adding text to the previously performed selection(s) and only considering audience response, the contestant shall not be penalized for exceeding the time limit in all state tournament elimination and final rounds.

[Underlined section replaced by]: There is no minimum time required. Overtime violators MAY NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty.

[IMPROPTU]: 3. Impromptu: The speaker will draw three topics, choose one, and take one minute to prepare. Topics shall be chosen from proverbs, quotations, current concerns, and famous people. The maximum time for the speech is five minutes with a thirty-second grace period. There is no minimum time.

[Underlined section replaced by]: Overtime violators MAY NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty.
Amendment # 6: Judge Conduct. Motioned to be tabled to Tournament Norms Committee.

Name: Matt Turner
School: Judson High School

Rationale for Amendment:

Reason 1: There is no central codification of how our judges should conduct themselves at invitational tournaments. This provides such codification.

Reason 2: Ballots, judges' comments in the hospitality lounge at tournaments, and contestants reporting back to the coach have revealed that some judges will behave with the mindset that they're the contestants’ opponent and not their judge. In addition, reading "oral" on a preliminary round ballot tells me nothing as a coach. This means we need a central point-of-information that affirms our commitment to our students' education via written, constructive feedback.

Section of the Constitution where Change occurs:
___ Constitution
___ ByLaws
___ Code of Professional Standards
X__ Standing Rules: Competition Event Guide
___ Standing Rules: State Tournament Operations Manual

Page number of change: 51

Proposed Change(s) (List the change/add here. Changes should include any language you are replacing from the existing constitution along with the proposed new language.)

The totality of page 51 is to be struck and replaced with the following:

“1. An adult, being of at least age 18 and no longer enrolled in high school, shall be the judge of a TFA qualifying event round.

2. Judges shall follow the same rules and expectations of fellow adults set by the tournament host (e.g. rules concerning tobacco use, alcohol use, food/drinks in classrooms, use of teachers' desks in classrooms, etc.).

3. Unless in extenuating circumstances particular to the tournament being hosted, an adult shall not adjudicate a round in which the adult, as judge, and any of the contestants:
   a. Are employee and student of the same school;
   b. Are related to each other by blood or by family marriage;
   c. Have a prior established social relationship directly or by family (e.g. "my mom's friend," "my dad's co-worker," "my friend's uncle," "my ex-boyfriend who went to another high school and has already graduated");
c. Have been students of the same school within three graduating years of each other; and/or

d. Have a prior establishment of any type of pre-tournament coaching and training.

4. Judges’ constructive criticism shall adhere to the following:

a. In speech and debate rounds, the judge shall adjudicate all contestants based on the criteria established on the provided ballot.

b. In debate rounds, the judge shall adjudicate based on the arguments, delivery, and refutation given by the contestants during constructive speeches and rebuttals, as well as questions and answers made during cross-examinations.

c. The judge may provide paradigms for how they plan to adjudicate the round.

d. The judge shall refrain from discussing the progress of the debate either with the contestants or fellow adults in the room.

e. The judge should distance themselves from the content of the debate. Personal values of the judges shall not influence the decision of the round.

f. All critiques are to be constructive, to promote continued competition in forensics, and to provide helpful feedback and suggestions.

g. For the benefit of contestants’ and coaches’ archives and future coaching, all critiques are to be written in all rounds. For the sake of maintaining a tournament on timely schedule, oral critiques should not be made in preliminary rounds. Oral critiques that are made in elimination rounds should be made concisely without delaying the tournament’s schedule.

5. When more than one judge adjudicates the same round, each judge should render their decision independently and without collusion. No judges should confer with each other before their decision is rendered and written on the ballot. It is the responsibility of the tournament director to inform each judge of this rule.

6. Coaches may ask tournament hosts to strike a judge from adjudicating contestants at the coach’s school. The tournament host will have the final determination on judge strikes, and may decline if a judge strike will create a burden upon the tournament’s operations.”
Amendment # 2: Precedence, reduction of tournament, season length, decrease points.

General discussion. Questions. Debate on issue. FAILS

Amendment to change precedence, reduce tournament season length and decrease number of qualifying points

Name: Executive Council

Rationale for Amendment:
PRECEDENCE: The use of precedence has long been a point of contention in the assigning of tournaments. Region Representatives feel currently like their hands are tied to arbitrary recognition of longevity on a particular weekend, while ignoring the best interests of the region and/or community and other factors which can and should impact weekend assignment. While hosting a tournament for many years is definitely commendable, the belief shared among the EC is that relying on this factor as sole determinant for deciding weekends, and the ability to host a tournament is overall a net negative for the ability of the Region Rep and Regional Committee to do what is in the best interest of the region.

INCREASED NUMBER OF TOURNAMENTS / WEEKEND: In the Houston and Dallas area specifically, there are schools who desire to host, but cannot, due to all of the weekend allotments being filled. Additionally, in region 3, there are frequently weekends where there are tournaments in SA/CC and none in Austin or Austin/SA and none in the valley. Despite weekends being filled, the locations of the tournaments are too far not to be cost prohibitive for schools. Increasing the number of tournaments per weekend would both assist in Houston and also in Austin.

SEASON LENGTH: No other state in the country has a season as long as Texas. This makes it extremely challenging to advocate for ourselves, our jobs and communication on the state level. This past year, we lost the required communication course. Many communication teachers across the state are in danger of losing their jobs. Young coaches are exiting the profession within a few short years due to the extensive time requirements. With the new pathways in CTE, there is an even greater need for Communication professionals to find time to be active advocates for the pathway of their program and to ensure their continued integration into the way education is being viewed in Texas. Additionally, the competitive season starts so early in the year that students have had little opportunity to actually ‘learn’. Shortening the season, even just a small amount, would allow for better education of students, more opportunities for self-advocacy. With the increased number of tournaments per weekend and a reduction in qual points, this would reduce spending on tournament entry fees and travel, while having negligible effect on state meet size.

REDUCED POINTS: Once the season is shortened, the EC will consider reducing the number of qualifying points to 10. The reducing of points will give coaches the ability to still qualify for state while also still being able to take weekends off, participate in UIL invitational meets (Feb), and other activities without sacrificing their current level of qualification.
Proposed Change(s)

**Portion of the constitution being changed: Standing Rules, IQT; Hosting an IQT (p. 53)**

12. The tournament shall be held between the dates of the weekend following the 4th Monday in August and the second weekend in February. No qualifying tournament can be held on the dates of the TSCA convention. In the event of a natural or man-made disaster, the Executive Council shall be granted authority to alter the qualifying requirements, in regions so affected, so as not to affect the ability to host the State Tournament on its pre-determined weekend.

Replace the above line with:

The tournament shall be held between the dates of the weekend following the 2nd Monday in September and the last weekend in January. For purposes of assigning weekends, the last weekend in January shall be the weekend that includes at least ONE day of the tournament within the month of January.

**Portion of the constitution being changed: Standing Rules, IQT; Hosting an IQT (p. 54)**

5. The tournament dates shall be assigned according to the following priorities:

   h. Those schools having previously hosted a qualifying tournament and who are requesting the same weekend as the previous year.
   i. Those schools having previously hosted a qualifying tournament and who are requesting a different weekend from the previous year.
   j. Anyone requesting to hold a qualifying tournament who had not hosted one the previous year.
   k. Any application received after May 1.
   l. Those schools who requested a tournament date the previous year and subsequently cancelled their tournament or moved their tournament date.
   m. The Executive Council reserves the right to revoke or alter precedence for tournaments who were protested and sanctioned in the previous competitive year.
   n. If two or more schools choose to host a tournament together (co-host), the co-hosting pair has precedence based on the guidelines stated above. If they co-hosting schools choose to apply separately in subsequent years, they qualify for priority c above.

REPLACE WITH:

Tournament dates shall be assigned by Region Representatives with the assistance of a Regional Committee. Region Representatives shall receive the following information on all applications to host a meet. Representatives
shall be able to assign up to 3 tournaments per weekend in their Region, provided that all available weekends have at least one tournament taking place. When assigning weekends, the following factors shall be considered by the Regional Committee:

a. Application receipt date – applications received after the May 1 deadline are moved to the bottom of the consideration list.
b. Geographic location of tournaments (when possible, Region Representatives shall avoid scheduling multiple tournaments located in close proximity to one another, unless the tournament is a swing).
c. Number of years the member coach has coached
d. Number of years the hosting school has hosted
e. Number of years the hosting school has hosted on the same weekend
f. Number of qualifying events offered at the tournament
g. Prior Cancellation of tournament
h. Prior sanction of tournament/host
i. Tournament size in previous years
j. Tournament mentor letters for new hosts/tournaments
k. Number of official protests of tournament, not resulting in sanction.

**Portion of the constitution being changed: Standing Rules, IQT; Hosting an IQT (p. 54)**

13. A maximum of two tournaments per region can be scheduled on the same weekend. Conflicts will be resolved by application of the above priorities. If no tournament in the region offers a qualifying event on a given weekend, a third tournament in that region may be offered that holds only the event(s) that are missing from the other tournaments. Such tournaments would have to meet any other requirement such as minimum schools, following the TFA rules, etc., with the exception of the four qualifying event requirement. Schools in any given region that intend not to host an event should make that intention known in the tournament application process. Region reps them should make that known to allow schools to plan their schedules for apply for tournaments. In the instance that this circumstance arises, schools would be allowed to host a second tournament (with the events not offered elsewhere in the regions only) in a school year.

Replace with:

3. A maximum of three tournaments per region can be scheduled on the same weekend. If three tournaments are offered in a weekend, then the third tournament scheduled must include any event(s) not offered by at least one of the other tournaments.

**Portion of the constitution being changed: Standing Rules, IQT; Hosting an IQT (p. 54)**

4. If more than two tournaments within any one region apply for the same date, the Executive Council shall attempt to accommodate the alternate dates on the tournament applications. If an alternative date cannot be found, the TFA Treasurer shall accept only the first two applications and return the other application(s) along with the registration fee.

Replace with:

5. If more than three tournaments within any one region apply for the same date, the Region Representative, Regional Committee and Executive Council shall attempt to accommodate the alternate dates on the tournament applications. If an alternative date cannot be found, the TFA Treasurer shall accept only the first three applications and return the other application(s) along with the registration fee.

**Portion of the constitution being changed: Standing Rules, IQT; Hosting an IQT (p. 54)**

6. By the third week in May, the Secretary shall notify all TFA members of the posting on the TFA website of the
tentative list of tournaments which will be TFA qualifiers for the next school year. The tournament list will be reviewed, amended, and given final approval in August. Petitions for changing approved tournament dates must be submitted to the TFA Executive Council prior to August 15th, unless extenuating circumstances are documented by the administrator and coach involved prior to the beginning of the TSCA convention. Requests for date changes and tournament additions to the list received after August 15th will be brought before the members of TFA attending the business meeting at the TSCA convention and must be approved by that body for those changes and additions to occur.

Replace with:

6. By May 20th, the Region Representative and the Regional Committee shall prepare and distribute the Regional calendar to the EC and the region. Coaches with disputes or concerns regarding the weekend assignment or tournament calendar shall have until June 1 to appeal to the EC and have their concerns addressed. The Secretary shall notify all TFA members of the posting on the TFA website of the tentative list of tournaments which will be TFA qualifiers for the next school year. The EC will consider all appeals and release a tentative calendar by June 15. A finalized calendar will be released one week after the EC Summer Retreat. The EC retains final calendar decision making authority. Petitions for changing approved tournament dates must be submitted to the TFA Executive Council prior to August 15th unless extenuating circumstances are documented by the administrator and coach involved prior to the beginning of the TSCA convention. Requests for date changes and tournament additions to the list received after August 15th will be brought before the members of TFA attending the business meeting at the TSCA convention and must be approved by that body for those changes and additions to occur.
Amendment # 12: High School qualification numbers with Middle school students. Presented by Jason Warren, discussion, questions.

Amendment divided. 10 High School requirement, 57-17 PASSES.

Part 2, Middle School counts towards point totals. 30-45 FAILS.

General discussion over issues with definition and out-of-state schools.

Motion to reconsider the first part of amendment, 10 HS requirement. 43-29. PASSES.

Amendment to amendment “Out of state schools recognized by their state as ninth, tenth, eleventh, and/or twelfth grade institutions may also count as participating schools.” 62-9. Amendment to amendment PASSES.

Amendment Part 1, 50-24. FAILS.

Warren motions to add precedence part of the bill. Point of clarification, the entire bill must be reconsidered. Motion to Object. 51-16. PASSES. Bill will not be revisited.

Name: The Executive Council

School: __________________________

Rationale for Amendment: Only high school students should count towards qualification numbers. Middle schools are welcome to compete and qualify, but events and tournaments should not be padded with entries from middle schools to boost qualification numbers.

Section of the Constitution where Change occurs:

Constitution
ByLaws
Code of Professional Standards
Standing Rules: Competition Event Guide

Page number of change: 54

Under qualifying numbers, item 2:

“Invitational Qualifying Tournaments must have ten (10) participating schools in attendance in order to award any qualification points. At least ten participating schools must meet the definition of school as outlined in Article III, Section 1 of the Constitution. Minimum numbers in each event to award qualification points are: Policy-10 teams, PFD-10 teams, LD-10 entries, Congressional Debate-10 entries; all IE’s (including Duo and Duet)-10 entries/teams. The Executive Council may modify this section at the beginning of the tournament season in order to make it consistent with any changes to the point system if the need arises.

Under qualifying numbers, item 3:
Qualification points will be awarded as outlined in the current year's Qualifying Point Schedule on www.txfa.org. Only students currently enrolled in a school that meets the definition of school as outlined in Article III, Section 1 of the Constitution or students enrolled in grades nine through twelve from outside the state of Texas will count towards entry numbers for the purposes of awarding qualification points.
**2015 Convention Amendments**

**Codify the powers of the EC – Eric Mears**

i. Two powers to be discussed:
   ii. Power to investigate/hire/fire, etc. hired personnel (business manager, director, adhoc, etc.)
   iii. Moved to divide this motion and accept the first part (above)
   iv. This motion PASSES (82-5)
   v. Power to pilot programs on the IQT level (contrary to standing rules in the constitution)
   vi. Moved to divide this motion and accept the second part (above)
   vii. This motion FAILS (25-51-5)

**An Amendment to Codify Powers of the Executive Council**

**Title of Amendment:**
**Page of Constitution/By-Laws/Standing Rules/Code of Professional Standards you wish to address:**

Which section of the constitution are you amending?

- x. Constitution (two-thirds if submitted w/i 30 days, three-fourths otherwise)
- _____ By-Laws, Standing Rules, and Code of Professional Standards (majority vote if submitted w/i 30 days, three-fourths otherwise)

**Constitutional Wording Now:**

No wording addressing specific topic.

**Change you wish to make:**

**Article IV. Executive Council**

**Section 3:** The Executive Council is empowered to solicit, review, and approve initiatives by member coaches and institutions that may run contrary to the standing rules and by-laws of the organization for purposes of testing alternatives for future consideration and broader approval.

**Section 4:** The Executive Council is empowered to recruit, hire, evaluate, and terminate paid personnel to serve the interests of the organization.

**Rationale:**

Currently, inventive ideas that run contrary to the Constitution and Standing Rules must be rejected on face by the EC. This provision allows the EC to permit pre-approved alternatives to exist so that their effects may be more concretely known before any permanent rule changes are considered. This provision is intentionally silent on the method of approval as the EC should determine for itself how and along what timeframe members should submit proposals. Additionally, the EC is within its powers to reject any and all proposals at its discretion.
Section 4 codifies the power of the EC to extend to exploring the possibility of hiring one or more individuals to serve the organization with compensation. This includes, but is not limited to, an executive director that works at the leisure of and reports to the EC. This Section does not require the EC to take such a step, but simply permits it.

Date amendment would take effect: April 1, 2016

Respectfully Submitted
Name: Eric Mears
School: Flower Mound High School
Recd 9.1.15

An Amendment to Further Clarify Precedence Priorities

Page of Constitution/By-Laws/Standing Rules/Code of Professional Standards you wish to address: 55

Which section of the constitution are you amending?
____ Constitution (two-thirds if submitted w/i 30 days, three-fourths otherwise)
__X__ By-Laws, Standing Rules, and Code of Professional Standards (majority vote if submitted w/i 30 days, three-fourths otherwise)

Constitutional Wording Now:

The tournament dates shall be assigned according to the following priorities:
  o. Those schools having previously hosted a qualifying tournament and who are requesting the same weekend as the previous year.
  p. Those schools having previously hosted a qualifying tournament and who are requesting a different weekend from the previous year.
  q. Anyone requesting to hold a qualifying tournament who had not hosted one the previous year.
  r. Any application received after May 1.
  s. Those schools who requested a tournament date the previous year and subsequently cancelled their tournament or moved their tournament date.
  t. The Executive Council reserves the right to revoke or alter precedence for tournaments who were protested and sanctioned in the previous competitive year.
  u. If two or more schools choose to host a tournament together (co-host), the co-hosting pair has precedence based on the guidelines stated above. If they co-hosting schools choose to apply separately in subsequent years, they qualify for priority c above.

Change you wish to make:

The tournament dates shall be assigned according to the following priorities:
  a. Those schools having previously hosted a qualifying tournament and who are requesting the same weekend and location as the previous year.
  b. Those schools having previously hosted a qualifying tournament and who are requesting a different weekend and/or location from the previous year.
  c. Anyone requesting to hold a qualifying tournament who had not hosted one the previous year.
  d. Any application received after May 1.
  e. Those schools who requested a tournament date the previous year and subsequently cancelled their tournament or moved their tournament date after August 15th.
  f. The Executive Council reserves the right to revoke or alter precedence for tournaments who were protested and sanctioned in the previous competitive year.
  g. If two or more schools choose to host a tournament together (co-host), the co-hosting pair has precedence based on the guidelines stated above. If they co-hosting schools choose to apply separately in subsequent years, they qualify for priority c above.
  h. Two IQTs which coordinate schedules in such a way that competitors are able to attend both (“swing” tournaments) are considered separate applications for all precedence issues.
Rationale:

a. The addition of the 150-mile rule within regions opens the possibility of two tournaments hosting a swing that is at least 150 miles away from the third tournament in the region. This could happen even though one of the swing hosts may be a school whose address is within the 150-mile radius of the stand-alone tournament. Adding tournament location to the precedence consideration prevents either party of the swing from claiming top precedence the following year in a different location. Essentially, this codifies the intent of precedence to be: if everything is the same, you are guaranteed the date you had last year. If something changes, you get bumped down to priority b.

b. Same as rationale a.

e. Current wording indicates that tournaments which change dates at any time after submitting their application are considered to have not hosted a tournament. As this section of the standing rules goes on to state “The tournament list will be reviewed, amended, and given final approval in August. Petitions for changing approved tournament dates must be submitted to the TFA Executive Council prior to August 15th unless extenuating circumstances are documented by the administrator and coach involved prior to the beginning of the TSCA convention,” schools should not forfeit their precedence consideration completely until the “final” calendar is approved by the EC on August 15.

h. Codifies that “swings” are two independent IQTs for purposes of TFA.

Date amendment would take effect: upon passage.

Respectfully Submitted
Name: Eric Mears
School: Flower Mound High School
Required 2/3 majority i. Amendment from the Floor 1. Brian Eanes, Winston Churchill HS - Penalties for overtime (replaces all overtime rules in the constitution to downrank (rather than DQed) 2. Amendment to change to “shall not” and also to take effect this year. 3. VOTE – PASSES (66-21) by one vote

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT FORM

Name: Brian Eanes
School: Winston Churchill High School

Rationale for Amendment: For too long, overtime penalties in interpretation and public speaking events have been overly strict and enforced by the wrong people. Currently, by rule, a performance consisting only of a single expletive from a David Mamet play would rank higher than a thoughtfully cut and beautifully delivered performance of that same play if it was timed at 10:31. Tab room disqualifications should be reserved for individuals who purposefully break rules, not for those who run a second longer than their usual, practiced time. Additionally, tab room staff are in the least reasonable position to make a decision to penalize a competitor based on time. The judge is the adult in the room, observing and timing the performance (including audience reaction), and is, therefore, the best person to impose any penalty. A penalty WILL remain for overtime violations (a competitor running overtime may not be ranked first), discouraging conscious overtime. And the judge determines the final rank in the round, able to penalize competitors for time violations as s/he sees fit (individual judges always use their personal criteria to rank a round. If time is their number one priority, they are within their rights to rank overtime competitors last. This possibility would also discourage purposeful overtime by competitors). This rule change does two things. One - it makes the time limit a part of a performance rather than the only thing that matters in the case of overtime. Two – it gets the tab room out of the business of changing ranks after the round, and puts it in the hands of the judge where it belongs. (I also added the language, “There is no minimum time required.” to extemp, oratory, dramatic/humorous and prose/poetry for the sake of consistency and clarity.)

Section of the Constitution where Change occurs:

- x Constitution
- ___ ByLaws
- ___ Code of Professional Standards
- ___ Standing Rules: Competition Event Guide

Page number of change: For Extemp: p. 44 #7; For Oratory: p. 46 #6; For Duet Acting: p. 47 #5; For Duo Interp: p. 49 #5; For Dramatic – p. 51 #6; For Humorous – none needed, as it draws from the rules of Dramatic; For Prose – p. 87 #1; For Impromptu – p. 87 #3.
Proposed Change(s) (List the change/add here. Changes should include any language you are replacing from the existing constitution along with the proposed new language.)

[EXTEMP]: 7. Time limit is seven minutes maximum with a thirty-second grace period. There is no minimum time required. Violation shall result in being ranked last in the round by the tab room when visible time signals have been given by the judge or by the authorized timekeepers.

[Underlined section replaced by]: There is no minimum time required. Overtime violators SHALL NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty.

[ORATORY]: 6. Time limit shall be ten minutes maximum with a thirty-second grace period. Violation shall result in being ranked last in the round by the tab room when visible time signals have been given by the judge or by the authorized timekeepers. Without adding text to the previously performed selection and only considering audience response, the contestant shall not be penalized for exceeding the time limit in all qualifying and state tournament elimination and final rounds.

[Underlined section replaced by]: There is no minimum time required. Overtime violators SHALL NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty.

[DUET ACTING]: 5. Time for presentation shall not exceed twelve minutes with a thirty-second grace period. There is no minimum time required. Violation shall result in being ranked last in the round by the tab room when visible time signals have been given by the judge or by the authorized timekeeper. Without adding text to the previously performed selection and only considering audience response, the contestant shall not be penalized for exceeding the time limit in all qualifying and state tournament elimination and final rounds.

[Underlined section replaced by]: Overtime violators SHALL NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty.

[DUO INTERPRETATION]: 5. Time for presentation shall not exceed ten minutes with a thirty-second grace period. There is no minimum time required. Violation shall result in being ranked last in the round by the tab room when visible time signals have been given by the judge or by the authorized timekeeper. Without adding text to the previously performed selection and only considering audience response, the contestant shall not be penalized for exceeding the time limit in all qualifying and state tournament elimination and final rounds.

[Underlined section replaced by]: Overtime violators SHALL NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may
consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty.

[DRAMATIC/HUMOROUS]: 6. Maximum time shall be ten minutes with a thirty-second grace period. Violation shall result in being ranked last in the round by the tab room when visible time signals have been given by the judge or by the authorized timekeeper. Without adding text to the previously performed selection and only considering audience response, the contestant shall not be penalized for exceeding the time limit in all qualifying and state tournament elimination and final rounds.

[Underlined section replaced by]: There is no minimum time required. Overtime violators SHALL NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty.

[PROSE/POETRY]: 1. Prose (Offered in even years only): Selection(s) must be from a published work (or published works) and must be read from a folder. Selections cannot be taken from plays. A memorized introduction stating the title(s) and author(s) is required. Maximum time is seven minutes with a thirty-second grace period. Without adding text to the previously performed selection(s) and only considering audience response, the contestant shall not be penalized for exceeding the time limit in all state tournament elimination and final rounds.

[Underlined section replaced by]: There is no minimum time required. Overtime violators SHALL NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty.

[IMPROMPTU]: 3. Impromptu: The speaker will draw three topics, choose one, and take one minute to prepare. Topics shall be chosen from proverbs, quotations, current concerns, and famous people. The maximum time for the speech is five minutes with a thirty-second grace period. There is no minimum time.

[Underlined section replaced by]: Overtime violators SHALL NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty.
Amendment – Jason Warren

1. Change several pages in the constitution (pgs 3,7,10,11,33,55,70) to change the amendment deadline to **14 days, instead of 30 days for a simple majority to pass.**

2. **VOTE: PASSES (85-0)**

Amendment – Wendi Brandenburg (remove minimum entries at a tournament)

**VOTE – FAILS**

**CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT FORM**

Name: ___Wendi Brandenburg_____________________________

School: ___Centennial HS____________________________

Rationale for Amendment: ____Tournaments are feeling pressure to make the “10 competitor minimum” so they sometimes have to provide rooms and judges for “filler” entries, which is a huge waste of time and talent. However, students with legitimate pieces would not be able to earn full points as they would under a “ten and over” event. ________________________________

____________________________________________________


Proposed Change(s) (List the change/add here. Changes should include any language you are replacing from the existing constitution along with the proposed new language.) **STRIKE:**

“Minimum numbers in each event to award qualification points are: Policy-10 teams, PFD-10 teams, LD-10 entries, Congressional Debate-10 entries; all IE’s (including Duo and Duet)-10 entries/teams.” **REPLACE:** Entries that fall under these: Policy-10 teams, PFD-10 teams, LD-10 entries, Congressional Debate-10 entries; all IE’s (including Duo and Duet)-10 entries/teams, may compete (and may do so under the “2 prelims and a final round” rule) and are subject to an EC-adjusted TFA State Point Schedule.

At the 2016-17 State Tournament, the events will return to Prose in odd years, Poetry in even years, and Impromptu Speaking unless otherwise amended. A. Rules and description of Informative Speaking: 1. Purpose: An informative speech is an original speech designed to explain, define, describe, or illustrate a particular subject. The general purpose of the speech is for the audience to gain understanding and/or knowledge of a topic. Any other purpose such as to entertain or to convince shall be secondary. The use of audio/visual aids is optional. (See # 4 on Aids.) 2. Contest: This contest comprises only memorized speeches composed by the contestants and not used by them during a previous contest season. 3. Subject: Effective speeches provide new information or perspectives on a topic, including those that are widely known. The responsibility for choosing a worthwhile topic rests with the contestant. A fabricated topic may not be used. Any non-factual reference, including a personal reference, must be so identified. 4. Aids: Audio/visual aids may or may not be used to supplement and reinforce the message. During the presentation, no electronic equipment is permitted. The use of live animals or any additional people as visual aids is not allowed during the speech. Items of dress put on and removed during the course of the presentation are considered costumes and may not be part of the contestant’s presentation. Visual aids may not violate law (weapons, drugs, etc.) The host school is not responsible for providing any facilities, equipment, or assistance in a contestant’s use of visual aids. Expedient set up and take down of aids is expected. If a visual aid displays published pictorial material, the source must be included in the work-cited page but does not need to be cited orally. 5. Length: The time limit is ten minutes with a 30 second “grace period.” If there are multiple judges in the round, all must agree that the student has gone beyond the grace period. Should a student go beyond the grace period, the student may not be ranked 1st. There is no other prescribed penalty for going over the grace period. The ranking is up to each individual judge’s discretion. Judges who choose to time are to use accurate (stopwatch function) timing devices. No minimum time is mandated. 6. Quotation: Not more than 150 words of the speech may be direct quotation and such quotations must be identified orally and in a printed copy of the speech supplied prior to registration. 7. Script: Manuscripts must be available at all district tournament contests in the event of a protest. However, it shall be the choice of each individual District Committee whether or not to require these materials be submitted prior to the district contest. The script must identify the quoted materials, state the number of quoted words, include a work-cited page in APA or MLA format, and both the speaker and the coach must attest by signature that the speech is the original work of the contestant. B. Rules and description of Performance of Oral Interpretation (POI) 1. Purpose: POI is a program of oral interpretation of thematically-linked selections chose from two or three genres: prose, poetry, drama (plays). A primary focus of this event should be on the development of the theme or argument through the use of narrative, story, language, and/or characterization. Competitors are encouraged to devote approximately equal times to each of the genres used in the program. 2. Literature requirements: At least two pieces of literature that represent at least two separate genres must be used. 3. Script: The use of a manuscript is required. 4. Time Limit: 10 minutes max with a 30 second grace period. Sources must meet all TFA Interp rules for publication. 5. Program Oral Interpretation Judging Criteria: An introduction should set the stage, enhancing the
interpretation of the literature to the audience, providing information and analysis to the chosen theme. All selections must be verbally identified by title and author. However, where, when, and how these are accomplished are the speaker’s decisions. The intact manuscript may be used by the contestant as a prop so long as it remains in the contestant’s control at all times. No costumes or props other than the manuscript are permitted. Adaptations may be used only for the purpose of transition. This is a contest in oral interpretation. The contestant should be evaluated on poise, quality, and use of voice, inflection, pronunciation, and the ability to interpret characters consistently. The contestant should also be evaluated on how effective their argument/theme is projected in the total program. In developing a creative, thematic program, attention should be given to the design and organization of a cohesive and carefully conceived whole by linking authors and ideas inherent in the literature. There is an expectation to use at least two pieces of literature each presenting a different genre with approximately equal times devoted to each genre. This distinction pertains to these three genres as a whole, not types of literature within a genre, such as fiction/nonfiction. The contestant must address the script; however, introduction and transitional material may be memorized