The purpose of the Texas Forensic Association is to bring about more effective cooperation among the members of the speech and theatre arts profession in the discharge of their special responsibilities in forensic and theatre activities; to create a means of educating the general and professional publics to the important educational functions of forensics and theatre arts; to make collective action possible on problems of common professional interest; and, in general, to maintain and advance the ideals and standards of the speech and theatre arts profession.

The Texas Forensic Association shall promote the interests of interscholastic speech and theatre by encouraging a spirit of fellowship among participating students and teachers. Activities viewed as central to the organization’s function include debate, theatre, and competitive individual speaking events.

The Association continues to set high standards and strives to meet new goals for the benefit of Texas Teachers and students. The model of the Texas Forensic Association has been recommended for other states where similar needs are felt.
# Table of Contents

## Contents

**Constitution**

- Article 1. Name .............................................. 6
- Article II. Purpose.............................................. 6
- Article III. Membership................................. 6
- Article IV. Executive Council .......................... 7
- Article V. Meetings........................................... 8

**By-Laws**

- By-Laws .......................................................... 10

**Code of Professional Standards**

- Code of Professional Standards ................... 17

**TFA in Review (1972-2017)**

- TFA in Review (1972-2017) ......................... 19
- Hall of Fame Life Members ......................... 22
- Hall of Fame.................................................. 23
- TFA Leadership .............................................. 24
- TFA State Champions .................................... 29

**Standing Rules: Competition Events Guide**

- Standing Rules: Competition Events Guide .............. 42
- Debate Overview ........................................... 43
- Evidence Rules ............................................... 43
- Required Documentation ................................. 44
- Evidence Misrepresentation .............................. 45
- Use of Computers During a Round ...................... 45
- Policy Debate .................................................. 47
- Lincoln-Douglas Debate ................................. 48
- Public Forum Debate ........................................ 49
- World Schools Debate ...................................... 50
- Congressional Debate ..................................... 53
- Floor Debate .................................................... 53
- Legislation ....................................................... 55
- Parliamentary Procedure ............................... 55
- Ballots and Forms ............................................ 55
Extemporaneous Speaking .............................................................................. ................................... 56
Original Oratory ................................................................................................................. 57
Duet Acting......................................................................................................................... 59
Duo Interpretation ............................................................................................................. 62
Dramatic Interpretation .................................................................................................... 64
Humorous Interpretation .................................................................................................... 66
Informative Speaking ........................................................................................................ 67
Program Oral Interpretation ............................................................................................ 68
Judge Conduct .................................................................................................................. 70
Standing Rules: .................................................................................................................. 70
Invitational Qualifying Tournament .................................................................................. 70
Operations Manual.......................................................................................................... 70
Hosting an Invitational Qualifying Tournament .............................................................. 71
Qualifying Numbers.......................................................................................................... 73
Requirements .................................................................................................................. 74
Procedure for Processing Protests of Violations .............................................................. 76
Debate Tabulation ............................................................................................................. 76
Pairing of Preliminary Rounds ......................................................................................... 77
Advancement .................................................................................................................... 77
Elimination Brackets ....................................................................................................... 78
Determining Speaker Points for Forfeit or Bye ................................................................. 79
Congressional Debate Tabulation .................................................................................... 79
Entry Numbers and Requirements .................................................................................. 79
Presiding Officers ............................................................................................................ 80
Scoring and Advancement ............................................................................................... 81
Speech and Interpretation Tabulation ............................................................................. 81
Appendix A – Seeding Order for Debate Elimination Rounds ........................................... 83
Standing Rules: .................................................................................................................. 84
State Tournament Operations Manual ............................................................................ 85
General Rules ................................................................................................................... 85
Steps to Limit the Size of the TFA State Tournament ...................................................... 86
Entry and Eligibility ......................................................................................................... 87
Examples of Acceptable Qualifications ......................................................................... 89
Constitution

*The following section may be amended by the two-thirds majority vote of the members present and voting at any annual meeting, special meeting, or mail ballot provided that copies of the Proposed amendment have been mailed to all members or placed on the official TFA website at least fourteen (14) days prior to the vote, or without prior notice by a three-fourths majority of those present and voting.

Article I. Name

The name of this organization shall be the Texas Forensic Association.

Article II. Purpose

Section 1: The purpose of this association is to bring about more effective cooperation among the members of the speech and theatre arts profession in the discharge of their special responsibilities in forensic and theatre activities; to create a means of educating the general and professional publics to the important educational functions of forensics and theatre arts; to make collective action possible on problems of common professional interest; and, in general, to maintain and advance the ideals and standards of the speech and theatre arts profession.

Section 2: This organization shall promote the interests of interscholastic speech and theatre by encouraging a spirit of fellowship among participating students and teachers. Activities viewed as central to the organization’s function include debate, theatre, and competitive individual speaking events. The Texas Forensic Association, however, assumes no legal liability for copyright violations or other actions by participants or members.

Article III. Membership

All members of the Texas Forensic Association must also be members of the Texas Speech Communication Association and the National Federation of State High School Associations. Annual dues for these organizations must be sent with TFA dues to the Treasurer.

Section 1: Persons engaged in directing forensics and theatre arts shall be eligible for membership and shall become members upon payment of annual dues. This type of individual membership shall remain with the person rather than the institution during the membership term (i.e., if the person changes schools the membership will remain with the person). These members must represent a school, defined as an organization, institution, or group which grants a diploma or its equivalent as recognized by the Texas State Board of Education and/or Texas Education Agency to provide instruction for grades nine, ten, eleven, and/or twelve. Directors of colleges and universities, recognized and accredited, by the U.S. Department of Education are allowed membership in the organization for purposes of hosting tournaments.
Section 2: Persons friendly to the aims of the organization: retired coaches, administrators, college students, spouses, and out-of-state coaches or out of state institutions, shall be eligible for membership in TFA only, but shall not be allowed to host a qualifying tournament nor compete in the State tournament. Membership will be attained upon payment of a $5.00 annual fee.

Section 3: Institutional memberships shall be available for institutions by payment of annual dues. This type of membership shall remain with the institution during the membership period regardless of personnel changes. These members must represent a school, defined as an organization, institution, or group which grants a diploma or its equivalent as recognized by the Texas State Board of Education and/or Texas Education Agency to provide instruction for grades nine, ten, eleven, and/or twelve. Colleges and universities, recognized and accredited, by the U.S. Department of Education are allowed membership in the organization for purposes of hosting tournaments.

Section 4: Emeritus life membership shall be granted to individuals whose service to the Texas Forensic Association has been of the highest merit. The Hall of Fame/Emeritus Membership Committee shall designate the honorees. These members shall be members of TFA only and shall pay no dues.

Section 5: The membership period is from September 1 to September 1. Benefits for the current membership year shall be extended only to those paying membership dues on or before January 31. Schools hosting a TFA qualifier must become members before October 31, either through an individual membership or institutional membership. Schools hosting an IQT tournament that do not pay by the October 31 deadline will incur the following penalty based on payment made:

a. If paid between November 1 and November 30 - $100 fine.
b. If paid between December 1 and December 31 - $200 fine.
c. If paid after December 31st, the hosting school will be sanctioned from hosting a tournament in the following season.

Section 6: In the event that the Texas Forensic Association should decide to dissolve, the Executive Council shall, after paying all liabilities, dispose of remaining assets as follows:

A. Donate them to the Texas Speech Communication Association which is an exempt organization under Section 501(c) (3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, or, in the event that the organization no longer exists.
B. Donate them to some other educational organization which qualifies under Section 501 (c) (3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, and which is concerned primarily with the field of communication.

Article IV. Executive Council

Section 1: The Executive Council shall consist of President, Vice-President, Secretary, Treasurer, Immediate Past President, Archivist, I.Q.T. Coordinator, Social Media Specialist, a current Superintendent of Schools, and Regional Representatives as specified in the By-Laws. The I.Q.T Coordinator, the Archivist, Social Media Specialist, and the Superintendent of Schools
are non-voting members. When the number of regions in the state is an odd number, the immediate Past President shall be a non-voting member of the Executive Council.

Section 2: The Executive Council shall act for the Association in the interim between annual meetings, but all of its actions shall be reported to the following annual meeting, and such actions may be reversed by majority, provided a quorum is present.

Section 3: The Executive Council is empowered to solicit, review, and approve initiatives by member coaches and institutions that may run contrary to the standing rules and by-laws of the organization for purposes of testing alternatives for future consideration and broader approval.

Section 4: The Executive Council is empowered to recruit, hire, evaluate, and terminate paid personnel to serve the interests of the organization.

Article V. Meetings

Section 1: The annual business meeting of the organization shall be held in conjunction with the annual convention of the Texas Speech Communication Association. In addition, business may be conducted by (a) A special meeting called by the President with the consent of the Executive Council. Written notice of said meeting must be issued to all members at least thirty days in advance. (b) A vote of the membership through a mail ballot authorized by the President with the consent of the Executive Council.

Section 2: The annual meeting may consist of several sessions. The session at which the election of officers is to be held, and any session at which a constitutional amendment is to be voted upon, must be clearly designated for those purposes in all announcements.

Section 3: Meetings of the Executive Council shall be held at the call of the President, or the Secretary shall call a meeting at the written request of any three members of the Executive Council.

Article VI. Committees

Section 1: Standing committees, as detailed by the By-Laws, shall be appointed for a term of one year by the President of the Executive Council with nominations from each Region provided by the Regional Representatives except as otherwise provided. Their term of office shall coincide with that of the President. Each standing committee shall present a report of its activities at the annual meeting.

Section 2: Special committees may be established as the need arises.

Article VII. Amendment

Section 1: This Constitution may be amended by a two-thirds majority vote of the members present and voting at any annual meeting, special meeting, or mail ballot provided that copies of the Proposed amendment have been mailed to all members or placed on the official TFA website
at least fourteen (14) days prior to the vote, or without prior notice by a three-fourths majority of those present and voting. Members must represent a school, defined as an organization, institution, or group which grants a diploma or its equivalent as recognized by the Texas State Board of Education and/or Texas Education Agency to provide instruction for grades nine, ten, eleven, and/or twelve.

Section 2: The Secretary or an appointed member of the Executive Council is authorized to correct articles and section designations, punctuation, and cross-references and to make such other technical and conforming changes in the TFA Constitution, By-Laws, Standing Rules, and Code of Professional Standards of the organization. Suggested changes must be approved by the Executive Council and published for the membership.
By-Laws

*Rules or Regulations in the following sections may be amended by the majority vote of the members present and voting at any annual meeting, special meeting, or mail ballot provided that copies of the Proposed amendment have been mailed to all members or placed on the official TFA website at least fourteen (14) days prior to the vote, or without prior notice by a three-fourths majority of those present and voting.

Section 1: **ANNUAL DUES.** The annual dues shall be established by the annual meeting and may vary from year to year.

Section 2: **NOMINATING COMMITTEE.** The President shall appoint, at least sixty (60) days prior to the annual meeting, a Nominations Committee of five members. Each region shall have at least one member on the committee. None of the five have been a member of the immediate past Nominations Committee. This Committee shall present the names of at least two members as nominees for each position: President, Vice-President, Secretary, and Treasurer. The committee shall ascertain that each nominee will serve, if elected. This slate will be made public at the earliest possible opportunity and will be presented at the annual meeting. There shall be an opportunity for nominations from the floor for names of additional nominees prior to the election of officers.

Section 3: **ELECTION OF OFFICERS.** The newly elected officers shall assume office on April 1 the year following their election. They shall hold office until their terms expire and their successors are elected. A candidate, to be elected, must receive a majority vote by secret ballot. In the event no candidate receives a majority on the first ballot, the second ballots shall be conducted with only the names of the two candidates receiving the highest number of votes in the first ballot in the consideration.

Section 4: **SPECIAL ELECTIONS.** In the case of a vacancy in any office due to death, resignation, or other cause, the President, with the consent of the Executive Council, shall appoint any eligible member to serve until the next annual meeting. In the event of a vacancy for the office of the President, the Executive Council shall appoint an eligible member to serve until an election is held at the next annual meeting.

Section 5: **DUTIES OF THE EXECUTIVE COUNCIL.** The Executive Council, under the leadership of the President, and with the aid of the standing and special committees, shall be responsible for the planning of policy, the best utilization of personnel, and the execution of policy once adopted.
A. **The President.** The President shall be required to perform the usual duties of such an officer. S/He shall have the power to call meetings of the Executive Council; to appoint State Tournament Tabulation Directors and State Tournament Committee members, the members of standing committees and special committees unless the original motion formulating the special committee shall designate another method of appointment. S/He shall be responsible for developing the sectional meeting programs at the annual convention. The term of office shall be two years and will be elected in odd number years. The President shall not serve more than one consecutive full term.

B. **The Vice-President.** In addition to those duties assigned to him/her by the President or the Executive Council, the Vice-President shall be responsible for coordinating judging assignments at the State Tournament. The term of office shall be two years and will be elected in even number years.

C. **The Secretary.** The Secretary shall be responsible for recording and reporting in the newsletter the minutes of all business meetings of the Executive Council. S/He shall also be responsible for newsletters and for correspondence of the Association and such other normal secretarial duties as may need to be done or are assigned to him/her by the President. The term of office shall be two years and will be elected in even number years. Minutes of the business meeting(s) and meetings of the Executive Council shall be specific and give details of authors of motions, discussion, and how members of the Executive Council voted in meetings that do not include the general body of members.

D. **The Treasurer.** The Treasurer shall be responsible for directing all the day-to-day business transactions of the organization. It shall be his/her duty to keep official account of all funds of the organization, to pay bills and accounts as prescribed by the President and the Executive Council or as prescribed by special action of the members at the annual meeting. In addition, s/he shall be responsible for sending out dues notices, receiving dues and applications for membership, maintaining up-to-date membership lists, publishing a directory, and for promptly forwarding all appropriate dues on to the Texas Speech Communication Association and the National Federation of State High School Associations. S/He shall serve as an ex-officio member of the Financial Committee. The term of office shall be two years and will be elected in odd number years. The Treasurer shall secure approval from a majority of the Executive Council for all expenditures over $750.00 requested by the President of the organization.

E. **Past President.** The President from the preceding year shall serve as Past President and shall act as an advisor to the Executive Council. When the number of regions in the state is an odd number, the immediate past president shall be a non-voting member of the Executive Council. If the regions of the state are even, the Past President is a voting member of the Executive Council.

F. **Regional Representatives.** A Regional Representative shall be elected from each of five regions and shall serve on the Executive Council.
1. The composition of the regions shall be determined by the Executive Council with approval by the annual business meeting.

2. Proposed changes in Texas Forensic Association regions shall be distributed to the membership at least fourteen (14) days prior to the annual meetings.

3. Representatives from odd-numbered regions shall be elected in even-number years; representatives from even-numbered regions shall be elected in odd-numbered years. All Representatives shall be elected for two-year terms.

G. **IQT Coordinator.** The President shall appoint, by July 1, a member to serve as Invitational Qualifying Tournament (I.Q.T.) Coordinator. This individual shall be a non-voting member of the Executive Council and shall serve as a member of the State Tournament Committee. The duties of the IQT Coordinator shall include receiving, recording, and notifying qualified participants in the TFA State Tournament, finalizing entries in the State Tournament, and assisting in the planning and operation of the TFA State Tournament.

H. **Archivist.** The President shall appoint a member to serve as Archivist for two years on even-numbered years. It shall be the Archivist’s duty to maintain such documents and items as the President and Executive Council prescribe. A report, including a listing, shall be made to the membership at the annual meeting. The Archivist will be a non-voting member of the Executive Council.

I. **Social Media Correspondent.** This position will be appointed by the TFA President (at the same time and through the same process as the IQT Coordinator), and shall serve for one year. This individual will update and maintain the TFA website, update and maintain the TFA Facebook page, update and maintain the TFA twitter account, and shall be responsible for presenting social media opportunities to the body at the annual meeting. S/He will also be responsible for scanning ballots (if this option is available) at the TFA State Tournament. S/He will also be responsible for maintaining the purchasing and distribution of TFA merchandise. This person will be a non-voting member of the TFA Executive Council.

J. **State Tournament Coordinator:** After soliciting applications from the TFA membership, the President will select from the group of applicants, and make public on the TFA website by July 1, a member to serve as the TFA State Tournament Coordinator for the upcoming TFA State Tournament that will take place the following March. Immediately after the President’s selection has been publicized on the TFA website, all voting members of the EC will vote on whether or not the President’s selection will fill this office. For this person to hold office, this selection must be approved, through a majority vote of the voting members of the Executive Council, including the President. An individual familiar with the TFA and marketing will be compensated by and serve as an ex-officio member of the Executive Council for one year. This individual will perform the following functions:
1. Beginning at least two years prior to the dates for the state tournament, the STC will solicit potential tournament sites from the region whose turn it would be in the constitutionally mandated rotation. If a suitable site cannot be found in that region, the STC will then be authorized to solicit potential sites from other parts of the state.

2. The STC will use a bid package created in conjunction with the EC in soliciting organizations for the state tournament. That package will include tournament logistic needs (rooms, internet access, food service, etc.) and community characteristics (hotel rooms; airport access; bus parking, etc.) The STC will provide necessary information such as economic impact for the tournament.

3. The STC will begin with appropriate convention and/or tourism bureaus. It will then be the responsibility of the local convention/tourism bureaus to work with school personnel to make appropriate sites available. Partnerships between business and education communities will be used to procure and prepare tournament sites. The STC will work with the hosting school district personnel to help with the approval of release time so that the maximum number of rounds may be scheduled.

4. The STC will announce the state tournament site at the TFA Business meeting in the school year prior to the tournament being held. For example, the 2021 TFA State Tournament site will be announced at the 2019 TFA Business Meeting.

K. Superintendent of Schools. The TFA President shall appoint this person to serve as an advisor to the council and as an advocate for the organization. This person will be a non-voting member.

L. Reports. All members of the Executive Council, including Regional Representatives shall make reports at the annual meetings, as necessary.

Section 6: Committees. Committees shall be of two types, standing and special. The standing committee shall be appointed by the President with nominations from each Region provided by the Regional Representatives. Special committees shall be appointed by the President alone. A standing committee shall serve for one year, while special committees shall be appointed for one year, or until their assignment is completed, whichever is shorter, with the exception of the Congress Committee (Amended 10-17). All committees shall report at the annual meeting and, upon request of the President, shall be required to report to the Executive Council. Membership of each committee shall be published in the newsletter no later than September 1st. The following committees shall each consist of 5 members and be regionally balanced: Public Relations, Professional Relations, Finance, Scholarship, Hall of Fame/Emeritus Membership, and Congressional Debate.

A. Public Relations. This committee shall plan and conduct a program of public relations in such a fashion as to best serve the interests of the Association and the profession, and to develop in the general public an appreciation, understanding, and awareness of the values of forensics and theatre arts.

B. Professional Relations. This committee shall be responsible for the development of high standards in training of speakers and in the conduct of
tournaments. This committee shall also be responsible for ethical practices within the profession.

C. **Debate Topic Selection Committee.** Members shall be appointed by the President and it shall be their duty to research topic areas for the National Federation of State High School Associations and to make recommendations as to the national debate topic. It should be noted that the Texas Forensic Association is not, however, a voting participant in the NFSHSA.

D. **Finance Committee.** This committee shall present an audit report at the annual meeting on odd-numbered years and additionally as necessary. A consultation with a CPA will conducted each year beginning with fiscal year 2009-2010 and the results posted on the website immediately after the audit. If an audit is deemed necessary by the CPA, then the EC will authorize an audit of that fiscal year’s records. The Chair of the Finance Committee is responsible for implementing this amendment and the Executive Council shall have the authority to release and disperse funds to a CPA and/or auditor. The President and Treasurer shall be ex-officio members.

E. **State Tournament Committee.** This committee shall plan and run the state tournament. This committee shall be composed of the Executive Council and Tab Directors.

F. **State Tournament Judging Committee.** This committee shall supply a pool of judges from each region of the state to judge the TFA State Tournament. Beginning with preliminary rounds, the committee shall make all judging assignments during the TFA State Tournament. This committee is comprised of the Vice President and the Region Representatives.

G. **Scholarship Committee.** This committee shall send out scholarship applications by January 1. Completed applications shall be returned to the committee chairperson by February 1. The committee shall review all applications with school, name, and gender omitted. Four recipients shall be announced at the TFA State Tournament.

H. **Hall of Fame/Emeritus Membership Committee.** This committee shall consist of five former TFA Executive Council members. It shall be their duty to designate honorees for the status of Hall of Fame membership. For designation into the Hall of Fame honorees may still be actively teaching forensics and/or theatre teaching and/or shall have served the organization and his/her students in a meritorious fashion. The announcement shall be made at the annual business meeting. A yearly designee is not necessary. To be eligible for the emeritus membership, one must be retired from forensic and/or theatre teaching, and shall have served the organization and his/her students in meritorious fashion. Upon retirement from forensic and/or theatre teaching, a member of the Hall of Fame will automatically be designated emeritus.

I. **Congressional Debate Committee.** The committee will have a member from each region. The region will elect their committee member every other year at the region meeting opposite when the region reps are elected. Nominations for the committee should be from the region and to the region rep. Their term will begin April 1st the following year. After the results of the election are announced, the Committee Chair will be appointed by the acting
TFA President at the TFA Business Meeting. The Congress Committee will come to a consensus on the rubric that the committee will use to select legislation for the calendar for all sessions. That rubric will be sent out to the TFA membership by May 1st of the preceding year. (Amended 10-17) This committee shall select the best 30 pieces of submitted legislation through a blind review to create the calendar for all sessions. Legislation will be selected according to the following criteria: (a) grammatical structure and style, (b) legislative intent, (c) need for the plan and feasibility, (d) formatted in the prescribed template, and (e) national in scope.

J. **Regional State Tournament Hosting Committees.** This committee shall coordinate the local arrangements for hosting the TFA State tournament when it comes to their region. Members shall report to the State Tournament Committee as well as work with the State Judging Committee. The committee shall consist of 5 members appointed by the Region Representative of the hosting region and shall serve for a 2-year period.

K. **Standing Constitutional Committee.** This committee shall review the TFA Constitution for discrepancies and general errors and recommend updates to the document in a fashion as to best serve the interests of the Association. The Constitutional Committee shall submit their recommendations at the annual meeting. (During the TFA competitive season, the Executive Council may refer constitutional discrepancies to the Constitutional Committee. The Constitutional Committee will then render a ruling in the form of a recommendation to the Executive Council. The Executive Council may then use the Constitutional Committee’s recommendation in their final ruling.)

**Section 7: Agenda of the Annual Meeting.** The agenda for the annual meeting shall be the responsibility of the President, and s/he shall direct a copy of the proposed agenda be mailed (or placed on the TFA website) to every member thirty (30) days prior to the annual meeting. In no case shall the proposed agenda be final in the sense of excluding motions from the floor not otherwise prohibited by this constitution or by the rules of procedure.

**Section 8: Quorum.** A quorum for the annual meeting shall be 20% of the membership. A quorum for a meeting of the Executive Council shall be a majority of its members. A quorum for a special meeting shall be 30% of the membership. A quorum for a mail vote shall be 40% of the membership.

**Section 9: Rules of Procedure.** The annual business meetings of the organization shall be governed in their procedure by Robert’s Rules of Order (revised).

**Section 10: Amendments.** These By-Laws, Standing Rules, and Code of Professional Standards may be amended by a majority vote of the members present and voting at any annual meeting, special meeting, or mail ballot provided that copies of the Proposed amendment have been mailed to all members or placed on the official TFA website at least thirty (30) days prior to the vote, or without prior notice by a three-fourths majority of those present and voting. If a proposed amendment does not specify a date of implementation, the effective date shall be presumed as the first day of the next competitive season. Any proposed amendment that adds a
qualifying event for TFA State requires a three-fourths majority of those present and voting.
(Amended 10-17)

**Section 11: Petition.** Petitions shall be made in writing to the President. The petition must be signed by the school principal or authorized administrator.

**Section 12: Removal From Office.**

A. **Grounds for Removal:** The Texas Forensic Association shall have the power to remove any of its officers as provided in this section. The valid grounds for such removal shall include 1) continued gross or willful neglect or the duties of the office 2) failure or refusal to disclose necessary information on matters of organization business 3) unauthorized expenditures or misuse of organizations funds 4) conviction of a felony.

B. **Removal Procedures:** The following procedures shall be followed in all proceedings leading to the possible expulsion of an officer and shall not exceed a 60-day time limit from the time of the resolution.

1. A resolution by the Executive Council to consider the removal of an officer can be introduced by any member of the Executive Council. The resolution must be accompanied by corroborating evidence and/or support of the resolution.
2. The accused and the accuser shall recuse themselves from voting in any of the proceedings.
3. If said resolution to consider the removal of an officer should pass the Executive Council by a simple majority, adequate defense shall be made by the officer, by telephone, by mail, or in person, to all those who shall vote on the question of his removal. No vote shall be taken to remove that officer until s/he has finished presenting his/her defense. The procedure for removing an officer must provide adequate notice to the accused officer, a fair hearing and the right to counsel.
4. After the officer in question has finished his/her defense, a two-thirds vote of the Executive Council shall be necessary to remove the officer in question. No officer shall be denied a response to proceedings aimed at his/her removal. If a two-thirds vote of the Executive Council is reached, the officer will be required to step down immediately. If it is an office that has control over funds of the Texas Forensic Association, they will be obligated to cede control over said funds in a timely manner as determined by the Executive Council.
5. An appeal may be brought forth before the membership by the officer in question. A vote requiring a two-thirds majority of the membership will be required to reinstate the removed officer. The vote of the body will be conducted as soon as feasible (within the 60-day outlined above). The vote may be electronic or by US mail.
Code of Professional Standards

The Texas Forensic Association is a group of professional forensic and theatre arts educators. As such, members of this group support the following set of standards in order to stimulate the growth of our students through honest and equitable forms of competition, and to encourage professionally ethical relationships between coaches, students, and institutions.

By virtue of TFA membership, each individual and institution shall subscribe to and abide by the following code of professional standards.

1. Each member and institution shall adhere to the rules and regulations governing the TFA, TFA-sanctioned competition, and such provisions that regulate conduct at an institution hosting TFA competition.

2. The speech sponsor and administrator of a school hosting a TFA-sanctioned competition may choose whom to invite to the competition on their campus.

3. Violations of any TFA rule or regulation shall be the responsibility of both the student-participant, and of the adult member of TFA who serves as the student's sponsor.

4. During the TFA qualifying tournament competition, the enforcement of tournament and TFA rules shall be the specific responsibility of the tournament host. At the State Tournament such responsibility shall reside with the Executive Council. Any violation of a state rule or regulation of the TFA, TFA-sanctioned competition, or such provisions that regulate conduct at an institution hosting TFA competition may result in the disqualification by the host of the offending participant, school, or sponsor from that competition.

5. Any decision rendered by a tournament host that violates the TFA constitution, including but not limited to, rule violations, constitutional interpretations, and/or disqualifications of a participant, school, or sponsor, may be appealed to the Executive Council of the TFA. Responsibility for contacting and presenting the specifics of that appeal to the Executive Council rests with the appellant.

6. Hosts of TFA qualifying tournaments are responsible for meeting minimum TFA standards and offering at least four TFA events. Moreover, the speech sponsor or sponsors hosting a TFA-sanctioned competition are responsible for providing a competition that is fairly and competently administered and realistically scheduled. In the event of an official protest, the host is required to respond to protesting coaches/sponsors prior to subsequent rounds/awards. Upon receipt of proof of the failure of an Invitational Qualifying Tournament to adhere to these standards and meet acceptable administrative and scheduling guidelines, the TFA Executive Council shall be empowered to act on those appeals in a variety of ways, including but not limited to: rewarding/revocation of IQT qualifying points, contacting relevant
school administrators, and revoking the ability of the host to host an IQT for at least one year.

7. All sponsors chaperoning students participating in a TFA-sanctioned competition are expected to conduct themselves in a professional manner. Such conduct would include, but not be limited to, the following: paying entry fees at time of registration or demonstrating proof of intent to pay in the form of a purchase order or personal check. All outstanding fees are to be settled or received no later than sixty (60) days from the date of the tournament; (b) any additional judging, drop fees, or refunds must be paid or settled no later than thirty (30) days from the date of the tournament; (c) being present or having a principal-approved designee present so long as students from the sponsor’s school are at the tournament site; and (d) refraining from berating or intimidating judges, other coaches, and students.

8. The Texas Forensic Association condemns all forms of harassment. Coaches, sponsors, students, judges and tournament officials engaging in harassment of colleagues, students, judges, or other tournament participants at a TFA sanctioned event or while engaged in performance of duties as a TFA sponsor/competitor/judge/volunteer will be sanctioned by the Texas Forensic Association. Harassment includes unsolicited sexually explicit language, pictures, or touching of another person with the intent to illicit and/or pursue sexual or romantic relations; threats or promises made regarding tournament performance or participation in exchange for sexual favors; racist language used to insult another person or group of persons; intentionally excluding members of the coaching/judging community from participating as judges based on their gender identification, race, creed, religion, or physical disabilities unrelated to the activity; any sexual or romantic conduct perpetrated between a legal adult aged 18 or over and graduated from high school working as a tournament official, a coach, a consultant, and/or a judge and any student enrolled in any high school full or part time who is competing and/or volunteering at a tournament. (10/5/18)

9. Any member of the TFA may offer a formal complaint against violations of professional standards by a fellow member of the TFA. Such complaints must be signed by at least two other members of TFA who have witnessed the violations of professional standards detailed in the complaint. [In terms of payment of fees, the tournament sponsor, the principal, and the superintendent may sign the complaint.] Such complaints will be forwarded to the Executive Council of the TFA. If deemed a violation of professional standards, and necessary for an objective decision, the Executive Council shall refer the complaint to the Professional Relations Committee. The PRC will investigate the complaint, hear rebuttal by the accused member, and render an opinion on each complaint. The Executive Council may (a) dismiss the complaint; (b) dismiss the complaint and censure those making the complaint; (c) censure the offending member or institution; (d) remove membership from the offending member or institution; and/or deny the school the right to participate in the current year’s TFA State Tournament. Censure shall be a written reprimand addressed to the offending member or institution. Removal of membership shall be immediate,
and suspension shall be for at least one school year. If the complaint involves a member of the Executive Council they may be removed from office.

10. All Executive Council members shall be promptly notified of any professional standards hearing. All professional standards hearings shall be by the full Executive Council. Any penalties shall be by a two-thirds vote of the voting members of the Executive Council.

11. Any challenge or charges regarding a TFA issue should be presented in writing within ten days to all parties involved in the matter. At that time, all parties have ten days to request a formal hearing so that each may present pertinent facts in person or via electronic communication. The Executive Council may then make a decision based on the circumstances surrounding each unique situation. Under no circumstances should the Executive Council agree in advance to vote unanimously prior to discussion of issues that are contested.

12. Coaches/sponsors shall refrain from efforts to manipulate the outcome of competition. Encouraging students to not perform well, to not show up, or to “forget”, in an effort to help other students gain a qualification would be considered manipulation. Coaches conspiring to determine placement or judging their own students in an effort to determine placing shall not be allowed unless only one school is represented in the elimination round.

---

**TFA in Review (1972-2017)**

On May 2, 1972, thirty college and high school debate coaches from across the state of Texas met in Houston to discuss the need for an organization which would give students from large and small, public and private, schools an opportunity for competition which would lead directly to qualification for the NFL National Tournament. Response was enthusiastic, and a constitution committee was appointed. On June 3, 1972, a committee of twelve met in Waco, and made plans for a system of qualification through invitational and regional tournaments. A nominating committee was appointed to present a slate of officers to the first annual meeting in October, held in conjunction with the Texas Speech Communication Association convention. Dr. William English, Director of Forensics at the University of Houston, contacted the National Forensic Association. On June 19, 1972, the Executive Council of NFL voted to recognize TFA as the qualifying agency in Texas for NFL National Tournament competitors. In October, seventy-five speech directors met in San Antonio, elected officers, ratified a constitution and bylaws, and officially formed the Texas Forensic Association. TSCA gave official recognition to TFA as the forensics interest group of that association. Subsequently, the first TFA State Tournament was held at Baylor University, March 23-24, 1973.
During the first year of operation, it became apparent that a person was needed to coordinate records of state qualifiers, so an IQT coordinator was added to the Executive Council. The number of regions was reduced from five to four because of the participation level in the original Region 1. During the annual convention in 1974, Duet Acting was added as a state event for 1975-76. TFA vice-presidents began assuming full responsibility for planning forensic-related programs for the TSCA annual convention. Since the Speech Communication Association/American Forensic Association was held in Houston in 1975, TFA hosted a reception for the AFA National Council to explore the relationship between the two organizations.

In 1976-77, Student Congress was added to the events of the TFA State Tournament. Participation in the event increased rapidly, and it was expanded to include a semifinal and final house at the State Tournament. Because of the increase in Invitational Qualifying Tournaments, the method of approval for tournaments was changed. In addition, Regional TFA Tournaments were discontinued. Membership in TFA was originally tied to membership in AFA. At the 1978 convention, the membership unanimously voted to require membership in TSCA of all TFA members. The intent of this move was to cement relationships between TFA and TSCA and to emphasize the professional nature of TFA. The TFA began publication of the State Judging Philosophy Booklet. In 1978, TFA sent Virginia Meyers as a delegate to the NUCEA topic selection conference. TFA also assumed full partnership with TSCA in promoting speech curriculum concerns in the state.

In 1979, Lincoln Douglas Debate was added as a national qualifying event. A major constitutional revision was initiated, and in 1981, an Ad Hoc Committee for TFA Scholarships was formed. In 1982, TFA voted to make the NUCEA Committee on Topic Selection a standing committee with the charge to prepare research studies each year for the topic selection process. A Lincoln-Douglas Topic Selection Committee was formed and charged with formulating LD topics each year for use in TFA qualifying and State tournaments.

Many of the goals of the charter members of TFA were realized in its first ten years of existence. The focus of the association moved from that of providing a national qualifying tournament to that of becoming a force in the broad range of professional concerns: competitive, curricular, and professional.

1985-86 provided new challenges for all student organizations with the passage of House Bill 72. Again, TFA weathered the storm of the new regulations by adapting the invitational tournaments schedules and shifting the State Tournament from a three-day format to a two-day schedule. 1987-88 saw the TFA taking a leadership position in establishing the importance of forensics in Texas under state guidelines. As a result, speech was accepted as a fine art credit qualifying it for the advanced seal requirement set by the State of Texas. Domestic and Foreign Extemporaneous Speaking replaced the Men’s and Women’s divisions.

In 1988-89, the State Tournament grew as Supplemental Events were added on a trial basis, and in 1989-90, they became a permanent addition to the tournament schedule. On a national level, the debate topic drafted by the TFA representative to the NUCEA topic selection conference was adopted as the official national topic for 1989-90. A constitutional revision in 1988-89 established a committee to select Emeritus Life Members to be honored for significant
contributions to the association. The first members of this select group were honored at the 1989 convention. Charter members named were Jean Boles, Ed Brower, Opal Hall, and Dell McComb. At the 1989 convention, the membership voted to no longer require members to join the AFA.

In 1991, TFA became an incorporated organization. This guaranteed our tax-exempt status and helped to streamline accounting procedures. During this same year, the term of service by TFA officers was changed to coincide with the completion of the state tournament, allowing for a smoother transition in administrations and more preparation time for convention planning. An amendment was passed at convention providing for a rotational system for the location of the State Tournament to provide the highest quality competition. Changes made from 1992 from 1994 included the establishment of five regions instead of four, returning to the three-day format for the State Tournament, establishment of a State Tournament Sweepstakes, and a commitment to new technology with the purchase of new computer equipment.

In 1997, the qualification procedure was changed to a point system in an effort to limit the size of entries. The points began at six and have moved up in following years to eight, ten, and now twelve. As participation in Student Congress continued to increase, a Semifinal Congress was added to the State Tournament.

The membership of the organization has grown steadily each year with more than 420 professional members in the 1997-98 Silver Anniversary year. The Association continues to set high standards and strive to meet new goals for the benefit of Texas teachers and students. The model of the Texas Forensic Association has been recommended for other states where similar needs are felt.

In 2017, Informative Speaking and Program Oral Interpretation were added as events in order to align with the National Speech and Debate Association.

As TFA begins its second quarter century, the future is secure. TFA is founded in positive goals and fosters a cooperative relationship between high school and college coaches.
## Hall of Fame Life Members

(Previously “Emeritus,” changed 2014)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jean Boles</td>
<td>Anne Rains Hayden</td>
<td>Roberta Grenfell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed Brower</td>
<td>Jim Long</td>
<td>Bruce Garner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opal Hall</td>
<td>Carla McGee</td>
<td>Barbara Garner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dell McComb</td>
<td>David Reins</td>
<td>Sandra Greene</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vernon McGuire</td>
<td>Ed Thompson</td>
<td>Connie Aufdembrink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Horace Griffin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elected 1994</th>
<th>Elected 2001</th>
<th>Elected 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bill English</td>
<td>Debbie Dehlinger</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Judy Carter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maridell Fryar</td>
<td>Paula Moeller Fisher</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee Polk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elected 1995</th>
<th>Elected 2003</th>
<th>Elected 2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carl Adkins</td>
<td>Miff Mendoza</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackie Jarrett</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanny Naegelin</td>
<td>Rita Harlan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linda Donnell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judy Dorsett</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Myers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guy Paul Yates</td>
<td>Elected 1998</td>
<td>Tanya Evers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John O’Neill</td>
<td></td>
<td>Margo Kendrick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnny Mitchell</td>
<td></td>
<td>Paul Newman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ron Dodson</td>
<td>Elected 2007</td>
<td>Sandy Schneider</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy Lucas</td>
<td></td>
<td>Alan Shumate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.J. Naegelin</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cheryl Ryne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mildred Peveto</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dr. Kerry Moore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerson Turner</td>
<td></td>
<td>Glenda Ferguson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Janet Melton</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hall of Fame**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Randy Ellis</td>
<td>Elected 2006</td>
<td>Robert Shepard</td>
<td>Elected 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cindi Timmons</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kim Falco</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Baker</td>
<td></td>
<td>Billye Lucas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.E. Masters</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cheryl Potts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kandi King</td>
<td>Elected 2007</td>
<td>Lee Ann Ince</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Schuetz</td>
<td></td>
<td>Michael Merritt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jane Boyd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sammy Green</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Trevino</td>
<td>Elected 2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte Brown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Shofner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elected 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aaron Timmons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Huston</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Boeger</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbara McCain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shawn Mena</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karen Wilbanks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elected 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elected 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elected 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elected 2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TFA Leadership

1972-73: Dr. Bill English, President; Dr. Lee Polk, Vice President; Georgiana Sims, Secretary; John Crain, Treasurer; Jerry Knight, Maridell Fryar, Alan Shumate, Rev. Paul Duffey, Emerson Turner, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Baylor University.

1973-74: Mary Alice Taker, President; Nancy DePuy, Vice President; Jackie Jarrett, Secretary; John Crain, Treasurer; Georgiana Sims, IQT Coordinator. State Tournament – Baylor University.

1974-75: Maridell Fryar, President; Bill Henderson, Vice President; Carla McGee, Secretary, John Crain, Treasurer; Johnny Mitchell, IQT Coordinator; Virginia Myers, J.E. Masters, Georgiana Sims, Danny Jennings, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Baylor University.

1975-76: Carla McGee, President; J.E. Masters, Vice President; Jean Boles, Secretary; Ed Brower, Treasurer; Beverly Wakefield, IQT Coordinator; Virginia Myers, Dorothy Huffstutler, Johnny Mitchell, David Rien, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Odessa College.

1976-77: Jean Boles, Secretary; Ed Brower, Treasurer; Dorothy Huffstutler, Johnny Mitchell David Rien, James Buchanan, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Baylor University.

1977-78: Alan Shumate, President; George Grice, Vice President; Jean Boles, Secretary; Johnny Mitchell, Treasurer; Brent Northrum, IQT Coordinator; James Buchanan, Dorothy Huffstutler, Pat Garman, David Rien, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Baylor University.
1978-79: Lanny Naegelin, President; Virginia Myers, Vice President; Judy Carter, Secretary; Judy Dorsett, Treasurer; Roz Laves, IQT Coordinator; Jackie Jarrett, Blair Lybbert, Ira Evers, Roz Laves, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Baylor University.

1979-80: Judy Dorsett, President; Maridell Fryar, Vice President; Ira Evers, Secretary; Jean Boles, Treasurer; Roz Laves, IQT Coordinator; Jackie Jarrett, J.E. Masters, Johnny Mitchell, David Rien, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – The University of Texas at Arlington.

1980-81: Lanny Naegelin, President; Horace Griffin, Vice President; Carolyn DeLecour, Secretary; Don Blankenship, Treasurer; Judy Qualls, IQT Coordinator; Carolyn Ewing, J.E. Masters, Sandra Richmond, David Rien, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – The University of Texas at Arlington.

1981-82: J.E. Masters, President; David Thomas, Vice President; Jackie Jarrett, Secretary; Debbie Dehlinger, Treasurer; Linda Donnell, IQT Coordinator; Carolyn Ewing, Paul Newman, Sandra Richmond, Margo Kendrick, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – The University of Houston.

1982-83: Debbie Dehlinger, President; Carl Adkins, Vice President; Linda Donnell, Secretary; Charlotte English, Treasurer; Karen McGlashen, IQT Coordinator; Ann Shofner, Paul Newman, Ruth Zurate, Margo Kendrick, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Richfield High School, Waco.

1983-84: Jackie Jarrett, President; Margo Kendrick, Vice President; Ann Shofner, Secretary; Charlotte English, Treasurer; Ron Dodson, IQT Coordinator; Stuart Baker, Ruth Zurate, Larry Balfe, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Westlake High School, Austin.

1984-85: Margo Kendrick, President; Horace Griffin, Vice President; Debie Brantley, Secretary; Ron Dodson, Treasurer; Kandi King, IQT Coordinator; Bob Fennell, Sandy Lucas, Larry Balfe, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Roosevelt High School, San Antonio.

1985-86: Ann Shofner, President; Treva Dayton, Vice President; Jane Eichen, Secretary; Ron Dodson, Treasurer; Kandi King, IQT Coordinator; Bob Fennell, Debbie Brantley, Stan Klein, Cheryl Ryne, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Central High School, San Angelo.

1986-87: Mildred Peveto, President; Paula Moeller, Vice President; Kerry Moore, Secretary; Kandi King, Treasurer; Mark Evans, IQT Coordinator; Lacretia Marsh, Sandy Schneider, Debbie Brantley, Cheryl Ryne, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – R.L. Turner High School, Carrollton.

1987-88: Paula Moeller, President; David Baker, Vice President; Mark Evans, Secretary; Kandi King, Treasurer; Anne Raines, IQT Coordinator; Lacretia Marsh, Sandy Schneider, Mechelle Sexton, Valleri Speer, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Westlake High School, Austin.
1988-89: David Baker, President; Jim Long, Vice President; Cindi Dittrich, Secretary; Kandi King, Treasurer; Bill Tedford, IQT Coordinator; Shawn Crain, Susan Hedger, Mechelle Sexton Bryson, Balleri Speer, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Winston Churchill High School, San Antonio.

1989-90: Jim Long, President; Anne Raines, Vice President; Cindi Dittrich LaMendola, Secretary; Kandi King, Treasurer; Betsy Geery, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Shawn Crain Mena, Susan Hedger, Debbie Brantley Ladis, Wayne Paulus, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Winston Churchill High School, San Antonio.

1990-91: Anne Raines, President; Randy Ellis, Vice President; Lois Davis, Secretary; Kandi King, Treasurer; Betsy Geery, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Joe Willis, Debbie Ladis, Gay Hollis, Pam Hummel, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Langham Creek High School, Houston.

1991-92: Anne Raines, President; Randy Ellis, Vice President; Lois Davis, Secretary; Kandi King, Treasurer; Betsy Geery, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Joe Willis, Debbie Ladis, Gay Hollis, Pam Hummel, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Hanks High School, El Paso.

1992-93: Randy Ellis, President; Ron Dodson, Vice President; Betsy Geery, Secretary; Kandi King, Treasurer; Barbara Garner, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Joe Willis, Pam Hummel, Carla Ford, Gay Hollis, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Lewisville High School, Lewisville.

1993-94: Ron Dodson, President; Gay Hollis/Cindy LaMendola, Vice President; Charlotte Brown, Secretary; Kandi King, Treasurer; Barbara Garner, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Connie McKee, Carla Ford, David Thweatt, Teresa Lee, Lynda Melanson, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Clark High School, San Antonio.

1994-95: Cindi LaMendola, President; David Thweatt, Vice President; Charlotte Brown, Secretary; Kandi King, Treasurer; Ken Ogden, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Connie McKee, Sally Tate, Elizabeth White, Teresa Lee, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Dulles High School, Houston.

1995-96: David Thweatt, President; Elizabeth White, Vice President; Becky Hodges, Secretary; Kandi King, Treasurer; Ken Ogden, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Julie Fuller, Bruce Garner, Terri Robinson, Michael Thompson, Lynda Melanson, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Hanks High School, El Paso.

1996-97: Elizabeth White, President; Kandi King, Vice President; Becky Hodges, Secretary; Terri Robinson, Treasurer; Ken Ogden, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Julie Fuller, Sandra Shelton, Tom Ray, Michael Thompson, Billye Lucas, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Monterey High School – Lubbock.
1997-98: Kandi King, President; Barbara Garner, Vice President; Heath Dixon, Secretary; Terri Robinson, Treasurer; Lisa Barnett, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Lana Hall, Sandra Shelton, Tom Ray, Michael Thompson, Billye Lucas, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Colleyville Heritage High School, Colleyville.

1998-99: Barbara Garner, President; Tom Ray, Vice President; Heather Sands, Secretary; Bill Schuetz, Treasurer; Lisa Barnett, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Lana Hall, Sandra Shelton, Joseph Johnson, Mariann Fedrizzi, Billye Lucas, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Cypress Falls High School, Houston.

1999-2000: Tom Ray, President; Lisa Barnett, Vice President; Barbara McCain, Secretary; Bill Schuetz, Treasurer; Dixie Waldo, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Tricia Evans, Diane Forbes, Joseph Johnson, Mariann Fedrizzi, Billye Lucas, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Bel Air High School, El Paso.

2000-01: Lisa Barnett, President; Gary Boeger, Vice President; Barbara McCain, Secretary; Bill Schuetz, Treasurer; Barbara Garner, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Elyane Patridge, Diane Forbes, Kandi King, Mariann Fedrizzi, Billye Lucas, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Ronald Reagan Falls High School, San Antonio.

2001-02: Gary Boeger, President; Randy Ellis, Vice President; Barbara McCain, Secretary; Bill Schuetz, Treasurer; Cindi Saukel, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Elyane Patridge, Diane Forbes, Kandi King, Mariann Fedrizzi, Billye Lucas, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Lubbock High School, Lubbock.

2002-03: Randy Ellis, President; Keith Townsend, Vice President; David Huston, Secretary; Bill Schuetz, Treasurer; Cindi Saukel, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Elayne Patridge, Diane Forbes, Terri Robinson, Rhonda Bell, Billye Lucas, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Highland Park High School, Dallas.

2003-04: Keith Townsend, President; Rosemary Kinkaid, Vice President; David Huston, Secretary; Bill Schuetz, Treasurer; Cindi Saukel, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Elayne Patridge, Diane Forbes, Terri Robinson, Rhonda Bell, Billye Lucas, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Bryan High School, Bryan.

2004-05: Rosemary Kinkaid, President; Dixie Waldo, Vice President; David Huston, Secretary; Bill Schuetz, Treasurer; Cindi Saukel, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Elayne Patridge, Diane Forbes, Terri Robinson, Rhonda Bell, Billye Lucas, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Bel Air High School, El Paso.

2005-06: Dixie Waldo, President; Aaron Timmons, Vice President; David Huston, Secretary; Bill Schuetz, Treasurer; Alicia Elliott, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Carol Hildebrand, Diane Forbes, Erin Stage, Rhonda Bell, Shawn Mena, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Pharr-San Juan-Alamo High School, San Juan.
2006-07: Aaron Timmons, President; Rhonda Bell, Vice President; Brent Hinkle, Secretary; Bill Schuetz, Treasurer; Alicia Dunson, IQT Coordinator; Roberta Grenfell, Archivist; Carol Hildebrand, Jason Sykes, Erin Stage, Gary Boeger, Shawn Mena, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Creekview High School, Carrollton.

2007-08: Rhonda Bell, President; Karen Wilbanks, Vice President; Brent Hinkle, Secretary; David Gardiner, Treasurer; Alicia Dunson, IQT Coordinator; Sammy Green, Archivist; Carol Hildebrand, Jason Sykes, Erin Stage, Adam Woodward, Yolanda Silva, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Coppell High School, Coppell.

2008-09: Bill Schuetz, President; Karen Wilbanks, Vice President; Brent Hinkle, Secretary; David Gardiner, Treasurer; Alicia Dunson, IQT Coordinator; Sammy Green, Archivist; Carol Hildebrand, Jason Sykes, Erin Stage, Adam Woodward, Yolanda Silva, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Cy Fair ISD, Houston.

2009-10: Karen Wilbanks, President; Robert Shepard, Vice President; Raul Ruiz, Secretary; David Gardiner, Treasurer; Alicia Dunson, IQT Coordinator; Sammy Green, Archivist; Carol Hildebrand, Diane Forbes, Kirsten Nash, Adam Woodward, Yolanda Silva, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Ysleta ISD, El Paso.

2010-11: Robert Shepard, President; Shawn Mena, Vice President; Scott Baker, Secretary, Cindi Havron, Treasurer; Alicia Dunson, IQT Coordinator; Sammy Green, Archivist; Carol Hildebrand, Eric Mears, Kirsten Nash, Debbie Waddell, Yolanda Silva, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Flower Mound High School, Lewisville.

2011-12: Shawn Mena, President; Heath Martin, Vice President; Scott Baker, Secretary; Cindi Havron, Treasurer; Grant Hahn, IQT Coordinator; Sammy Green, Archivist; Carol Hildebrand, Eric Mears, Kirsten Nash, Debbie Waddell, Yolanda Silva, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Amarillo High School, Amarillo.

2012-13: Heath Martin, President; Joseph Uhler, Vice President; Scott Baker, Secretary; Jason Warren, Treasurer; Grant Hahn, IQT Coordinator; Robert Shepard, Archivist; Mellessa Denny, Wendi Brandenburg, Kirsten Nash, Debbie Waddell, Yolanda Silva, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Grand Prairie High School, South Grand Prairie.

2013-14: Joseph Uhler, President; Kirsten Nash, Vice President; Scott Baker, Secretary; Jason Warren, Treasurer; Grant Hahn, IQT Coordinator; Robert Shepard, Archivist; Mellessa Denny, Wendi Brandenburg, John Mast, Debbie Waddell, Yolanda Silva, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Cypress Creek High School, Cypress.

2014-15: Kirsten Nash, President; Gay Hollis, Vice President; Scott Baker, Secretary; Jason Warren, Treasurer; Grant Hahn, IQT Coordinator; Robert Shepard, Archivist; Mellessa Denny, Wendi Brandenburg, John Mast, Debbie Waddell, Yolanda Silva, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Franklin High School, El Paso.
2015-16: Gay Hollis, President; Perry Beard, Vice President; Wendi Brandenburg, Secretary; Jason Warren, Treasurer; Grant Hahn, IQT Coordinator; Robert Shepard, Archivist; Ryan Lovell, Rhonda Smith, John Mast, Debbie Waddell, Yolanda Silva, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Hendrickson High School, Pflugerville.

2016-17: Noah Recker, President; Perry Beard, Vice President; Wendi Brandenburg, Secretary; Jason Warren, Treasurer; Grant Hahn, IQT Coordinator; Kirsten Nash, Archivist; Ryan Lovell, Rhonda Smith, John Mast, Debbie Waddell, Yolanda Silva, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Plano West Senior High School, Plano.

2017-18: Noah Recker, President; John Mast, Vice President; Victoria Beard, Secretary; Jason Warren, Treasurer; Grant Hahn, IQT Coordinator; Kirsten Nash, Archivist; Ryan Lovell, Rhonda Smith, Michelle Watkins, Debbie Waddell, Yolanda Silva, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – La Vernia High School, La Vernia.

2018-19: Seth Pietsek, President; John Mast, Vice President; Victoria Beard, Secretary; Noah Recker, Treasurer; Grant Hahn, IQT Coordinator; Kirsten Nash, Archivist; Ryan Lovell, Rhonda Smith/Rory McKenzie, Michelle Watkins, Debbie Waddell/Ryan Hennessey, Yolanda Silva, Regional Representatives. State Tournament – Alief Taylor High School, Houston.

**TFA State Champions**

If you have any missing information, please contact the current Archivist or your Region Representative so that our records may be completed.

**Humorous Interpretation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1974-77</td>
<td>No Information Available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>Greg Baldwin</td>
<td>Spring HS, Spring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>Paul Vela</td>
<td>Jefferson HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>Kyndal May</td>
<td>Newman Smith HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>Steven Crabtree</td>
<td>Newman Smith HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>John Matthews</td>
<td>McAllen HS, McAllen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>Chris Welch</td>
<td>MacArthur HS, Irving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>Lyra Barrera</td>
<td>Gregory-Portland HS, Portland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>Audra Hans</td>
<td>Newman Smith HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>Ben McDonald</td>
<td>Klein HS, Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>Paul DeCrodova</td>
<td>Gregory-Portland HS, Portland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Gwen Templeton</td>
<td>Klein HS, Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>Mark Town</td>
<td>Duncanville HS, Duncanville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>Greg McFadden</td>
<td>Klein HS, Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Michael Frederico</td>
<td>Vines HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Demond Wilson</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1994  Trevor Wood    Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
1995  Brad Goertz    Klein HS, Klein
1996  Mark Banks    Arlington HS, Arlington
1997  Michael Washington    Plano Senior HS, Plano
1998  Leah Chaney    Gregory-Portland HS, Portland
1999  Dan Charbaneau    Texas Military Institute, San Antonio
2000  Marco Ramirez    Gregory-Portland HS, Portland
2001  Robert Sublett    Bishop HS, Bishop
2002  Robert Sublett    Bishop HS, Bishop
2003  Buddy Hardt    Foster HS, Richmond
2004  Cody Kirkpatrick    Gregory-Portland HS, Portland
2005  Alice Wiesner    Klein HS, Klein
2006  Chase Williamson    Carroll Senior HS, Southlake
2007  Reggie Talley    Klein Forest HS, Houston
2008  A J Galvan    W.B. Ray HS, Corpus Christi
2009  Brett Gray    Cypress Ridge HS, Houston
2010  Landry Ayres    Grapevine HS, Grapevine
2011  Tommy Waas    Klein HS, Klein
2012  Marah Wilson    Grapevine HS, Grapevine
2013  Michael Ferguson    Creekview HS, Carrollton
2014  John Biebighauser    Grapevine HS, Grapevine
2015  Seis Stives    St. Mary’s Hall, San Antonio
2016  Ryan Esparza    Klein HS, Klein
2017  Andy VanSaders    Saginaw HS, Saginaw
2018  Harrison Webster    Ronald Reagan HS, San Antonio
2019  John Duncan    Harlingen South HS, Harlingen

**Dramatic Interpretation**

1974-77  No Information Available
1978  Greg Baldwin    Spring HS, Spring
1979  Thomas Bruner    Jefferson HS, San Antonio
1980  Dee Dee Green    Newman Smith HS, Carrollton
1981  Janay Ferguson    Highland Park HS, Dallas
1982
1983  Natalie Staley    Newman Smith HS, Carrollton
1984
1985  Brian Hoffman    Newman Smith HS, Carrollton
1986  Lyra Barrera    Gregory-Portland HS, Portland
1987  Kent Lanier    Klein HS, Klein
1988  Sarah Fisch    Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
1989  Bruce Smith    Duncanville HS, Duncanville
1990  Jennifer Mueller    Plano Senior HS, Plano
1991  Christy Vannoy    Newman Smith HS, Carrollton
1992  Jenny Hall    Westlake HS, Austin
1993  Jeni Seaman    Klein HS, Klein
1994  David Hornsby    The Kinkaid School, Houston
1995  Sue Cerreta    Klein HS, Klein
1996  Jared Coseglia    Newman Smith HS, Carrollton
1997  Nathan Frailing    Harlingen South HS, Harlingen
1998  Angela Grovey    Elkins HS, Elkins
1999  Blake Walker    Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
2000  Stephanie Cerreta    Klein HS, Klein
2001  Chris Grant    Denton Senior HS, Denton
2002  Meg Fee    The Kinkaid School, Houston
2003  Ashley Gay    Creekview HS, Carrollton
2004  Bianca Anderson    Duncanville HS, Duncanville
2005  D’Angelo Lacy    Creekview HS, Carrollton
2006  Ace Heckathorn    Plano Senior HS, Plano
2007  Alex Hardaway    James Martin HS, Arlington
2008  Lawryn LaCroix    Creekview HS, Carrollton
2009  Lawryn LaCroix    Creekview HS, Carrollton
2010  Jake McCready    Coppell HS, Coppell
2011  Keegan Latham    Plano Senior HS, Plano
2012  Robert Jackson    Alief Hastings HS, Alief
2013  Aldean Pearson II    Mansfield HS, Mansfield
2014  Abigail Owunuali    Alief Hastings HS, Alief
2015  Bianca Montgomery    Andy Dekaney HS, Houston
2016  Jaylon Bolden    J. Frank Dobie HS, Houston
2017  Taylor Woods    Grand Prairie HS, Grand Prairie
2018  Christopher Scott    J. Frank Dobie HS, Houston
2019  Dago Lopez    Pharr-San Juan-Alamo North HS, Pharr

Extemporaneous Speaking (Men’s and Women’s)

1974  Kenneth Marks    Bellaire HS, Houston
1975  Collyn Peddle    Bellaire HS, Houston
1976
1977  David Dow    Bellaire HS, Houston
1978  Kelly Coyner    Bellaire HS, Houston
1979  Denise Huddle    Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
Mark Kolitz    Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
1980  Linda O’Connor    Roosevelt HS, San Antonio
Mark Taylor    Humble HS, Humble
1981
1982  Sheri Brown    Jefferson HS, San Antonio
Mike Gagliardi    Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
1983  Cathy Palczewski    Robert E. Lee HS, San Antonio
Gene Spears    Cypress Creek HS, Houston
1984  Rajesh Aggarwal    Bellaire HS, Houston
1985  Kim Wulfe    Robert E. Lee HS, San Antonio
1986  Becky Buckman    Kingwood HS, Humble
Joey Profaizer    Plano Senior HS, Plano
1987  Barbara Wall    Kingwood HS, Humble
Steve Hill    Plano Senior HS, Plano

Foreign Extemporaneous Speaking
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>School Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>Michael Tomz</td>
<td>Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Suzie Sprague</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>Christina Rodriguez</td>
<td>Robert E. Lee HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>Alison Tedor</td>
<td>Robert E. Lee HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Michelle Ganow</td>
<td>Humble HS, Humble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Bobby Root</td>
<td>MacArthur HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Wade Coriel</td>
<td>Cypress Creek HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Jason Earle</td>
<td>MacArthur HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Caleb McDaniel</td>
<td>Clark HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Anjan Choudhury</td>
<td>Taylor HS, Katy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Rajit Marwah</td>
<td>Alief Hastings HS, Alief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Sean Yom</td>
<td>Kingwood HS, Kingwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Bryan Gray</td>
<td>Lewisville HS, Lewisville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Emily Scheer</td>
<td>Calallen HS, Corpus Christi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Lily Wang</td>
<td>Plano East Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Jeff Geels</td>
<td>Carroll HS, Southlake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Jeff Geels</td>
<td>Carroll HS, Southlake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Jeff Geels</td>
<td>Carroll HS, Southlake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Christine Halbert</td>
<td>Carroll HS, Southlake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Samin Agha</td>
<td>Alief Taylor HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Dillon Huff</td>
<td>Carroll Senior HS, Southlake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Kate Mozynski</td>
<td>Coppell HS, Coppell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Dillon Huff</td>
<td>Carroll Senior HS, Southlake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Lavyana Sunder</td>
<td>Lamar HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Arvind Venkataraman</td>
<td>Carroll Senior HS, Southlake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Cyrus Ghaznavi</td>
<td>Parish Episcopal School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Abhinav Sridharan</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Abhinav Sridharan</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Neil Patel</td>
<td>Plano West Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Sarah Lanier</td>
<td>Northland Christian, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Kate Fisher</td>
<td>St. Mary’s Hall, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Faizan Asif</td>
<td>Seven Lakes HS, Katy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Domestic Extemporaneous Speaking**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>School Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>Steve Hill</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Heath Dixon</td>
<td>Plano East Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>Stefanie Rosenthal</td>
<td>Robert E. Lee HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>Christina Rodriguez</td>
<td>Robert E. Lee HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Matthew Whitley</td>
<td>Central HS, San Angelo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>K.C. Allen</td>
<td>Uvalde HS, Uvalde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Lisa Tsai</td>
<td>Jersey Village HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Win Hayes</td>
<td>Klein HS, Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Jim Hawkins</td>
<td>Dulles HS, Sugarland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Ryan Cunningham</td>
<td>Robert E. Lee HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Justine Fisher</td>
<td>Robert E. Lee HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Jason Warren</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Amanda Knight</td>
<td>Friendswood HS, Friendswood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Amanda Knight</td>
<td>Friendswood HS, Friendswood</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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2002  Amanda Knight    Friendswood HS, Friendswood
2003  Weston Elkins    Lamar Consolidated HS, Rosenberg
2004  Weston Elkins    Lamar Consolidated HS, Rosenberg
2005  Joanna Smolenski   Plano West Senior HS, Plano
2006  Mark Alan Isaacson   Bay City HS, Bay City
2007  Tex Dawson    Plano West Senior HS, Plano
2008  Nick Cugini    Cypress Ridge HS, Houston
2009  Nick Cugini    Cypress Ridge HS, Houston
2010  Claire Daviss    Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
2011  Shikha Garg    Plano Senior HS, Plano
2012  Zachary Stone    Plano West Senior HS, Plano
2013  Drew Huegel    Lamar Consolidated HS, Rosenberg
2014  Ash Malhotra    Plano Senior HS, Plano
2015  Marshall Webb    St. Mary's Hall, San Antonio
2016  Marshall Webb    St. Mary's Hall, San Antonio
2017  Katherine Hu    Plano Senior HS, Plano
2018  Jacqueline Wei    Plano West Senior HS, Plano
2019  Hunter Brown    Spring Woods HS, Houston

Original Oratory
1974  Neal Amsden    Bellaire HS, Houston
1975-78  No Information Available
1979  Henry Samelson   Jefferson HS, San Antonio
1980
1981
1982  Mike Chocon    Amarillo HS, Amarillo
1983  Bill Thompson    Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
1984
1985
1986  Emily Stouffer    Newman Smith HS, Carrollton
1987  Debra Rodriguez    Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
1988  Valerie Funkhouser    Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
1989  Troy Wolverton    Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
1990  David Greenstone    R.L. Turner HS, Carrollton
1991  Lauren Hodges    Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
1992  Ryan Breidenbach    Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
1993  Trevor Wood    Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
1994  Allison Webster    The Kinkaid School, Houston
1995  Matt Pierce    McCullough HS, Conroe
1996  Maya Buryakovsky    The Kinkaid School, Houston
1997  Ashley Lucas    Bowie HS, El Paso
1998  Danny Lutman    Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
1999  Ryan Short    Plano Senior HS, Plano
2000  Joe Williams    Cypress Falls HS, Houston
2001  Michael Woywood    Harlingen South HS, Harlingen
2002  Melissa Messer    Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
2003  Melissa Messer    Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Kari Wohlschlegel</td>
<td>Kingwood HS, Kingwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Danny Carissimi</td>
<td>Carroll HS, Southlake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Nicole Kreisberg</td>
<td>Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Eddie Gamboa</td>
<td>Bel Air HS, El Paso</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Mario Nguyen</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Morgan Booksh</td>
<td>McNeil HS, Round Rock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Matthew King</td>
<td>Creekview HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Michael McBride</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Paige LaNasa</td>
<td>Centennial HS, Frisco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Billy Tate</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Sana Moti</td>
<td>Grapevine HS, Grapevine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Nikki Dargahi</td>
<td>L.C. Anderson HS, Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Usmaan Hasan</td>
<td>Plano West Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Ariana Uriah Okhuozagbon</td>
<td>J. Frank Dobie HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Christy Caudle</td>
<td>Lake Travis HS, Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Saskia Reford</td>
<td>St. Mary’s Hall, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Duet Acting**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>Ponce &amp; Lopez</td>
<td>Jefferson HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>Dee Dee Green &amp; Kyndal May</td>
<td>Newman Smith HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>Traci Milburn &amp; Tiffany Tweldle</td>
<td>Newman Smith HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>Daniel Escobar &amp; John Matthews</td>
<td>McAllen HS, McAllen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>John Smith &amp; Ivette Solar</td>
<td>Robert E. Lee HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>Troy Wharton &amp; Desi Doyen</td>
<td>Newman Smith HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>Jernard Burks &amp; Billy Griffin</td>
<td>Eisenhower HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>Curtis Bay &amp; Laurie Norton</td>
<td>Klein HS, Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>Wayne Rowe &amp; Erin Ryan</td>
<td>Clear Lake HS, Clear Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Kent Kubena &amp; Marshall York</td>
<td>Clear Lake HS, Clear Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>Jennifer Kinard &amp; Kent Kubena</td>
<td>Clear Lake HS, Clear Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>Gret McFadden &amp; Michelle Courtney</td>
<td>Klein HS, Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Brad Miles &amp; Haley Akridge</td>
<td>Dumas HS, Dumas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Phillip Gonzales &amp; Adam McCormick</td>
<td>Klein HS, Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>David Hornsby &amp; Brooke Herzstein</td>
<td>The Kinkaid School, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Shauna Kinney &amp; Hillary Smith</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Jared Coseglia &amp; Adron Ming</td>
<td>Newman Smith HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Andrew Fenack &amp; Chris Cardenas</td>
<td>Madison HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Hunter Wood &amp; Eric Steele</td>
<td>Highland Park HS, Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Hunter Wood &amp; Eric Steele</td>
<td>Highland Park HS, Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Steven Leyva &amp; William Cunningham</td>
<td>Booker T. Washington HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Chris Grant &amp; Amy Windle</td>
<td>Denton Senior HS, Denton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Colt Scharrigunghausen &amp; Jennifer Lovell</td>
<td>Creekview HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Maggie Hope &amp; Dylan Vandam</td>
<td>Westlake HS, Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Patrick Morgan &amp; Mike Pivowar</td>
<td>Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Bridget Skaggs &amp; Andrew Ryder</td>
<td>Carroll HS, Southlake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Cortney Macneil &amp; Natalie Baker</td>
<td>Harlingen South HS, Harlingen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Lindsley Howard &amp; Darry Hearon</td>
<td>Elkins HS, Missouri City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Sarah Lavere &amp; Forrest Weber</td>
<td>Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Andrew Asper &amp; Jennifer Tate</td>
<td>Ronald Reagan HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2010  Nico Bonacci & Paige Kerr  St. Mary’s Hall, San Antonio
2011  Lauren Rutherford & Travis Tope  Plano Senior HS, Plano
2012  Deshawn Weston & Anissa Torres  Grand Prairie HS, Grand Prairie
2013  Dakota Ratliff & Cody Vann  Ryan HS, Denton
2014  Andrew Hansen & Drake Lawson  Guyer HS, Denton
2015  Schwope & McDonald  Robert E. Lee HS, San Antonio
2016  Brian Kyle Frizzell & Hannah McIver  Central HS, San Angelo
2017  Sara Heaslip & Nick Martinez  Lake Travis HS, Austin
2018  Grace Widner & Reed Sizdek  James Bowie HS, Austin
2019  Maddie Campion & Matthew Gutowski  Grapevine HS, Grapevine

**Duo Interpretation**

2007  Jonathan Foster & Kelsi Tyler  Klein HS, Klein
2008  Sarah Lavere & Forrest Weber  Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
2009  Patrick McDonald & Kathrine Daugherty  Mansfield HS, Mansfield
2010  Sarah Baston & Landry Ayres  Grapevine HS, Grapevine
2011  Julian Flores & Juan Martinez  Grand Prairie HS, Grand Prairie
2012  Devin Hunt & Beaven Waller  Mansfield HS, Mansfield
2013  Chris Jefferson & Keith Machekanyanga  South Grand Prairie HS, Grand Prairie
2014  Seis Steves & Emma Barnett  St. Mary’s Hall, San Antonio
2015  Velazquez & Ocampo  South Grand Prairie HS, Grand Prairie
2016  Angel Ramirez & Carlos Diaz  Spring Woods HS, Spring
2017  Christina Gayton & Juan Nunez  Ronald Reagan HS, San Antonio
2018  Nathaniel Cullors & Joshua Mayo  Judson HS, Converse

**Impromptu**

1990  Rajan Shah  Plano Senior HS, Plano
1991  Michael Day  Lamar HS, Arlington
1992  Michael Day  Lamar HS, Arlington
1993  Justin Deabler  Kingwood HS, Kingwood
1994  Katie Perkins  Cooper HS, Abilene
1995  Tejal Shah  Grapevine HS, Grapevine
1996  Hana Sharif  Cypress Creek HS, Houston
1997  Vairavan Subramanian  Alief Elsik HS, Alief
1998  Steve Peterson  Kingwood HS, Kingwood
1999  Keith Leventhall  Robert E. Lee HS, Midland
2000  Megan Hall  Harlingen South HS, Harlingen
2001  Ryan Lovell  Tascosa HS, Amarillo
2002  Katie Whidden  Abilene HS, Abilene
2003  Zach Summers  Monsignor Kelly HS, Beaumont
2004  Drew Pfanmiller  Frenship HS, Frenship
2005  Ben Briscoe  Pampa HS, Pampa
2006  Marin Mueller  Plano West Senior HS, Plano
2007  Nicholas Brown  Hightower HS, Missouri City
2008  Ryan Aguirre  Gregory-Portland HS, Portland
2009  Sachin Shah  Plano Senior HS, Plano
2010  Avis Rodriguez     Cypress Woods HS, Houston
2011  Nick Brown      Bishop HS, Bishop
2012  Alex Pustelnyk  Lake Travis HS, Austin
2013  John William VanDerSchans  Centennial HS, Frisco
2014  Sagar Segal     Summit International Prep, Arlington
2015  Gage Krause     Lake Travis HS, Austin
2017  Gabriella Ghandour   Lubbock HS, Lubbock
2018  Ashwin Desai     Plano West Senior HS, Plano
2019  Matthew Boddy    Jack C. Hays HS, Buda

Prose/Poetry

1990  Joe Walters (Prose)    Gregory-Portland HS, Portland
1991  Sophie Snodgres (Prose)  R.L. Turner HS, Carrollton
1992  Stacie Slaughter (Prose)  Amarillo HS, Amarillo
1993  Erin Greene (Poetry)    Sanford-Fitch HS
1994  Robin Benson (Prose)    Duncanville HS, Duncanville
1995  Coung Nguyen (Poetry)   Central HS, San Angelo
1996  Kala Sanchez (Prose)    McNeil HS, Round Rock
1997  Kristin Kerbow (Poetry) Central HS, San Angelo
1998  Andrea Gatelum (Prose)  Westlake HS, Austin
1999  Jennifer Frank (Poetry) Gregory-Portland HS, Portland
2000  Trent Meyer (Prose)    Carroll HS, Southlake
2001  Katy Johnson (Poetry)   Harlingen South HS, Harlingen
2002  Wayetu Moore (Prose)    Spring HS, Spring
2003  Nathan Barrientes (Prose)  Bishop HS, Bishop
2004  Nathan Barrientes (Prose)  Bishop HS, Bishop
2005  Alex Bergfors (Prose)    Gregory-Portland HS, Portland
2006  Grant Neal (Prose)      Bay City HS, Bay City
2007  Caitlin Taylor (Poetry)  Colleyville Heritage HS, Colleyville
2008  Sarah Mullinix (Prose)  Westlake HS, Austin
2009  Doha Mullinix (Poetry)  Hanks HS, El Paso
2010  Trettia Hobbs (Prose)    Hightower HS, Missouri City
2011  Casey Powers (Poetry)   Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
2012  Deborah Coppening (Poetry)  St. Michael’s Academy, Austin
2012  Katherine McDoniel (Prose)  Westlake HS, Austin
2013  Matthew Stone (Poetry)   Royse City HS, Royse City
2013  Cody Eilrich (Prose)    North Lamar HS, Paris
2014  Mahalia Tutuwaa Agyepong (Poetry) Hightower HS, Missouri City
2014  Carly Suhr (Prose)      Centennial HS, Frisco
2015  Alonna Ray (Poetry)    Centennial HS, Frisco
2015  Amani Abderahman (Prose)  Garland HS, Garland
2016  Matthew Almaguer (Prose)  Harlingen South HS, Harlingen
2016  Julie Juekeng (Poetry)  Cypress Lakes HS, Houston
2017  Sarah Skees (Prose)     John Paul II HS, Plano
2017  Shade Smith (Poetry)    Judson HS, Converse
2018  Marisela Saenz (Prose)  Tuloso-Midway HS, Corpus Christi
2018  Dereunsa Green (Prose)  J. Frank Dobie HS, Houston
2019  Catherine Porfirio (Prose)  Jack C. Hays HS, Buda
Faith George Ann Zepeda (Poetry) Harlingen HS, Harlingen

Public Forum Debate

2009    Balhara & Chandrasekar Clements HS, Sugarland
2010    Garg & Narayanan (co-champ) Plano Senior HS, Plano
        Gulati & Ahmed (co-champ)
2011    Patrick Beckwith & Shyam Prasad Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
2012    Eric Diep & Brandon Daniels Kempner HS, Sugarland
        Cyrus Ghaznavi & Sahil Vanjani Parish Episcopal School, Addison
2014    Gregory Ross & David Ratnoff Lamar HS, Houston
2015    Joshua Yang & Austin Tang Clements HS, Sugarland
2016    Franz Brotzen-Smith & John Vick Lamar HS, Houston
        Miranda Nutt & Marina Leventis Colleyville Heritage HS, Colleyville
2017    Seung Joh Cho & Zachary Turner L.C. Anderson HS, Austin
2018    Miles Dintzner & Ali Slimi Westlake HS, Austin

Lincoln-Douglas Debate

1981    Todd Adkins Plano Senior HS, Plano
1982    David Black Deer Park HS, Deer Park
1983    Kelly Franklin Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
1984    
1985    
1986    Steve Hill Shepton HS, Plano
1987    Robyn Cohen Plano Senior HS, Plano
1988    Steve Hill Plano Senior HS, Plano
1989    Steve Gray Plano East Senior HS, Plano
1990    John Shannon Greenhill School, Addison
1991    Seth Perisho Newman Smith HS, Carrollton
1992    Jason Ciarochi Duncanville HS, Duncanville
1993    Jenny Rudenick Garland HS, Garland
1994    Aaron Cassidy Garland HS, Garland
1995    Jay Conklin Trinity HS, Euless
1996    Jim Hawkins Dulles HS, Dulles
1997    Eric Melin Grapevine HS, Grapevine
1998    Marc Wallenstein Greenhill School, Addison
1999    Lindsey Jandal Grapevine HS, Grapevine
2000    James Scott Katy HS, Katy
2001    Stephen Babb Texas Military Institute, San Antonio
2002    Sarah Smith (co-champ) Georgetown HS, Georgetown
        Kristen Ray (co-champ)
2003    Bryce Adams R.L. Turner HS, Carrollton
2004    Paul Schiano Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
2005    David Wolfish Greenhill School, Addison
2006    Andy Werner Strake Jesuit College Prep, Houston
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Winner</th>
<th>School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>David McGough</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>David Donatti</td>
<td>Strake Jesuit College Prep, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Devin Race</td>
<td>Westlake HS, Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Claire Daviss</td>
<td>Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Josh Roberts</td>
<td>Northland Christian, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Amyn Kassam</td>
<td>Dulles HS, Dulles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Rebecca Kwang</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Bennett Eckert</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Bennett Eckert (co-champ)</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Bennett Eckert (co-champ) Varad Agarwala</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Saavan Nanavati</td>
<td>Westwood HS, Round Rock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Chakra Jonnalagadda</td>
<td>Cypress Woods HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Anna Myers</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Policy Debate**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Winners</th>
<th>School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>Harold Dubinski &amp; Kenneth Marks</td>
<td>Bellaire HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>Brian Becker &amp; Chris Hearn</td>
<td>Bellaire HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>Tom Nichols &amp; Charles Pyke</td>
<td>R.L. Turner HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>Kathy Armstrong &amp; Mark Moran</td>
<td>Notre Dame HS, Wichita Falls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>Chris Fairman &amp; James Starr</td>
<td>Lanier HS, Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>Greg Ackels &amp; Jeff Tillotson</td>
<td>Jesuit Preparatory School, Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>Brian Pennington &amp; Craig Couch</td>
<td>Burleson HS, Burleson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>Cliff Cary &amp; Scott Edwards</td>
<td>Richfield HS, Waco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>Sam Paulos &amp; Jeremy Ofseyer</td>
<td>St. Mark’s School of Texas, Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>David Oelman &amp; Phillip Stevenson</td>
<td>The Kinkaid School, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>Rui de Figueiredo &amp; Mike Weiss</td>
<td>Bellaire HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>Diane Martin &amp; Melissa Miller</td>
<td>Coronado HS, Lubbock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>David Coale &amp; Troy Ficklin</td>
<td>Allen HS, Allen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>Jay Hudkins &amp; Mike Tomz</td>
<td>Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>Jeff Blum &amp; Matt Drake</td>
<td>Robert E. Lee HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Jon Brody &amp; Justin Shrader</td>
<td>The Kinkaid School, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>Craig Ackerman &amp; Drew Ungerman</td>
<td>St. Mark’s School of Texas, Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>Beeton &amp; Larson-Hendricks</td>
<td>The Kinkaid School, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Brandon Fletcher &amp; Dan Kern</td>
<td>Newman Smith HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Brandon Fletcher &amp; Emily Paramore</td>
<td>Newman Smith HS, Carrollton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Moore &amp; Szygenda (co-champ)</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Goldberg &amp; Norton (co-champ)</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Jeremy Goldberg &amp; Walter Luh</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Harrison &amp; Marshall (co-champ)</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Arif Mawji &amp; Orin Hoffman</td>
<td>The Kinkaid School, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Caitlin Talmadge &amp; Andrew Bradt</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Eickmeyer &amp; Talmadge (co-champ)</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Talluri &amp; Linford (co-champ)</td>
<td>Colleyville Heritage HS, Colleyville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Holmes &amp; Keane (co-champ)</td>
<td>Jesuit Preparatory School, Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Racquel Bracken &amp; Jordan Pietzsch</td>
<td>Greenhill School, Addison</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2002  Sam Ackels & Anthony Jardina  Jesuit Preparatory School, Dallas
2003  Hussain & Ahn (co-champ)  Greenhill School, Addison
        Hamraie & Murray (co-champ)  Colleyville Heritage HS, Colleyville
2004  Brandi Villarreal & Sam Iola  Highland Park HS, Dallas
2005  Nick Miller & David Roosth  The Kinkaid School, Houston
2006  Stephen Polley & Mat Andrews  Greenhill School, Addison
2007  Bryant Huang & Matthew Andrews  Greenhill School, Addison
2008  Daniel Sharp & Ryan Beiermeister  The Kinkaid School, Houston
2009  David Mullins & John Baker  Westlake HS, Austin
2010  Young & McCormick (co-champ)  Jesuit Preparatory School, Dallas
        Diamond & Gorman (co-champ)
2011  Ryan Gorman & Sullivan McCormick  Jesuit Preparatory School, Dallas
2012  Zach Rosenthal & Ben Mitchell  The Kinkaid School, Houston
2013  Holmes Hampton & Tyler Shearer  Highland Park HS, Dallas
2014  Emma Pabst & Quaram Robinson  Cedar Ridge HS, Round Rock
2015  Alex Estrada & Joseph Estrada  Stephen F. Austin HS, Austin
2016  Crayton Gerst & Vernon Johnson  Law Magnet, Dallas
2017  Mason Marriott-Voss & Ezra Serrins  Liberal Arts and Science Academy, Austin
2018  Ronak Desai & Anthony Wyatt  Lindale HS, Lindale
2019  Samar Ahmad & Christopher Eckert (co-champ)  Greenhill School, Addison
        Shreyas Rajagopal & Het Desai (co-champ)  Coppell HS, Coppell

Debate Speaker Awards

1989  Morton Barnett (CX)  St. Mark’s School of Texas, Dallas
        Craig Fisher (LD)  Plano Senior HS, Plano
1990  Steven Sklaver (CX)  St. Mark’s School of Texas, Dallas
        Tim Martin (LD)  Plano Senior HS, Plano
1991  John Paul Lunn (CX)  Memorial HS, Houston
        John Stearns (LD)  Miller HS, Corpus Christi
1992  Brandon Fletcher (CX)  Newman Smith HS, Carrollton
        Cody Morrow (LD)  Bridgeport HS, Bridgeport
1993  Collin Cox (CX)  Midway HS, Waco
        Meredith Armstrong (LD)  The Hockaday School, Dallas
1994  Miguel Duran (CX)  Carroll HS, Corpus Christi
        Denis Killian (LD)  Lewisville HS, Lewisville
1995  Julio Gonzales (CX)  Nikke Rowe HS, McAllen
        Autumn Smith (LD)  Midway HS, Waco
1996  Joel DeLaGarza (CX)  Nikke Rowe HS, McAllen
        Ryan Cunningham (LD)  Robert E. Lee HS, San Antonio
1997  Rashad Hussein (CX)  Greenhill School, Addison
        Liz Durham (LD)  Klein Oak HS, Klein
1998  Caitlin Talmadge (CX)  Greenhill School, Addison
        Steven Wilbur (LD)  Jack C. Hays HS, Buda
1999  Jessie Stuckey (CX)  Sugarland HS, Sugarland
        Niraj Mehdiratta (LD)  Dulles HS, Dulles
2000  Asher Haig (CX)  Greenhill School, Addison
        Amy Moffett (LD)  The Colony HS, The Colony
2001  Eddie Ahn (CX)  Greenhill School, Addison
        Eric Wolfish (LD)  Greenhill School, Addison
2002
Laura Leigh-Nix (CX)   Crosby HS, Crosby
Frances Schendle (LD)   The Hockaday School, Dallas
2003
Adam Wright (CX)   McNeil HS, Round Rock
Tyler Bexley (LD)   Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio
2004
Josh Lively (CX)   McCollough HS, The Woodlands
Neil Conrad (LD)   Texas Military Institute, San Antonio
2005
Sam Iola (CX)   Highland Park HS, Dallas
David Wolfish (LD)   Greenhill Schoo, Addison
2006
Sydney Vanberg (CX)   Mercedes HS, Mercedes
Jeremy Dudley (LD)   Mayde Creek HS, Houston
2007
Daniel Jung (CX)   Memorial HS, Houston
2008
Daniel Jung (CX)   Memorial HS, Houston
Andrew Badakhhae (LD)   Clements HS, Sugarland
2009
Steven Murray (CX)   Westwood HS, Round Rock
Devin Race (LD)   Westlake HS, Austin
Cody Orr/Raven McGuane (PF)   Lamar Consolidated, Rosenberg
2010
Layne Kirshon (CX)   The Kinkaid School, Houston
Megha Motgi (LD)   The Hockaday School, Dallas
Kevin King/Alejandro Martinez (PF)   Creekview HS, Carrollton
2011
Emily Wang (CX)   Liberal Arts and Science Academy, Austin
Josh Roberts (LD)   Northland Christian, Houston
Shikha Garg (PF)   Plano Senior HS, Plano
2012
Zach Rosenthal (CX)   The Kinkaid School, Houston
Andrew McCormick (LD)   Strake Jesuit College Prep, Houston
Priyanka Rao (PF)   Plano West Senior HS, Plano
2013
Zach Rosenthal (CX)   The Kinkaid School, Houston
Jeremy Dang (LD)   Strake Jesuit College Prep, Houston
Kartik Sridhar (PF)   Plano Senior HS, Plano
2014
Quaram Robinson (CX)   Cedar Ridge HS, Round Rock
Shania Hunt (LD)   Northland Christian, Houston
Nazifa Mim (PF)   Cypress Falls, Cypress
Sana Moti (IE)   Grapevine HS, Grapevine
2015
Alex Estrada (CX)   Stephen F. Austin HS, Austin
Nolan Burdett (LD)   Dulles HS, Sugarland
Samuel Tekie (PF)   Lamar HS, Houston
2016
Andrew Xiang (CX)   Ronald Reagan HS, San Antonio
Felix Tan (LD)   Clements HS, Sugarland
Suneal Vemuri (PF)   Bellaire HS, Houston
2017
Elan Wilson (CX)   Hendrickson HS, Pflugerville
Lucas Clarke (LD)   Cypress Woods HS, Cypress
Jacob Tate (PF)   Bellaire HS, Houston
2018
Amber Wang (LD)   Katy Taylor HS, Katy
Daniel Tan (PF)   Austin HS, Houston
2019
Sena Kucukkarca (CX)   Lampasas HS, Lampasas
Anna Myers (LD)   Greenhill School, Addison
Justin Hoang (PF)   Strake Jesuit College Prep, Houston
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>School/Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>Louis Bonham</td>
<td>Lamar Consolidated HS, Rosenberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>Kerry Mooney</td>
<td>Coronado HS, Lubbock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>Steve Crawford</td>
<td>Westchester HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984-88</td>
<td>No Information Available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Thomas Colyandro</td>
<td>Westfield HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>Wendy Saltzman</td>
<td>Robert E. Lee HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>Michael Lu</td>
<td>Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Aaron Day</td>
<td>James Martin HS, Arlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Ashley Damron</td>
<td>Westlake HS, Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Gene Bixler</td>
<td>Lewisville HS, Lewisville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Chad Dunn</td>
<td>Klein Oak HS, Klein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Tan-May Desai</td>
<td>Vines HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Liz Hong</td>
<td>James Martin HS, Arlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Jason South</td>
<td>Trinity HS, Euless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Dan Forbes</td>
<td>Connally HS, Pflugerville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Jared Tamez</td>
<td>Harlingen South HS, Harlingen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Shahzeb Gaziani</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>David Wechsler</td>
<td>Langham Creek HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Isaiah Broussard</td>
<td>Cypress Falls HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Amber Ahmed (House)</td>
<td>Kingwood HS, Kingwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Priya Gupta (Senate)</td>
<td>Shepton HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Jessica Ferguson (House)</td>
<td>Sandra Day O’Connor HS, Helotes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Will Chambers (Senate)</td>
<td>Bellaire HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Jessica Ferguson (House)</td>
<td>Sandra Day O’Connor HS, Helotes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Holly Moore (Senate)</td>
<td>Carroll Senior HS, Southlake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Samantha Shaw (House)</td>
<td>Carroll Senior HS, Southlake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ian Jacoby (Senate)</td>
<td>Deer Park HS, Deer Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Kevin Eaton (House)</td>
<td>Duncanville HS, Duncanville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mary Claire Parker (Senate)</td>
<td>Winston Churchill HS, San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Louise Lu (House)</td>
<td>Bellaire HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Janus Pan (Senate)</td>
<td>Johnson HS, Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Sam Scott (House)</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rebecca Kuang (Senate)</td>
<td>Flower Mound HS, Flower Mound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>David Engleman (House)</td>
<td>L.C. Anderson HS, Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Forrest Hebron (Senate)</td>
<td>Van HS, Van</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Esteban Rodriguez-Vazquez (House)</td>
<td>La Vernia HS, La Vernia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Azhar Hussain (Senate)</td>
<td>Carroll Senior HS, Southlake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Diane Sun (House)</td>
<td>Clements HS, Sugarland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blake Seaman (Senate)</td>
<td>Allen HS, Allen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Daniella Cohen (House)</td>
<td>Carroll Senior HS, Southlake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sankulp Singh (Senate)</td>
<td>Plano Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Eric Bailey (House)</td>
<td>Bellaire HS, Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preston Nieves (Senate)</td>
<td>Pflugerville HS, Pflugerville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Usmaan Hasan (House)</td>
<td>Plano West Senior HS, Plano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Angela Lytle (Senate)</td>
<td>Cinco Ranch HS, Katy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2017  
Spencer Buckner (House)  
John Osho (Senate)  
Lake Travis HS, Austin  
George Ranch HS, Houston

2018  
Sahaj Singh (House)  
Aaron Dutka (Senate)  
Carroll Senior HS, Southlake  
Coram Deo Academy, Plano

2019  
Siddhant Puranik (House)  
Ayo Adereti (Senate)  
Tompkins HS, Katy  
Klein Oak HS, Spring

World Schools Debate

2019  
Emily Grantham, Leila Saklou, Darian McCray  
Kingwood HS

Top Speaker: Pippa Powers  
Kingwood HS

Program Oral Interp

2017  
Alfredo Antu  
Central HS, San Angelo

2018  
Nicholas Martinez  
Lake Travis HS, Austin

2019  
Elizabeth Lopez-Aguilar  
Alief Early College HS, Houston

Informative

2017  
Anna Olivia Speed  
W. B. Ray HS, Corpus Christi

2018  
Pablo Labiaga  
Ronald Reagan HS, San Antonio

2019  
Ashlyn Ellgass  
Lindale HS, Lindale

Standing Rules: Competition Events Guide

*Rules or Regulations in the following sections may be amended by the majority vote of the members present and voting at any annual meeting, special meeting, or mail ballot provided that copies of the Proposed amendment have been mailed to all members or placed on the official TFA website at least fourteen (14) days prior to the vote, or without prior notice by a three-fourths majority of those present and voting.
The Texas Forensic Association offers a number of speaking, literary interpretation, and debate events at Invitational Qualifying Tournaments that determine qualification to the State Tournament.

NOTE: Please consult the Invitational Qualifying Tournament Operations Manual and the State Tournament Operations Manual for tournament procedures. This document is strictly a guide to event rules and standards. The TFA Executive Council has established these rules, and often works with advisory committees on a periodic basis to review their effectiveness and educational value.

Debate Overview

Through this contest the student gains skills of analysis, perfects principles of argumentation, and learns effective research techniques in order to present the most effective case for or against a given proposition on a question of national or international implication. Because it is the belief of the Texas Forensic Association that the cross-examination format more realistically advances important debate and communication skills, all TFA team debate divisions shall follow a cross-examination format in order to provide the opportunity for Texas high school debaters to successfully perfect those skills necessary to compete nationally.

Debate, by its very nature, is public. Therefore all debates at TFA-sanctioned tournaments shall be open to the public. The right to privacy of person and the taking of personal notes for memory aid or otherwise shall not be abridged. Audience members may be excluded for disorderly conduct that distracts contestants, lack of seating, or violations of TFA rules, as pursuant to local school policy.

Evidence Rules
Scope of Acceptable Material. Admissible evidence includes only material which has been published in written form. Information in formats which do not satisfy this criterion, such as personal correspondence or oral interviews, shall not be admissible as evidence.

Required Documentation

1. Oral Requirements: Where a quotation is ascribed to a particular individual(s), the name of the author(s), a reference to the qualifications of the author(s) (e.g., professional title or level or expertise in the subject area), and the date of the publication are required. Where the quotation is ascribed to an institutional source, (e.g., studies by research organizations, reference books, journalistic sources) the name of publication and date are adequate. Should the same source of material be quoted more than once in a round of competition, subsequent uses may be presented with abbreviated citation.

2. Written Requirements
   a. Bibliographic Documentation: All participants submitting evidence in competition shall possess and present upon demand such evidence in written form. This written form must display full bibliographic source citation, even if the full citation is not orally delivered. “Full citation” includes the following elements: Author’s name, author’s qualifications, complete source information, complete date, and page number. Evidence submitted from an internet source should include the URL site.

   b. Internal Ellipses: Either no internal ellipses may be used in the written evidence form, or ellipses may be used in the written evidence form only if the original source or a copy of the original is present. The evidence may be read in the ellipsed form, but the entirety of the evidence must be available in one of the two ways cited. (Note: Ellipses are deletions after the first word of the quotation and before the final word.)

3. Abrogation of Documentation Requirements: Judges should be instructed that any evidence falling short of meeting these requirements should be afforded no legitimacy in supporting arguments.

4. Reading of Evidence by the Judge: Judges shall be strongly discouraged from examining evidence after round in the interest of expediting tournament operations and in the interest of maintaining the status of debate as an oral activity. Judges shall be instructed that evidence should not be reviewed if deficiency in the participants’ delivery (e.g., excessive speed or unclear enunciation) caused the lack of comprehension involved. Examples of proper instances of post-competition review of evidence include question of legitimacy or authenticity of the evidence and instances in which lack of comprehension lies beyond the fault of the student (e.g., external noises or mental lapses on the fault of the judge). Judges shall be instructed that should they decide that a given situation justifies overcoming the strong presumption against reviewing evidence they should be certain both that only evidence actually read in the round is reviewed and that additional elements of the sources reviewed that were no orally presented (e.g., qualifications not delivered in the speech) should be disregarded.
5. **Required Documentation:** If paraphrasing is used in a public forum debate, the debater will be held to the same standard of citation and accuracy as if the entire text of the evidence were read. Paraphrasing may be used to shorten or clarify one specific portion of an original source. It should not be confused with general summary of an entire book, chapter, study, etc., which may only be used for information that is widely considered to be common knowledge. Paraphrasing focuses on a single idea, while summary focuses on a general concept. For example, if a public forum debater references a specific theory by a specific author, the debater must also be able to provide an original source as well as the specific text from the original source which is being paraphrased. If a debater were to reference social contract theory in general, that would not be an authoritative source that would require citation. However, if the debater references ‘John Locke’s Social Contract,’ evidence would need to be available.

**Evidence Misrepresentation**

1. **Availability of Evidence:** In all debate rounds, if a team or individual debater asks to view evidence read by an opponent, the opposing team or individual is required to comply with that request at that time.

2. **Responsibility for Validity:** Each participant is responsible for the validity of all evidence s/he presents in rounds of competition.

3. **Reasonability of Debaters Challenging Evidence Validity:** Indictments or protests against the validity of evidence must be made only on substantive grounds.
   a. A challenger must have either the original source or a copy of the source cited, or
   b. A challenger must demonstrate that reasonable search has not been able to locate the source—such as copies of relevant pages of Books in Print, Readers’ Guide to Periodical Literature, etc.

4. **Definition of Misrepresentation:** Evidence is misrepresented if its origin is falsely portrayed or if it is altered so that the original intention of the author toward the issue in question is changed.

5. **Penalties for Misrepresentation:** Judges should be instructed that teams violating these standards shall automatically forfeit the round of competition in which the violation occurs.

**Use of Computers During a Round**

The Use of laptop or portable computers by the competitors in debate rounds shall be allowed with the following provisions:

1. Electronic evidence must be as available to the opposition team/judge as paper evidence would have been. Teams will adapt to this new trend in a variety of ways but must keep in mind that the choice to read off of a computer cannot be an excuse to withhold evidence. If a team refuses to show evidence to an opposing team/judge in a timely manner (as determined by the judge), that evidence should not be considered in the judge’s decision.
2. The use of wireless internet is permitted in Policy, Lincoln-Douglas, Congress, and Public Forum debate with the following conditions.
   a. Competitors should not communicate with individuals not participating in the debate round through wifi or other means.
   b. Coaches and staff should not assist a debater or team during a round through the internet.
   c. Host schools are not required to support the use of the internet through the host school’s network.
   d. Debaters, coaches, and staff found in violation of these conditions will forfeit the round where the violation occurred.
   e. By choosing to use electronic devices in the round, debaters and other relevant parties are consenting to give tournament officials the right to search their devices in the event of a protest. The device may only be searched by tournament officials and must be restricted to files and/or electronic exchanges relevant to the protest. Failure to comply would result in the upholding of the protest. Debaters and coaches should be present as their device is searched. Debaters who do not wish to consent should not use electronic devices in the round.
Policy Debate

Policy Debate is a team event that focuses on a proposition of policy, where students use research to either affirm or negate the topic. Through this contest, students are encouraged to learn the following skills: research, policy analysis, case building, refutation, questioning, organization, team building, and communication.

1. Resolution: The official national high school Policy Debate topic shall be used.

2. Order of Speeches: Each debater must give one and only one constructive speech, one period of questioning, one period of answering, and one rebuttal speech, in the following order:

   - Affirmative Constructive Speech 8 minutes
   - Negative Cross Examines Affirmative 3 minutes
   - Negative Constructive Speech 8 minutes
   - Affirmative Cross Examines Negative 3 minutes
   - Affirmative Constructive Speech 8 minutes
   - Negative Cross Examines Affirmative 3 minutes
   - Negative Constructive Speech 8 minutes
   - Affirmative Cross Examines Negative 3 minutes
   - Negative Rebuttal 5 minutes
   - Affirmative Rebuttal 5 minutes
   - Negative Rebuttal 5 minutes
   - Affirmative Rebuttal 5 minutes

3. Time: No grace time is allowed beyond completion of a sentence. The judge may penalize for overtime through reduction in speaker points. Each team shall be allowed a total of eight minutes of preparation time per round.

4. Prompting Philosophy: Only the specific speaker, witness or questioner should audibly participate in the cross-examination, constructive, or rebuttal phase of the round. Assistance in the form of oral comments from a partner or team member during a speech (other than time signals in numbers) or written comments other than pre-prepared material (i.e., evidence or briefs) shall be discouraged. Judges shall be instructed to respond with a remedy which is appropriate according to their own standards.
5. A team shall consist of two competitors from the same school.

Lincoln-Douglas Debate

Lincoln-Douglas Debate centers on a proposition of value, which concerns itself with what ought to be instead of what is. A value is an ideal held by individuals, societies, governments, etc. One debater upholds each side of the resolution from a value perspective. Through this contest, students are encouraged to develop a direct and communicative delivery and emphasize logic, theory, and philosophy, in the development of argumentation.

1. **Resolution:** Directors of IQTs must choose either the current NFL or UIL Lincoln-Douglas topic.

2. **Order of Speeches:**

   - Affirmative Constructive Speech 6 minutes
   - Negative Cross Examination 3 minutes
   - Negative Constructive Speech 7 minutes
   - Affirmative Cross Examination 3 minutes
   - Affirmative Rebuttal 4 minutes
   - Negative Rebuttal 6 minutes
   - Affirmative Rebuttal 3 minutes
   - **Prep Time** 4 minutes per debater

3. **Time:** No grace time is allowed beyond completion of a sentence. The judge may penalize for overtime through reduction in speaker points. Each debater shall be allowed a total of four minutes of preparation time per round.

4. **Conduct During the Round:** Only the specific speaker, witness or questioner should audibly participate in the cross-examination, constructive, or rebuttal phase of the round.

5. An entry consists of a single participant.
Public Forum Debate

Public Forum Debate is a team event that advocates or rejects a position. The clash of ideas should be communicated in a manner persuasive to the non-specialist or citizen judge. Through this contest, students are encouraged to communicate ideas with clarity, organization and eloquence and display solid logic, lucid reasoning, and depth of analysis, in the development of argumentation.

1. Resolution: The official national high school Public Forum Debate topic shall be used.

2. Procedure and Order of Speeches: Prior to EVERY round and in the presence of the judge(s), a coin is tossed by one team and called by the other team. The team that wins the flip may choose one of two options: EITHER the SIDE of the topic they wish to defend (pro or con) OR the SPEAKING POSITION they wish to have (begin the debate or end the debate). The remaining option (SIDE or SPEAKING POSITION) is the choice of the team that loses the flip. Each debater must give one and only one 4 minute speech, one individual crossfire, and one 2 minute speech and Grand crossfire in the following order:

- First Speaker – Team A: 4 minutes
- First Speaker – Team B: 4 minutes
- Crossfire (first question by speaker A1 to B1): 3 minutes
- Second Speaker – Team A: 4 minutes
- Second Speaker – Team B: 4 minutes
- Crossfire (first question by speaker A2 to B2): 3 minutes
- Summary – First Speaker – Team A: 3 minutes
- Summary – First Speaker – Team B: 3 minutes
- Grand Crossfire: 3 minutes
- Final Focus – Second Speaker – Team A: 2 minutes
- Final Focus – Second Speaker – Team B: 2 minutes
- Prep Time: 3 minutes per team

At the conclusion of the summary speeches, all four debaters will remain seated and participate in a three-minute ‘Grand Crossfire’ in which all four debaters are allowed to cross-examine one another. The speaker who gave the first summary speech must ask the first question. The speakers from each team will continue to ask and answer questions. Teams should alternate asking and answering questions rather than allowing one team to dominate so that a balance
between teams is achieved. All speakers are encouraged to participate in the Grand Crossfire. Speakers should listen respectfully to opponents’ questions and answers.

3. **Time:** No grace time is allowed beyond completion of a sentence. The judge may penalize for overtime through reduction in speaker points.

4. **Prompting Philosophy:** Only the specific speaker, witness or questioner should audibly participate in the cross-examination, constructive, or rebuttal phase of the round. Assistance in the form of oral comments from a partner or team member during a speech (other than time signals in numbers) or written comments other than pre-prepared material (i.e., evidence or briefs) shall be discouraged except during the Grand Crossfire. Judges shall be instructed to respond with a remedy which is appropriate according to their own standards.

5. A team shall consist of two competitors from the same school.

---

**World Schools Debate**

World Schools Debate features a dynamic form of debate combining the concepts of “prepared” topics with “impromptu” topics, encouraging debaters to focus on specified issues rather than debate theory or procedural arguments. This highly interactive style of debate allows debaters to engage each other, even during speeches. This challenging format requires good teamwork and in-depth, quality argumentation.

1. **Description of a team:** A team shall have at least three (3) and no more than five (5) members, all of which must be from the same school. Only three students may speak in any given debate. Each speaker gives only one 8-minute constructive (substantive) speech. The Proposition team (P) speaks first and the Opposition team (O) speaks second. Constructive speeches alternate as follows: P1, O1, P2, O2, P3, O3. After each speaker has spoken, the first or second speaker for each team gives a 4-minute Reply speech with the Opposition going first, and the Proposition reply concluding the debate.

2. **Motions –** Motions shall take the format befitting debate in a House of Parliament, always beginning with the phrase, ‘This House,’ which will often – but not exclusively – be followed by the verbs, “would,” “believes that,” “supports,” or “regrets.” One team proposes the motion (resolution) in each debate; the other team opposes it. Some motions will be impromptu motions and others will be prepared motions. IQTs must offer at least one impromptu motion in prelims and one impromptu motion in elimination rounds in order for the event to receive state qualification points. The TFA State Tournament will schedule impromptu motions for half of the preliminary rounds (or 1/2 minus 1 if there are an odd number) and at least 1/2 minus one in elimination rounds. For example - if the TFA State Tournament has five preliminary rounds, then at least two must be impromptu motions. If the TFA State tournament breaks to quarter-finals, at
least one of the motions must be an impromptu motion. A list of prepared motions for IQTs will be released on this schedule:

August: 1 - 20 motions for use from August-November
November: 1 - 20 motions for use from December-February
February: 1 - 6 motions for use at TFA State - one being designated for finals.

Prepared motions will be chosen by tournament hosts from these lists. Hosts may create their own impromptu motions using the prepared motion list as a guide.

The motions for debates will be written by officers of the Texas Forensic Association (or their designees) taking into consideration subject, variety, and other qualities as appropriate.

3. Format – The format of the debate shall model the following:

- Opening Government / Proposition 1 – 8 Minutes
- Opening Opposition / Opposition 1 – 8 Minutes
- Proposition 2 – 8 Minutes
- Opposition 2 – 8 Minutes
- Proposition 3 – 8 Minutes
- Opposition 3 – 8 Minutes
- Opposition Reply (Speaker 1 or 2) – 4 Minutes
- Proposition Reply (Speaker 1 or 2) – 4 Minutes

Unlike other formats, there is no preparation time during the debate.

The judge may provide time signals for each speech. Speakers may also time themselves. In addition to the time signals that may be provided by the judge(s), team members (even those not speaking in that round) may give time signals to a speaker provided that the signals are discreet and unobtrusive.

Any use of the internet or any verbal or written communication with anyone not among the three team members speaking in the round (other than time signals given by one of the other team members observing) may result in the loss of the round.

4. Materials – During the debate students are permitted to bring with them an English language dictionary, a bilingual dictionary, and a single volume encyclopedia or almanac. Other printed materials referred to during the course of prepared speeches, may also accompany a team into the room. Students may not use electronic storage or retrieval devices (except the use of mobile
phone for timing. Use of electronic devices during debates (or in preparation in the impromptu preparation period) will result in the loss of a round.

5. Preparation Time and Procedure for Impromptu Motions – For both preliminary and elimination rounds with impromptu motions, both the team supporting the motion, and the team opposing the motion shall have one hour from the time the motion is released until the beginning of the impromptu round. All members of the three to five member team – both those who will be speaking in the round and any other members who will speak in other rounds – may participate in preparation. During the one hour impromptu motion prep period, team members must prepare without consulting coaches or anyone outside their 3-5 person team. They may not access the internet or any previously-prepared materials. The only materials allowed in the impromptu prep process are a dictionary and a one-volume encyclopedia or almanac.

6. Winning a Debate – In preliminary rounds, a debate is won by the team who receives the highest team score from the judge. There are no low point wins or ties in team points. In elimination rounds or any rounds with a panel of judges, the debate is won by the team who receives a winning ballot from a majority of judges in the round. The sum of team scores determines a winning ballot.

7. Interruptions – During a substantive speech (an 8-minute speech) a competitor from the opposite team may rise to offer a Point of Information. A Point of Information may be a question or a statement. The first full minute of a substantive speech and the last full minute of a substantive speech are considered protected time. No Points of Information may be given during this time. The speaker may choose to accept a Point of Information or to reject or waive down a Point of Information. Rejection can take the form of an obvious hand gesture or a verbal comment from the speaker. POIs should not exceed 15 seconds in length. Judges should consider the strength or weaknesses of POIs and the answers given in awarding points to individual team members. Failure to ask or accept POIs should also be considered by judges in awarding individual scores.

8. Communication – During a debate, speakers may not communicate with persons not speaking in any given debate, with the exception of a non-speaking team member offering time signals.

9. Judging Criteria – The judging criteria will follow that of the standards/rules of the National Speech and Debate Association.

10. To qualify for the TFA State Tournament students must compete together as a team of a three to five-member roster at an IQT, but students will earn qualification points individually. In order to earn qualification points each member of the roster submitted on an IQT entry form must participate in at least half (or 1/2 minus 1) preliminary rounds. Coaches may use any combination of three-five students accumulating the required qualification points to enter the state tournament. World Schools Debate will be listed as a debate event for the TFA State tournament schedule.

11. Minimum IQT requirements and qualification numbers for World Schools Debate: the minimum number of WS entries for an IQT to award qualification points would be 6 teams.
IQTs must offer a minimum of 3 preliminary rounds (one being an impromptu motion) with the following required elimination rounds:

*6-9 teams - minimum break - finals
*10-15 teams - minimum break - semis
*16-50 teams - minimum break - quarters
*50 plus teams - minimum break - octos

Congressional Debate

Congressional Debate enables the student to gain a better knowledge of political science while utilizing the skills of public speaking, group discussion, debate, and parliamentary procedure. Students have the opportunity to qualify for the Texas Forensic Association State Tournament as a Representative in the United States House. Every TFA Member School shall be granted one legislator in the United States Senate.

Congressional Debate shall not be run as a Consolation Event at Invitational Qualifying Tournaments. Congressional Debate may be run in any pattern at the discretion of the tournament director, and the tournament director may limit cross-entries. A minimum number of actual time for floor debate must be met.

A preliminary session is defined as including:

1. Minimum of 3 hours
2. 18-20 students as the optimum number for three-hour session
3. Election of a presiding officer
4. New seating chart
5. Resetting of precedence/recency
6. New legislation that has not been debated in a previous session in the tournament

Floor Debate

Following the first two speeches on legislation, the presiding officer will alternately recognize affirmative and negative speakers, who will address the chamber for up to 3 minutes, followed by 1 minute of questioning by other delegates. If no one wishes to oppose the preceding speaker, the presiding officer may recognize a speaker upholding the same side. When no one seeks the floor for debate, the presiding officer may ask the chamber if they are “ready for the question” at which point, if there is no objection, voting may commence on the legislation itself. There is no “minimum cycle.” At the point at which 3 speeches are given unopposed the previous question will be immediately called.

1. In the event a student speaks on the wrong side called for by the presiding officer and the error is not caught, the speaker shall be scored and the speech shall count in precedence, but the speaker will receive no more than 3 points for not paying close attention to the flow of debate.
2. In the event a student speaks on an item of legislation not currently being debated, said speech shall count in precedence, but zero points shall be awarded.
   a. Violators who speak on the wrong side or the wrong item shall be refused further recognition for debate on that piece of legislation, and that speech will count for precedence.
b. Speeches shall last no longer than three minutes with one minute of cross-examination time with the exception of sponsorship/authorship speeches and the first negative speech, where cross-examination shall last no longer than two minutes.

c. Members shall not yield any portion of his/her time for questioning.

d. Members may ask only one question per questioning period. No prefacing or multiple part questions are allowed. Speakers may request the rephrasing/repeating of a question, at the discretion of the parliamentarian. Members may ask additional questions if no members who haven’t asked a question want to ask a question.

e. Members must speak only after being recognized by the presiding officer.

f. Members may not suspend the rules in order to change rules stipulated in the TFA Constitution.

g. Authorship shall be attributed to submitting schools. Therefore, opening speeches on a given piece of legislation will be authorship or sponsorship speeches, depending on whether the school authoring the legislation is in attendance at each tournament.

h. Two minutes of questioning shall follow the first pro and the first con speech, and all other speeches on legislation will be followed by 1 minute of questioning. At the State Tournament, the final round will include a direct questioning period, as described below. (Though not mandatory, Invitational Qualifying Tournaments are urged to use the direct questioning format in the final round.)

3. In the Final Round of Congressional debate, speeches are four minutes, with two-minute questioning of speakers introducing legislation as well as the first negative, and one minute of questioning for subsequent speakers. Each questioner has 30 seconds within the one or two minutes to engage in direct questioning with the speaker. During direct questioning, all questioning periods are broken into 30-second segments, with one questioner per segment, who may ask multiple questions of the speaker during that segment. The Presiding Officer must track and select questioners based on recency the same way speakers are recognized. Recency for speaker should be tracked independently of questioners.

4. Tournament directors must declare in their tournament invitations if direct questioning is being used.

a. Each bill or resolution shall be debated for a maximum of 45 minutes. If action has not been taken on the legislation by that time, an immediate vote shall be taken.

a. Optional rule for tournaments: Time for debate per legislation item should last no more than one-third of a session’s floor time. If action has not been taken on the legislation by that time, an immediate vote shall be taken. All TFA Tournaments including the State Meet must declare in their tournament invitations if this rule is being used.
**Legislation**

Legislation for Invitational Qualifying Tournaments in the regular season shall be available for all schools and participants on the website at www.txfa.org. An “All Call” for legislation will invite all TFA member schools to submit up to three (3) pieces of legislation for consideration two times per year: Due by May 1st for debate in the months of August-December, and due by November 1st for debate in the months of January-March (including TFA State competition). No more than 2 pieces of legislation will be placed on the docket from any one school. The Congressional Debate Committee shall establish a docket of exactly 30 pieces of legislation for each of the two halves of yearly competition and post for use by all schools equally. In the event there are fewer than 30 items submitted that meet the Committee’s standard for debate, the Committee shall complete the docket with current topical legislation from other sources than the TFA membership.

Tournament Directors shall designate in their invitation which pieces of legislation shall be used in Prelims, Semis, and Finals.

Plagiarism and “extensive paraphrasing” are not allowed. While some legislative issues continue to merit legislative debate in Congressional Debate, the creation of legislation surrounding the issue should be the original work of the authoring school. Legislation from NSDA District contests, NSDA Nationals, and previously submitted TFA Congressional Debate legislation (not the work of the submitting school) are all reviewed when a challenge to originality is made. If at any point it is discovered that a school has submitted plagiarized work for the docket, the school will have the item of legislation on the docket removed. The school will also lose authorship of any other pieces of legislation they might have on the docket. The Congressional Committee will have the ability to add a new piece of legislation to the docket either by moving up an item that missed the cut or by pulling from other sources.

**Requirements**

a. Legislation which does not conform to guidelines will be rejected from consideration for the Congressional Debate calendar.

b. Bills or resolutions are to be typed using the template provided on the TFA website. Templates are located on the TFA Congress page at www.txfa.org.

c. Legislation Deadlines
  
i. May 1 for August-December debate
  
ii. November 1 for January-March (including TFA State) debate

**Parliamentary Procedure**

It is necessary for all Congressional Debate participants to have a working knowledge of parliamentary procedure. The Parliamentarian shall be the final authority on both parliamentary and Congressional Debate rules.

**Ballots and Forms**
All Ballots and Forms for Congressional Debate will be available to all members on the TFA website.

Extemporaneous Speaking

The Extemporaneous Speaking contest is designed to enable the student to speak in an integrated manner on a central idea, organize his/her ideas in a pattern which is meaningful to his/her listeners, and orally communicate his/her ideas effectively. This contest is similar in some respects to impromptu speaking in that the word extemporaneous is used to mean “on short notice.” It differs, however, in that although the specific extemporaneous speech has not been prepared in advance of the contest day, considerable preparation in terms of gathering, analyzing, and organizing information about state, national, and international current events has gone on. A student may prepare for this contest by reading a variety of news sources, either online or in print.

1. There shall be two divisions: United States and International.

2. Topics are to be phrased in the form of a question.

3. Topics shall be from subjects discussed in standard periodicals of the current school year.

4. Thirty minutes before the contest is to begin, the first speaker shall draw three topics, choose one, and return the others. The other contestants shall draw in like manner, in their speaking order, at seven-minute intervals. A contestant drawing a topic on which s/he has spoken previously in the tournament shall return that topic and draw again.

5. After the contestant has chosen a topic, s/he shall withdraw to the preparation area and plan his/her speech without conferring or exchanging information with anyone. No pre-prepared notes, or outlines, or speeches will be allowed in the preparation room may be used by the competitor. Contestants may refer to files containing books, book excerpts, periodicals, online retrievals from mass media publications, and/or photocopies or digital copies of any of the above. Information retrieved from internet websites must include the URL citation. Competitors may not cut or paste. Cutting and pasting of multiple articles into a single document is not permitted. Once a contestant has chosen a topic, s/he may neither change from nor alter that topic. No visual aids may be used in the delivery of the speech. Contestants must present their topic slip to the judge(s) prior to speaking. Violation shall result in being disqualified from the event. (10/5/18)

6. A note card may be used in preliminary rounds of Invitational Qualifying Tournaments but is NOT permitted in any elimination rounds of these tournaments. Violation shall result in being ranked last in the round in which the violation occurred. NOTE: A note card may not be used in any round of the State Tournament.

7. Time limit is seven minutes maximum with a thirty-second grace period. Overtime violators SHALL NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of
the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty.

8. At the State Tournament, the final round will include a cross-examination period, as described below. (Though not mandatory, Invitational Qualifying Tournaments are urged to use the cross-examination format in the final round.)

a. Cross-Examination Procedure: Each speaker shall be assigned a position in the speaking order. Drawing shall take place at 12-minute intervals. Thirty minutes after Speaker 1 has drawn, the last Speaker shall enter the contest room. Speaker 1 shall give his/her speech and the last Speaker shall take notes and/or listen. At the conclusion of Speaker 1’s speech, the last Speaker shall cross-examine Speaker 1 for a time period not to exceed three minutes. The cross-examination will be an open format, similar to the cross-examination period employed in the final round of the NSDa National Tournament. The last Speaker shall return to the prep room and Speaker 1 shall stay to listen to and question Speaker 2. Speaker 2 will question Speaker 3, etc.

9. Students can compete and qualify in both United States and International Extemporaneous Speaking but s/he must choose one for TFA State.

10. The use of laptop computers, electronic devices (i.e. laptops, tablets or phones) by the competitors may be used during draw to retrieve information online or saved onto a storage device with the following provisions:
   a. If the computer requires an insertable card in order to access a wireless connection, that card must be removed from the computer during the entirety of the round.
   b. If the computer has built-in wireless capability, the wireless component must be inactive during the entirety of the round.
   c. If a tournament official or competitor observes a competitor in the round using a computer which has the wireless card inserted or the built-in component left active, the student who has possession of the computer shall automatically be disqualified from that event for that tournament.
   d. Laptops and any storage devices being used must be made available for review upon request of the tournament official.
   e. Articles must be saved with the URL intact on the saved document.
   f. All laptops and storage devices must be in compliance with the rules governing acceptable prep room materials.
   g. Laptop computers may be used only for information retrieval.
   h. Violation of any of the above conditions for the use of laptop computers in the extemp draw may result in the disqualification of any student(s) utilizing the laptop.
      a. Competitors found engaging in online communication with other individuals not directly participating in the round will be disqualified.
      b. Tournament hosts are under no obligation to provide internet access to competitors. (10/5/18)

Original Oratory
Oratory is an activity in which the student writes, memorizes, and then delivers a persuasive speech arising from his/her personal feelings, convictions, or a source of irritation about some problem. Topics for the original oration may be selected by the student and with the aid of the coach or teacher, but the oration itself must be the product of the contestant alone and not his/her coach or teacher.

Although many orations deal with a current problem and propose a solution, this is not the only acceptable form of oratory. The oration may simply alert the audience to a threatening danger, strengthen its devotion to an accepted cause, or eulogize a person. Visual aids are not permitted in this contest.

TFA, understanding that final judgment must remain with the individual judge concerning effect on ranking, suggests that coaches encourage their students to avoid speeches that may be offensive because of language or subject matter.

1. The contestant may not use an oration which s/he used in any TFA contest prior to the current school year. The same oration shall be used for the duration of the tournament. Violation of this rule shall result in disqualification from the tournament.

2. Any appropriate subject may be used, but the orator must be truthful. Any nonfactual reference, especially a personal one, must be so identifies. Violation shall result in ranking down in the round in which the violation occurred.

3. Not more than 150 words of the oration may be a direct quotation from any other speech or writing, and such quotations shall be identified in the written copy of the speech. Extensive paraphrasing of any source is prohibited. Violation shall result in disqualification from the tournament.

4. A written copy of the oration must be available to the tournament director upon request. Violation of this rule will result in disqualification.

5. Each year, specific documentation will be required by the Executive council for entry into the State Tournament. During the online registration process, coaches will be required to provide the oratory title. The competitor’s typed oration is required to be submitted during the registration process.
   a. All quoted material must be underlined and/or printed in red. Quoted material may not exceed 150 words.
   b. A cover sheet with title, student’s name and signature, coach’s name and signature, and the school name must be completed. The cover sheet can be found online at www.txfa.org.
   c. Oratories need to be available for the duration of the tournament.

6. Time limit shall be ten minutes maximum with a thirty-second grace period. There is no minimum time required. Overtime violators SHALL NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty.
7. The oration shall be memorized and presented without the use of notes, visual aids, or costume. Violation shall result in being ranked last in the round.

8. No student may use the same speech at a tournament in more than one event, but may change events with the speech during a tournament season. No student can use the same speech in more than one tournament year. Violation will result in disqualification. In addition, a student may not qualify for nor compete with the same speech at the state meet. The same speech shall be defined as a speech that has a significant repetition of sources, structure, ideas, and arguments.

Duet Acting

This contest will enable the students to develop skills in techniques, ensemble, and oral interpretation common to all communications. TFA, understanding that final judgment must remain with the individual judge concerning effect on ranking, suggests that coaches encourage
their students to avoid (1) literature that is devoid of literary merit, (2) literature that is in poor
taste and, therefore, may be offensive because of language or subject matter.

1. Students may qualify in both Duet Acting and Duo Interp, and may compete at the state level
in both events, but in this case, they may not perform the same selection for both categories and
they may only compete in one other event in which they are qualified.

2. Selections used in Duet Acting shall be cuttings from a single source from a published novel,
short story, play, poem, or screenplay. Published can mean traditionally printed, commercially
available E-Books or PDFs, or material from approved websites as designated by the National
Speech and Debate Association. Coaches and/or students must provide the original source,
including ISBN, electronic resource, or approved website URL, if one exists to the tournament
director upon request. Coaches and/or students are solely responsible for providing any
electronic device upon which electronic sources may be verified. No tournament is ever
responsible for providing electronic devices or internet access for verification purposes. A
photocopy of the original print source is acceptable if the publication page is included. Violation
of this rule will result in disqualification. The list of NSDA approved websites may be found at
https://www.speechanddebate.org/approved-websites/.

3. Each year, specific documentation will be required by the Executive council for entry into the
State Tournament. During the online registration process, coaches will be required to provide the
Duet title and ISBN number or approved website URL. The competitors’ original script and
copied script is required to be checked at registration and must be available during the State
Tournament.
a. A flat copy of the text with page numbers and all lines being performed highlighted is
required.
b. A copy of the bibliographic information page or front page of the NSDA approved website
with visible URL is required.
c. A cover sheet with title, author(s), ISBN number, date of publication, number of transitional
phrases, student’s name(s), coach’s name and signature, and the school name must be completed.
The cover sheet form can be found online at www.txfa.org.
d. The original script, in printed or electronic form, and highlighted photocopy need to be
available for the duration of the tournament.

4. The selections may be serious or humorous works.

5. Time for presentation shall not exceed ten minutes with a thirty-second grace period. There is
no minimum time required. Overtime violators SHALL NOT be ranked first in the round by the
judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction
and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty.

6. Each selection shall include an introduction which shall set the scene and mood and include
the title and author of the work. Time for the introduction shall be included in the time limit.
Violation shall result in an adverse effect on the team’s ranking in the round.
7. The selection shall be memorized and presented without the use of physical objects (notes, props, hand props, or scenery) or costume. Two chairs will be allowed. The two chairs may be used to create atmosphere and environment. Violation shall result in being ranked last in the round.

8. No student may use the same selection at a tournament in more than one event, but may change events with the selection during a tournament season. No student can use the same selection in more than one tournament year. Violation will result in disqualification. In addition, a student may not qualify for nor compete with the same selection at the state meet. A selection shall be defined as a standalone piece of literature, often further delineated by the title of an individual piece. For example, anthologies shall be considered a collection of separate selections; books of poems shall be considered a collection of separate poem selections; a novel or an autobiography shall be considered a single selection; a news article shall be considered a selection, while the publication shall be considered a collection of separate selections.

9. A student may compete with one partner from the same school and one selection at a given tournament.

10. Transitional phrases may be used in Duet Acting but must meet the following word requirements. Violation will result in disqualification.
   a. No selection may use more than 100 total transitional words.
   b. These words cannot be used to write new scenes to any piece of literature.
   c. The 100 words cannot all be used in one particular location within the selection.
   d. All transitional phrases must be written in the margin, by hand, on the copy of the piece of literature for checking in case of protest. At TFA State, this will be used to check that the number does not exceed the 100 word limit.
   e. Introductions do not count as part of the transitional phrases.
Duo Interpretation

This contest will enable students to develop skills in techniques, ensemble, and oral interpretation common to all communications. TFA, understanding that final judgment must remain with the individual judge concerning effect on ranking, suggests that coaches encourage their students to avoid (1) literature that is devoid of literary merit, (2) literature that is in poor taste and, therefore, may be offensive because of language or subject matter.

1. Students may qualify in both Duet Acting and Duo Interp, and may compete at the state level in both events, but in this case, they may not perform the same selection for both categories and they may only compete in one other event in which they are qualified.

2. Selections used in Duo shall be cuttings from a single source from a published novel, short story, play, poem, or screenplay. Published can mean traditionally printed, commercially available E-Books or PDFs, or material from approved websites designated by the National Speech and Debate Association. Coaches and/or students must provide the original source, including ISBN, electronic resource, or approved website URL, if one exists to the tournament director upon request. Coaches and/or students are solely responsible for providing electronic devices or internet access for verification purposes. A photocopy of the original print source is acceptable if the publication page is included. Violation of this rule will result in disqualification.

3. Each year, specific documentation will be required by the Executive Council for entry into the State Tournament. During the online registration process, coaches will be required to provide the Duo title and ISBN number or NSDA approved website URL. The competitors’ original script and copied script is required to be checked at registration and must be available at the State Tournament.
   a. A flat copy of the text with page numbers and all lines being performed highlighted is required.
   b. A copy of the bibliographic information page or front page of the approved website with visible URL is required.
   c. A cover sheet with title, author(s), ISBN number or NSDA approved website URL, date of publication, number of transitional phrases, student’s name(s), coach’s name and signature, and the school name must be completed. The cover sheet form can be found online at www.txfa.org.
   d. The original script, in printed or electronic form, and highlighted copy need to be available for the duration of the tournament.

4. The selections may be serious or humorous works.

5. Time for presentation shall not exceed ten minutes with a thirty-second grace period. There is no minimum time required. Overtime violators SHALL NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty.
6. Each selection shall include an introduction which shall set the scene and mood and include the title and author of the work. Time for the introduction shall be included in the time limit. Violation shall result in an adverse effect on the team’s ranking in the round.

7. The selection shall be memorized and presented without the use of physical objects (script, props, hand props, or scenery) or costume. Violation shall result in being ranked last in the round.

8. In Duo Interpretation focus may be direct during the introduction and the performers may look at each other, but must be indirect (off-stage) during the performance itself.

9. Each of the two performers may play one or more characters, as long as performance responsibility in the cutting remains as balanced as possible. If the selection is prose or poetry and contains narration, either or both of the performers may present the narration.

10. No student may use the same selection at a tournament in more than one event, but may change events with the selection during a tournament season. No student can use the same selection in more than one tournament year. Violation will result in disqualification. In addition, a student may not qualify for nor compete with the same selection at the state meet. A selection shall be defined as a standalone piece of literature, often further delineated by the title of an individual piece. For example, anthologies shall be considered a collection of separate selections; books of poems shall be considered a collection of separate poem selections; a novel or an autobiography shall be considered a single selection; a news article shall be considered a selection, while the publication shall be considered a collection of separate selections.

11. A student may compete with only one partner from the same school and one selection at a given tournament.

12. Transitional phrases may be used in Duo Interpretation but must meet the following word requirements. Violation will result in disqualification.
   a. No selection may use more than 100 total transitional words.
   b. These words cannot be used to write new scenes to any piece of literature.
   c. The 100 words cannot all be used in one particular location within the selection.
   d. All transitional phrases must be written in the margin, by hand, on the copy of the piece of literature for checking in case of protest. At TFA State, this will be used to check that the number does not exceed the 100 word limit.
   e. Introductions do not count as part of the transitional phrases.
Dramatic Interpretation

This contest enables the student not only to develop skills common in all speech contests, but also to select and condense worthy dramatic literature suitable to the contestant’s personality and maturity, and to convey orally the meaning of that dramatic literature through characterization and narration. TFA, understanding that final judgment must remain with the individual judge concerning effect on ranking, suggests that coaches encourage their students to avoid (1) literature that is devoid of literary merit, (2) literature that is in poor taste and, therefore, may be offensive because of language or subject matter.

1. Selections used in Dramatic shall be cuttings from a single source from published novels, short stories, plays, poems, or screenplays. Published can mean traditionally printed, commercially available E-Books or PDFs, or material from approved websites as designated by the National Speech and Debate Association. Coaches and/or students must have the original source, including ISBN, electronic resource, or approved website URL, if one exists to the tournament director upon request. Coaches and/or students are solely responsible for providing any electronic device upon which electronic sources may be verified. No tournament is ever responsible for providing electronic devices or internet access for verification purposes. A photocopy of the original print source is acceptable if the publication page is included. Violation of this rule will result in disqualification. The list of NSDA approved websites may be found at https://www.speechanddebate.org/approved-websites/.

2. Each year, specific documentation will be required by the Executive Council for entry into the State Tournament. During the online registration process, coaches will be required to provide the Dramatic title and ISBN number, or approved website URL. The competitor’s original script and highlighted photocopy is required to be checked at registration and must be available at the State Tournament.
   a. A flat copy of the original source with page numbers and all lines being performed highlighted is required.
   b. A copy of the bibliographic information page is required.
   c. A cover sheet with title, author(s), ISBN number or NSDA approved website URL, date of publication, number of transitional phrases, student’s name(s), coach’s name and signature, and the school name must be completed. The cover sheet form can be found online at www.txfa.org.
   d. The original script, in printed or electronic form, and highlighted copy need to be available for the duration of the tournament.

3. The selection shall be memorized and presented without the use of physical objects (script, props, hand props, or scenery) or costume. Students should avoid movement in the presentation that would distract from the literature itself. Violation shall result in being ranked last in the round.
4. No student may use the same selection at a tournament in more than one event, but may change events with the selection during a tournament season. No student can use the same selection in more than one tournament year. Violation will result in disqualification. In addition, a student may not qualify for nor compete with the same selection at the state meet. A selection shall be defined as a standalone piece of literature, often further delineated by the title of an individual piece. For example, anthologies shall be considered a collection of separate selections; books of poems shall be considered a collection of separate poem selections; a novel or an autobiography shall be considered a single selection; a news article shall be considered a selection, while the publication shall be considered a collection of separate selections.

5. The contestant shall name the author and the title of the selection being presented during his/her performance. Violation shall result in being ranked down in the round.

6. Maximum time shall be ten minutes with a thirty-second grace period. Overtime violators SHALL NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty.

7. Transitional phrases may be used in Dramatic Interpretation but must meet the following word requirements. Violation will result in disqualification.
   a. No selection may use more than 100 total transitional words.
   b. These words cannot be used to write new scenes to any piece of literature.
   c. The 100 words cannot all be used in one particular location within the selection.
   d. All transitional phrases must be written in the margin, by hand, on the copy of the piece of literature for checking in case of protest. At TFA State, this will be used to check that the number does not exceed the 100 word limit.
   e. Introductions do not count as part of the transitional phrases.
Humorous Interpretation

This contest enables the student not only to develop skills common to all speech contests, but also to select and condense worthy humorous literature suitable to the contestant’s personality and maturity, and to convey orally the humor of that literature through characterization and narration. Suggestions for suitable material and specific rules governing this contest are the ones listed for Dramatic Interpretation.
Informative Speaking

An informative speech is an original speech designed to explain, define, describe, or illustrate a particular subject. The general purpose of the speech is for the audience to gain understanding and/or knowledge of a topic. Any other purpose such as to entertain or to convince shall be secondary. The use of audio/visual aids is optional.

1. This contest comprises only memorized speeches composed by the contestants and not used by them during a previous contest season.

2. Effective speeches provide new information or perspectives on a topic, including those that are widely known. The responsibility for choosing a worthwhile topic rests with the contestant. A fabricated topic may not be used. Any non-factual reference, including a personal reference, must be so identified. Violations will result in the contestant being ranked down in the round.

3. Each year, specific documentation will be required by the Executive council for entry into the State Tournament. During the online registration process, coaches will be required to provide the oratory title. The competitor’s typed informative is required to be submitted during the registration process.
   a. All quoted material must be underlined and/or printed in red. Quoted material may not exceed 150 words.
   b. A cover sheet with title, student’s name and signature, coach’s name and signature, and the school name must be completed. The cover sheet can be found online at www.txfa.org.
   c. Informatives need to be available for the duration of the tournament.

3. Not more than 150 words of the oration may be a direct quotation from any other speech or writing, and such quotations shall be identified in the written copy of the speech. Extensive paraphrasing of any source is prohibited. Violation shall result in disqualification from the tournament. A written copy of the oration must be available to the tournament director upon request. Violation of this rule will result in disqualification.

4. Audio/visual aids may or may not be used to supplement and reinforce the message. If used, the audio/visual aids should enhance or support the message rather than distract from the overall effectiveness of the presentation. During the presentation, no electronic equipment is permitted. Electronic equipment is defined as any object requiring an electrical cord, battery, or solar power...
to operate it (projectors, cell phones, radios, iPads, computers, etc.). The use of live animals or any additional people as visual aids is not allowed during the speech. Items of dress put on and/or removed for illustration during the course of the presentation are considered costumes and may not be part of the contestant’s presentation. Visual aids may not violate policies as dictated by local and state law (weapons, drugs, etc.) The host school is not responsible for providing facilities, equipment, including tables, chairs, or easels, or assistance in a contestant’s use of visual aids. Expedient set up and take down of aids is expected. If a visual aid displays published pictorial material, the source must be included in the work-cited page but does not need to be cited orally.

5. Maximum time shall be ten minutes with a thirty-second grace period. Overtime violators SHALL NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty.

6. A student may not use an informative speech the student used in any previous contest year. No student may use the same speech at a tournament in more than one event, but may change events with the speech during a tournament season. No student can use the same speech in more than one tournament year. Violation will result in disqualification. In addition, a student may not qualify for nor compete with the same speech at the state meet. The same speech shall be defined as a speech that has a significant repetition of sources, structure, ideas, and arguments.

Program Oral Interpretation

POI is a program of oral interpretation of thematically-linked selections chosen from at least two genres: prose, poetry, drama (plays). At least two pieces of literature that represent at least two separate genres must be used. Unlike the other interpretation events, Program Oral Interpretation may use multiple sources for the program.

1. All selections must be verbally identified by title and author. Competitors are encouraged to devote approximately equal times to each of the genres used in the program. This distinction pertains to these genres as a whole, not types of literature within a genre (such as fiction/nonfiction). Prose expresses thought through language recorded in sentences and paragraphs: fiction (short stories, novels) and non-fiction (articles, essays, journals, biographies). Poetry is writing which expresses ideas, experience, or emotion through the creative arrangement of words according to their sound, their rhythm, their meaning. Poetry may rely on verse and stanza form.

2. The use of a manuscript during the performance is required. Common practices include the use of a binder or folder. Reading from a book or magazine is not permitted. The intact manuscript may be used by the contestant as a prop, so long as it remains in the contestant’s control at all times. No costumes or props other than the manuscript are permitted. The contestant must address the script; however, introduction and transitional material may be memorized.
3. Maximum time shall be ten minutes with a thirty-second grace period. Overtime violators SHALL NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty.

4. Each year, specific documentation will be required by the Executive Council for entry into the State Tournament. All material used in POI must be published. Published can mean traditionally printed, commercially available E-Books or PDFs, or material from approved websites as designated by the National Speech and Debate Association. During the online registration process, coaches will be required to provide the POI title(s) and ISBN number(s), URLs from an NSDA approved website, and/or publication information for each source used. Coaches and/or students must provide the original source, in print or electronically, including ISBN, or approved website URL, if one exists, to the tournament director upon request. Coaches and/or students are solely responsible for providing any electronic device upon which electronic sources may be verified. No tournament is ever responsible for providing electronic devices or internet access for verification purposes. A photocopy of the original print source is acceptable if the publication page is included. Violation of this rule will result in disqualification. The competitor’s original source material and copied, highlighted scripts are required to be checked at registration and must be available at the State Tournament. The list of NSDA approved websites may be found at https://www.speechanddebate.org/approved-websites/.
   a. A flat copy of the source material with page numbers and all lines being performed highlighted is required.
   b. A copy of the bibliographic information page, or front page of the NSDA approved website with visible URL, for all source material is required.
   c. A cover sheet with title(s), author(s), ISBN number or URL from an NSDA approved website, date of publication, number of transitional phrases, student’s name(s), coach’s name and signature, and the school name must be completed. The cover sheet form can be found online at www.txfa.org.
   d. The original source material, and highlighted copies need to be available for the duration of the tournament.

5. Transitional phrases may be used in Program Oral Interpretation but must meet the following word requirements. Violation will result in disqualification.
   a. No selection may use more than 100 total transitional words.
   b. These words cannot be used to write new scenes to any piece of literature.
   c. The 100 words cannot all be used in one particular location within the selection.
   d. All transitional phrases must be written in the margin, by hand, on the highlighted photocopies of the original source material for checking in case of protest. At TFA State, this will be used to check that the number does not exceed the 100 word limit.
   e. Introductions do not count as part of the transitional phrases.

6. No student may use the same selection at a tournament in more than one event, but may change events with the selection during a tournament season. No student can use the same selection in more than one tournament year. Violation will result in disqualification. In addition, a student may not qualify for nor compete with the same selection at the state meet. A selection shall be defined as a standalone piece of literature, often further delineated by the title of an
individual piece. For example, anthologies shall be considered a collection of separate selections; books of poems shall be considered a collection of separate poem selections; a novel or an autobiography shall be considered a single selection; a news article shall be considered a selection, while the publication shall be considered a collection of separate selections.

Judge Conduct

When more than one judge adjudicates the same round, each judge should render their decision independently and without collusion. No judges should confer with each other before their decision is rendered and written on the ballot. It is the responsibility of the tournament director to inform each judge of this rule.

Standing Rules:
Invitational Qualifying Tournament
Operations Manual

* Rules or Regulations in the following sections may be amended by a majority vote of the members present and voting at any annual meeting, special meeting, or mail ballot provided that copies of the Proposed amendment have been mailed to all members or placed on the official TFA website at least fourteen (14) days prior to the vote, or without prior notice by a three-fourths majority of those present and voting.
The Texas Forensic Association is committed to providing every student with the opportunity to qualify for the State Tournament in a fair and impartial manner. This document provides the specific procedures for hosting Invitational Qualifying Tournaments. Due diligence must be made to ensure that these procedures are followed to ensure fairness as well as the absence of the perception of impropriety when running an IQT. The members of TFA have established and approved these procedures for all Invitational Qualifying Tournaments. During each contest, your Tournament Director, Region Representative, and Executive Council are available to adjudicate disputes regarding these rules.

**Hosting an Invitational Qualifying Tournament**

In regions with more than 15 applications, each member school will be limited to hosting a single qualifying tournament. Any TFA member school or institution wishing to apply for its tournament to be a TFA Qualifying tournament must meet the following conditions:

2. The designated tournament director must have experience in directing/coordinate a competitive tournament of a similar nature to the TFA qualifiers. If a school wishes to host a tournament, but doesn’t have an experienced director, it may apply under the aegis of a group of two or more experienced directors, who may be from other schools. Letters of acceptance of responsibility from each experienced director must accompany the application. Approval is pursuant to the Executive Council’s contact with these sponsors. This also applies to approved tournaments where the director leaves the TFA host school during the year.

3. The tournament shall be held between the dates of the weekend following the 4th Monday in August and the second weekend in February. A tournament weekend should be defined as Monday-Sunday. No qualifying tournament can be held on the dates of the TSCA convention. In the event of a natural or man-made disaster, the Executive Council shall be granted authority to alter the qualifying requirements, in regions so affected, so as not to affect the ability to host the State Tournament on its pre-determined weekend.

4. TFA members will be notified by March 15 of the availability of the IQT application on the TFA website. Members wishing to host a tournament will complete the online application form and submit the document. Tournament hosts will be expected to print a copy of the form and submit the tournament fee to the TFA Treasurer no later than May 1. The website administrator will move forward copies of the form to the TFA President, TFA Treasurer, and the appropriate Region Representative. Tournament dates will be established by the Executive Council. Applications received after May 1 will be considered, but may not be accepted. No applications will be considered after August 15.

5. The tournament dates shall be assigned according to the following priorities:
   a. Those schools having previously hosted a qualifying tournament and who are requesting the same weekend as the previous year.
   b. Those schools having previously hosted a qualifying tournament and who are requesting a different weekend from the previous year.
   c. Anyone requesting to hold a qualifying tournament who had not hosted one the previous year.
   d. Any application received after May 1.
   e. Those schools who requested a tournament date the previous year and subsequently cancelled their tournament or moved their tournament date.
   f. The Executive Council reserves the right to revoke or alter precedence for tournaments who were protested and sanctioned in the previous competitive year.
   g. If two or more schools choose to host a tournament together (co-host), the co-hosting pair has precedence based on the guidelines stated above. If the co-hosting schools choose to apply separately in subsequent years, they qualify for priority c above.

6. By the third week in May, the Secretary shall notify all TFA members of the posting on the TFA website of the tentative list of tournaments which will be TFA qualifiers for the next school year. The tournament list will be reviewed, amended, and given final approval in August. Petitions for changing approved tournament dates must be submitted to the TFA Executive Council prior to August 15th unless extenuating circumstances are documented by the administrator and coach involved prior to the beginning of the TSCA convention. Requests for
date changes and tournament additions to the list received after August 15th will be brought before the members of TFA attending the business meeting at the TSCA convention and must be approved by that body for those changes and additions to occur.

7. The Tournament Director for any IQT must be a regular member of the school’s faculty.

8. The tournament must have a minimum of ten schools participating in order for it to be a qualifier.

9. The host school must be a current school year TFA member at the time its tournament is held.

10. A maximum of two tournaments per region can be scheduled on the same weekend, with the exceptions of tournaments being 150+ miles apart within a region or when all possible tournament slots have been filled by other schools. When 150+ miles apart, from the closest hosting school, a region may host up to four tournaments per weekend. When there are more applicants in a region than available calendar spots and the 150+ mile rule cannot apply, regions can offer 3 tournaments on a weekend. Conflicts will be resolved by application of the above priorities. If no tournament in the region offers a qualifying event on a given weekend, a third tournament in that region may be offered that holds only the event(s) that are missing from the other tournaments. Such tournaments would have to meet any other requirement such as minimum schools, following the TFA rules, etc., with the exception of the four qualifying event requirement. Schools in any given region that intend not to host an event should make that intention known in the tournament application process. Region reps then should make that known to smaller schools to plan their schedules for applying for tournaments. In the instance that this circumstance arises, schools would be allowed to host a second tournament (with the events not offered elsewhere in the regions only) in a school year.

11. If more than two tournaments within any one region apply for the same date, the Executive Council shall attempt to accommodate the alternate dates on the tournament applications. If an alternative date cannot be found, the TFA Treasurer shall accept only the first two applications and return the other application(s) along with the registration fee.

12. Any host of a TFA qualifying tournament may offer a formal complaint against student(s) attending their TFA qualifying tournament based on their inappropriate behavior during the tournament. Such complaints must be accompanied by proof of the complaint (proof can include security camera footage and/or written corroboration of witnesses.) Such complaints will be forwarded to the Executive Council of the TFA. If deemed a violation of appropriate student behavior the Executive Council shall remove all current TFA State points (individual and team events) from the student(s) found to be in violation. The Executive Council shall also impose a two month suspension from TFA qualifying tournament participation for the student(s) found in violation.

**Qualifying Numbers**

1. Qualification to the State Tournament will be based on a twelve (12) point system. When an individual or team accumulates twelve points, they will qualify for the State Tournament.
2. Invitational Qualifying Tournaments must have ten (10) participating schools in attendance in order to award any qualification points. Minimum numbers in each event to award qualification points are: Policy-10 teams, PFD-10 teams, LD-10 entries, Congressional Debate-10 entries; all IE’s (including Duo and Duet)-10 entries/teams. The Executive Council may modify this section at the beginning of the tournament season in order to make it consistent with any changes to the point system if the need arises.

3. Qualification points will be awarded as outlined in the current year’s Qualifying Point Schedule on www.txfa.org.

4. A tournament director must certify that the number of entries at the tournament do not include “phantom” entries. The number must include only those students who actually participate in at least one round of the scheduled event at the tournament, compete using their own names, and are listed with the appropriate school affiliation. Tournament directors who do not follow accepted practices will be considered to be in violation of the Professional Code of Conduct and applicable procedures will then apply.

5. It will be the responsibility of the school’s TFA coach of record to keep track of students’ points. They will notify the IQT coordinator of students’ qualifications which will then be checked with the finalists list from the tournament.

6. The Executive Council may modify this point schedule at the beginning of the tournament season if the need arises.

Requirements

1. Separate divisions for men and women shall not be offered in any TFA event.

2. The specified qualifying events shall follow the rules, including time limits, of those events as outlined in the TFA Standing Rules.

3. Tabulation and tie-breaking procedures shall follow those specified in the standing rules.

4. TFA/AFA ballots should be used as available.

5. The minimum number of rounds for TFA-sanctioned individual events and Duet Acting or Duo Interpretation is at least one preliminary round, followed by semifinals and finals. For tournaments with single preliminary rounds, at least two competitors must advance from each preliminary section to semifinals, and at all tournaments at least two competitors must advance from each semifinal section to finals in all TFA events. At tournaments in which there are 24 or fewer entries in an individual event or duet acting/duo interpretation, the tournament may have two or more preliminary rounds, followed by a finals round.

6. Entries from the same school must not be assigned to compete in the same section or pairing in TFA events unless there are more entries from that school than there are sections or pairings.
7. No more than eight contestants may be scheduled to appear in any section of individual events/duet/duo in TFA events.

8. The minimum number of preliminary rounds shall be three in Policy, Public Forum, and Lincoln-Douglas Debate. The last preliminary round shall be power-matched. The minimum number of elimination rounds for all divisions shall be semifinals and finals. Additional round may be required based on event entries.

9. The Tournament Director shall report all qualifiers to the IQT Coordinator online at www.txfaf.org within 24 hours following the completion of his/her tournament.

10. Video taping of rounds will not be allowed. The use of audio recordings will only be used to ensure that students accurately represent the material they read during debates. Participants who are recorded may require the recording be deleted after the judge has rendered a decision and ethics charges were not raised during the debate.

11. In advance of the tournament, all non-member schools must be provided with a copy of TFA regulations for all TFA events.

12. TFA regulations must be followed in all TFA events. Only matters of rule interpretation or clarification may be made by the tournament director. Under no circumstances may event rules or time limits be altered.

13. Judges of any and all TFA events shall have already graduated from high school.

14. Texas students participating at TFA tournaments must be affiliated with and a representative of the schools they are attending.

15. Fees collected for judging must be used for payment of judges and not as tournament profit.

16. Dissemination of Ballots: Following tabulation of preliminary rounds, and all subsequent rounds, except for finals, the ballots shall be made available to the coaches. A reasonable time and place shall have been designated for verification of preliminary results. This verification period must allow reasonable time for coaches to be made aware that a challenge is taking place and must occur in a location that is easily accessible to the coach. Challenge/verification for all divisions of a category of events (ex. all debate) must occur at the same location. An open tab room may not be substituted for the formal challenge period. Following this verification period, except for finals, results are to be considered final and any errors should stand. Following the beginning of the rounds for each subsequent elimination round, the results for the previous rounds are considered final. No challenge of judges’ decision is allowed. Once the school has been eliminated from the tournament, no ballots should be withheld from that coach.

17. No rounds of any TFA event may begin after midnight on Friday or Saturday. Any tournament in violation of this policy may, at the discretion of the Executive Council, forfeit status as a TFA State Qualifying Tournament in the following year.
18. No school may participate in elimination rounds at their own tournament.

19. A tournament must disclose in their invitation whether panels will be used in quarterfinals and beyond of debate events and finals of Individual Events, Duet Acting, and Duo Interpretation.

20. Tournament hosts shall make their sweepstakes formula available in their invitation information or information given to participating schools at the beginning of the tournament.

21. No Texas Forensic Association Invitational Qualifying Tournament may offer a separate division in which all pre-qualified students must/are encouraged to compete.

22. Any team that concedes or forfeits an elimination round debate to a team from another school other than their own shall only be awarded points for the last elimination round they actually participated in. For example, a team that concedes in the semifinals in a tournament that would award them 4 points would receive 2 points for successfully participating in the quarterfinals. Another example, a team that concedes in the quarterfinals in a tournament without octofinals, would be awarded no points. Additionally, any tournament that arranges or encourages concessions may forfeit their TFA qualifying status in that debate event at the discretion of the Executive Council. The Executive Council may grant exceptions to this rule to accommodate unavoidable extenuating circumstances (such as weather).

23. Competitors who forfeit elimination rounds in finals of individual events will receive qualification points as if they had placed last in the I.E. round.

24. Any name changes must be submitted in writing to the tournament director prior to the end of the first round of that event.

**Procedure for Processing Protests of Violations**

1. Any violation of Texas Forensic Association rules or regulations by an Invitational Qualifying Tournament host should be reported to the TFA Executive Council, along with data to support such a charge. If investigation results in confirmation of such charge, eligibility of the offending school to host an IQT may be revoked for one year.

2. Any violation of the TFA Code of Professional Standards by a coach concerning an IQT should be reported to the TFA Executive Council, along with data to support such a charge. See TFA Code of Professional Standards.

**Debate Tabulation**
The following methods have been devised by the Texas Forensic Association for determining winners in Policy, Public Forum, and Lincoln-Douglas Debate. Specific exclusions will be applicable for Public Forum Debate in regards to side constraints.

**Pairing of Preliminary Rounds**

1. The first two rounds of an IQT will be a pre-set in a manner that schedules each entrant in one affirmative and one negative round. These rounds should be randomly paired, attempting to minimize individuals from the same two schools hitting one another (if possible), and avoid the pairing of individuals from the same school. If power ranking is used for teams, every attempt should be made to balance the power of teams that are paired against one another.

2. At least one round of a three or four round preliminary tournament will be power-matched. If the tournament features five or more preliminary rounds, power matching will begin no later than the 4th round. If time allows, tournaments are highly encouraged to use some form of power matching beginning with the 3rd round. The power matching should take place within win/loss brackets as commonly practiced. Undefeated entrants should debate undefeated entrants; entrants with one loss should debate entrants with one loss, and so on. Tournaments should announce in their invitation which form of power matching they will be using (high-high or high-low within brackets).

3. At the end of each even numbered round, each entrant (with the exception of entrants having received a BYE) will have debated an equal number of affirmative and negative rounds.

4. If using a computer to run the tournament, the tournament host will be aware and take proper steps to set-up the tournament, including but not limited to room use; entrant code/names; division characteristics including how pairing and power matching procedures will occur; and the avoidance of team and judge conflicts. These steps will be taken to minimize and/or avoid challenges as the tournament progresses.

5. When power matching teams, priorities shall be as follows:
   a. Entrants shall have an equal number of affirmative and negative debates following each even numbered round.
   b. Entrants shall not meet another entrant from their own school during any preliminary round unless a school’s entry comprises more than half the field.
      c. Entrants shall not meet the same entrant more than once in preliminary rounds.
   d. In the event entrants cannot be paired within a given bracket during power matching, entrants should be pulled from the next lower bracket(s) until these requirements are met. Pulling from two or more brackets down may be required in some instances.

**Advancement**
The criteria for determining teams advancing to elimination rounds are in the following order:

1. If Only 3 Prelim Rounds are Held:
   a. Win-loss record
   b. Total speaker points
   c. Adjusted speaker points (drop highs and lows) per team
   d. Total speaker ranks
   e. Opponent’s win-loss record
   f. Opponent’s speaker points
   g. Opponent’s ranks
   h. Adjusted ranks
   i. Blind draw

2. If More than 3 Prelim Rounds are Held:
   a. Win-loss record
   b. Adjusted speaker points (drop highs and lows) per team
   c. Total speaker points
   d. Total speaker ranks
   e. Opponent’s win-loss record
   f. Opponent’s speaker points
   g. Opponent’s ranks
   h. Adjusted ranks
   i. Blind draw

**Elimination Brackets**

1. If a tournament holds three preliminary debate rounds and 51 or more entries compete, elimination rounds must include an octofinal round; if 75 or more entries compete, elimination rounds must include a double octofinal round, if 120 or more compete, elimination rounds must include a triple octofinal.
   a. If a tournament holds four preliminary rounds and 51 or more entries compete, elimination rounds must include an octofinal round; if 100 or more entries compete, elimination rounds must include a double-octofinal.
     b. If 15 or fewer entries compete, quarterfinals do not have to be held.
   c. Upon completion of the preliminary round portion of a tournament, all students with an undefeated record shall advance to the elimination bracket.

2. Entries will be seeded according to their prelim round record for the purpose of creating an elimination round bracket. This seeding shall not be changed, even if entries are removed from the bracket. New entries may not be pulled up into the bracket because of entry removal. The only exception to this rule shall be if an entry is designated prior to the final preliminary round in writing as not being eligible to break (they will not be considered in the seeding process).

3. If entries from the same school are meeting, brackets may be broken, at the discretion of the tournament director, in the following manner. Brackets will be broken unless an Invitational Qualifying Tournament’s invitation stipulates that they will not be broken.
a. The lower seeded entry of the two will be moved up in the bracket (i.e., In a conflict between the 5th seed and the 12th see, the 12th seen will be switched with the 11th seed). In the case where the initial switch does not resolve the conflict, additional switches may be made by moving the entry causing the conflict one place at a time until the conflict is resolved (i.e., in a conflict between 1 and 32, the 32nd entry would switch with 31, then 30, then 29, and so on until the conflict is resolved). Brackets shall be broken by working down the pairings in seed order (i.e., check the 1-32 pairings first, if it works, then move to the 2-31 pairing, the 3-30 pairing, etc.). In all cases where a switch is made, either by the tab room before a round or by a lower seeded entry winning a round, the new seed position will be assumed for purposes of breaking brackets in the next round (i.e., in a debate between 1-31, if the 32nd entry wins, it assumes the first seed position for the next round).

b. Brackets are broken only when a conflict occurs. Brackets are not broken in anticipation of conflict in future elimination rounds.

c. In the event that a conflict cannot be avoided, the entries involved will not be forced to debate. The coach of the squad involved will make the determination if there is to be a debate or which entry will advance.

d. In the event that a school closes out both sides of the bracket, the coach (sponsor) may have the option of choosing any of the involved students to advance for the qualifying positions even if this involves two competitors or teams from the same side of the bracket.

Determining Speaker Points for Forfeit or Bye

In order to determine speaker points for a bye the debater(s) will receive the average number of points given in their preliminary rounds. In case of a forfeit, the team forfeiting the debate will receive zero speaker points. The team who is being forfeited to will be given the average number of points given in their other preliminary rounds.

Congressional Debate Tabulation

Entry Numbers and Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum number of entries for Qualifying Event</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum number of students per Preliminary House</td>
<td>20 (if 20 or fewer entries exist, then one chamber for the entire tournament may be used)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Semifinals Houses required | 61 or greater entries  
Maximum entries per Semifinal chamber | 16 per chamber  
Minimum total hours of actual floor debate (recesses do not count as floor debate time) | 3 hours minimum for prelims; Minimum total hours of actual floor debate for prelims. Minimum total hours of actual floor debate for semis: 2 hours for fewer than 10 competitors, 2.5 hours for 10-14 competitors, 3 hours for 15-20 competitors. (Amended 10-17) 2 hours for finals with 12 competitors (add 10 minutes for each additional competitor – not to exceed 16 competitors). If only one Chamber is held, the minimum total debate time is 4 hours.  
Preliminary Judges | A minimum of 1 Judge (who scores speeches and serves as Parliamentarian)  
Semis | A minimum of two judges (1 Scorer and 1 Parliamentarian)  
Finals Judges | A minimum of THREE judges (2 Scorers and 1 Parliamentarian)  
Maximum advancing to Super Congress | 16 with a minimum of 8  
Presiding Officer Audition Time | Campaign speeches enumerating qualifications to preside, not to exceed one minute each.  
Score per speech | 0 min – 6 max

**Presiding Officers**

Tournament Directors shall decide if students may run for Presiding Officers in all sessions and shall indicate this opportunity in the tournament invitation. Students wishing to run for PO shall declare their intention on the tournament entry form or with the tournament director by the due date.

If students are permitted to run, brief speeches of qualification not to exceed one minute may be given. Candidates shall be elected via secret ballot by the members present. Candidates for PO shall be equally divided among houses, and if multiple preliminary sessions are held, candidates may be divided equally among sessions. Candidate speeches shall not be scored, will not count against precedence, and will not be included in the students’ totals. Parliamentarians shall award 0-6 points per hour of service to the elected Presiding Officer, which shall count against precedence and be included in the students’ totals. Parliamentarians and scorers shall award 0-6 points per hour of service to the elected Presiding Officer, which shall count against precedence and be included in the students’ totals.
If no PO candidates are running or are permitted to run, the designated Presiding Officer shall be an adult (high school graduate) parliamentarian designated to conduct the session. This adult PO will not have scoring responsibilities, or serve as Parliamentarian.

**Scoring and Advancement**

In all sessions, the Scorer(s) shall be responsible for evaluating every student speech given. The Parliamentarian scores only the student PO, and ranks all competitors in the room. Elected Pos shall receive one score per hour of service from the Parliamentarian. If a student receives a score from the scorer, for both speaking and service, these scores shall be added together to compute the student’s total.

The required method for determining advancement and tabulating final results in Congressional Debate is ranking of the top 8 legislators. Speech points are required for NFL points only. Advancement is selected by the scorer, ranking the top 8 Best Overall Legislators. All other students will receive a rank of 9. Parliamentarians are to rank ALL members of the chamber. For initial rankings, the Parliamentarian’s ranks, up to eighth are tabulated as well, with subsequent ranks considered as ranks of 9. Parliamentarians will have scoring responsibilities only for the presiding officer.

Each individual chamber is tabulated independent of the others. Legislators with the lowest cumulative rank total advance to the next level of competition, employing the following tiebreakers:

1. Judge’s preference
2. Reciprocal fractions
3. Rank by the parliamentarian

**Speech and Interpretation Tabulation**

The criteria for determining winners in speech and interpretation events are in the following manner.

1. The contestant with the lowest cumulative score of the individual judges in the round. In tournaments with multiple preliminary rounds, students with the lowest cumulative ranks will advance.
2. If there is a tie on ranks in the round, judge’s preference shall be used to break the tie. The following diagram illustrates the “judge’s preference” method of breaking ties:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Judge 1</th>
<th>Judge 2</th>
<th>Judge 3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speaker A</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>=6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker B</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>=6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this case, both speakers’ ranks are the same when combined (Rule 1); however, Judge 1 ranks Speaker A over Speaker B, Judge 2 ranks Speaker B over Speaker A, and Judge 3 ranks Speaker B over Speaker A. Essentially, two out of the three judges have preferred Speaker B to Speaker A, even though the ranks are tied.

If there is a 3 way tie, judge’s preference can be used to compare each pair of speakers head to head. If one speaker wins head to head judges preference against both tied opponents, that speaker will be awarded the highest rank. The remaining two contestants will be compared using judge’s preference to determine the next highest ranking. For example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Judge X</th>
<th>Judge Y</th>
<th>Judge Z</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speaker A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>=9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>=9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker C</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>=9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this example, Speaker A wins judge’s preference against Speaker B (Judge Y and Z) and Speaker A wins judge’s preference against Speaker C (Judge X and Y). Hence, Speaker A would receive the highest rank amongst the three, Speaker B would receive the next highest rank.

3. In case of an unbreakable three-way tie, inverted fractions (reciprocals) shall be used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Reciprocal</th>
<th>As a Decimal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>½ (1/2)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1/6</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1/7</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Then add these numbers and the contestant with the highest score is the best of the three, and the next highest score receives the next rank, etc. See example below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ranks</th>
<th>Converts to</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speaker A</td>
<td>1 3 3 = 7</td>
<td>1.00 .33 .33</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker B</td>
<td>2 1 4 = 7</td>
<td>.50 1.00 .25</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker C</td>
<td>3 2 2 = 7</td>
<td>.33 .50  .50</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In case of a tie in inverted fractions among 2 of the 3 tied speakers, revert back to judge preference to resolve the tie between the 2 tied speakers. See the example below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ranks</th>
<th>Converts to</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speaker A</td>
<td>4 2 5 = 11</td>
<td>.25 .50 .20</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix A – Seeding Order for Debate Elimination Rounds

Speaker B  3 6 2 = 11  .33 .17 .50  1.00  3rd
Speaker C  2 3 6 = 11  .50 .33 .17  1.00  2nd

*The 1.00 tie between speakers B and C is broken on the basis of Judge Preference (Speaker C is preferred over Speaker B by 2 of the 3 judges).

4. These methods shall be used in all rounds including the final round.

5. If for any reason the above methods cannot be utilized in the final round, winners shall be determined on the basis of accumulated ranks, including ranks from preliminary rounds, and all elimination rounds. This is also the procedure to be used for breaking unbreakable ties in quarterfinals and semifinals at the State Tournament.

6. If there is still a tie after the provisions mentioned above, a blind draw should be used to determine placement in the round. If the tie is to determine a state champion, a dual championship will be declared.
Standing Rules:
The Texas Forensic Association is committed to providing every student with a fair and impartial competition experience. This document provides the specific procedures for running the State Tournament. Due diligence must be made to ensure that these procedures are followed to ensure fairness as well as the absence of the perception of impropriety. The members of TFA have established and approved these procedures for the State Tournament. During the contest, an ombudsman is available to answer any questions and field protests regarding an infraction of these rules.

**General Rules**
Each year, the Texas Forensic Association sponsors a State High School Tournament. The TFA State Tournament provides competition with opponents of high caliber; it determines a state champion in all TFA events. If the Executive Council is unable to secure a suitable location based on the state size and entry constraints, the decision may be made to not offer a state tournament that year.

**Location:** Each year the State Tournament shall be held in a different region so that in five years each region shall have hosted it once. The year a region is to host, that Region Representative shall submit the region’s choice for the site. If a region cannot host that year, the Executive Council shall open up bids for the site to the other regions.

a. The Executive Council will consider proposals with precedence going to the region who has hosted state least recently.
b. If a suitable host cannot be found in that region, the TFA Executive Council will consider proposals from the next least recent region, and so on and so forth.
c. In such a case, the rotation will resume as if the region slated to host state had hosted state (e.g. if region IV declines to host, region V hosts the following year regardless of where the tournament was held during region IV’s year).

**Rotation:** The order of regions to host State shall be as follows beginning with the 1997 State Tournament: (At the end of the cycle, the rotation will repeat.)

- Region 1  (Upper West Texas/Panhandle)
- Region 2  (North Texas)
- Region 4  (East Texas)
- Region 5  (Far West Texas)
- Region 3  (Central/South Texas)

**Deadline:** The deadline for choosing the state tournament site shall be the annual TSCA Convention in October.

**Recording of Rounds:** The TFA Executive Council has the exclusive right to audio-record and/or videotape any and all rounds with all participants’ or parents’ permission.

**Tournament Director:** The current TFA President will serve as the State Tournament Director. The President will appoint Tab Room Directors for Debate and Individual Events. The Tab Room Directors will be assisted by the state tournament committee.

**Steps to Limit the Size of the TFA State Tournament**
Teams and individuals qualified for the state tournament will be determined in the following fashion. These are not mutually exclusive and can be adopted in any combination that decision makers would deem necessary.

1. **Step #1**: Set a required number of points that an individual or team needs to accumulate to qualify for the state tournament.

2. **Step #2**: If there are more teams or individuals qualified under the minimum qualification than the facility will allow, then the designated committee and/or tournament director will exercise one or more of the following options in the following order:
   a. Option #1: Students will be allowed to enter no more than two events.
   b. Option #2: Students will be allowed to enter only one event.
   c. Option #3: Under exigent circumstances, implementation of contingencies determined by the Executive Council and/or the tournament director from one or more of the following choices:
      i. Hosting of regional tournaments
      ii. Creating a “leg” system of qualification

**Entry and Eligibility**
1. Students participating at the TFA State Tournament must be affiliated with and a representative of the Texas school they are attending as defined by article III, section 1. Students who have earned a qualification for the current school year but graduate prior to the state meet shall be allowed to participate at State. They may not continue to compete at qualifiers to earn a qualification after they have graduated.

2. A student may qualify for participation at the TFA State Tournament by earning the required number of qualification points as determined by the Executive Council at one or more of the designated Invitational Qualifying Tournaments across the state (see Invitational Qualifying Tournaments for greater details).

3. In order to participate at the State Tournament, the student’s school or his coach must be a member of the Texas Forensic Association with membership dues paid on or before January 31st. The coach and/or a qualified adult must be in attendance with all contestants at the TFA State Tournament. Said coach or adult must be available to judge each round of competition. No single individual may represent more than one school. Failure of a school to comply will result in the disqualification of that school’s entire entry. Appeals may be made to the Executive Council in cases of extenuating circumstances. If the school or coach is not a member by January 31st, the following penalty will be assessed based on time of payment:
   a. If dues are paid by February 15th - $100 fine.
   b. If dues are paid between February 16th and state registration - $200 fine.
   c. If dues are not paid by registration at the state tournament the school will forfeit all entries into the state tournament. Proof of payment is the responsibility of the school and all fines must be paid before students are allowed to compete.

4. Qualification to the state tournament is on the basis of the team or individual rather than the school. A school may not substitute students.

5. For a debate team, duet acting, or duo interpretation team to qualify for the state tournament they may accumulate the required number of points with the same partner during the school year.

6. The Executive Council may modify this point schedule at the beginning of the tournament season if the need arises.

7. A student is eligible to compete at the State Tournament only in the event(s) in which s/he has qualified (i.e., students qualified in debate only may not participate in other events).

8. Entries shall not compete in both Duet or Duo and debate at the State Tournament.

9. A student must be a currently enrolled high school student in grades 9-12 in order to qualify for the State Tournament. Graduation prior to the State Tournament does not prevent a student from participating in the State Tournament.

10. The Executive Council holds the right to establish conflict patterns at the State Tournament for the purpose of scheduling.
11. In order for a school to be eligible for competition in the state meet, that school needs to have fully paid tournament dues to member schools that hosted IQT invitationals in which they attended. Schools that have disputes of payment after the IQT season shall provide a list of unpaid schools to the President of TFA. If there is a dispute on payment, the school owing money will need to show proof of payment to the President for verification.

**Examples of Acceptable Qualifications**

1. A & B have the required number of points; A & C have the required number of points; A & D have the required number of points.
   a. Only one team is qualified from this combination.
   b. The team qualified must include A (A and B, A and C, or A and D)
   c. An unacceptable combination is B and C, or B and D, or C and D because neither B, C, nor D have twelve points, except with A.

2. A & B have the required number of points; C & D have the required number of points; E & F have the required number of points. There are three teams qualified.

3. A & B have the required number of points; B & C have the required number of points; D & E have the required number of points.
   a. There are only two teams qualified (A and B or B and C and D and E)

4. A & B have the required number of points; C & D have the required number of points; E & F have the required number of points.
   a. There are three teams qualified.
   b. The three teams may be entered as follows (these are only a few of the possible combinations):
      - A & B
      - A & D
      - A & F
      - E & F
      - E & D
      - C & D
      - C & F
      - C & B
      - E & B

**Examples of Unacceptable Qualifications**

1. A & B have the required number of points; A & C have the required number of points; A & D have the required number of points
   a. An unacceptable combination is B and C, or B and D, or C and D because neither B, C, nor D have the required number of points except with A.

2. Coaches may switch partners of teams who have qualified as separate teams. In no case may a school’s team entries exceed the total number of teams that have qualified as independent teams.
Documentation Requirements

Each year, specific documentation will be required by the Executive Council for entry into the State Tournament.

Original Oratory / Informative

During the online registration process, coaches will be required to provide the title. The competitor’s typed oration/informative is required to be submitted at registration.

a. All quoted material must be underlined and/or printed in red. Quoted material may not exceed 150 words.

b. A cover sheet with title, student’s name and signature, coach’s name and signature, and the school name must be completed. The cover sheet form can be found online at www.txfa.org

c. Oratories/Informatives need to be available for the duration of the tournament.

Interpretation Events

During the online registration process, coaches will be required to provide the title and ISBN number or NSDA approved website URL. This information will be verified during registration. Titles of Interpretation selections must be submitted at registration. Once the titles are submitted, a change in selection will result in the student’s disqualification. The competitors’ original script and copied script and highlighted copy is required to be checked during the registration process and must be available at the State Tournament. The list of NSDA approved websites may be found at https://speechanddebate.org/approved-websites/

a. A flat copy of the original source with page numbers and all lines being performed highlighted is required.

b. A copy of the bibliographic information page or front page of the NSDA approved website with visible URL is required.

c. A cover sheet with title, author(s), ISBN number or NSDA approved website URL, date of publication, number of transitional phrases, student’s name, coach’s name and signature, and the school name must be completed. The cover sheet can be found online at www.txfa.org

d. The original script, either printed or electronic, highlighted copy and bibliographic information page need to be available for the duration of the tournament. Coaches and/or students are solely responsible for providing any electronic device upon which electronic sources
may be verified. No tournament is ever responsible for providing electronic devices or internet access for verification purposes.

Judging

1. **Judge Panels:** Panels of three (3) or more judges shall be used in all elimination rounds.

2. **Judges’ Fees:** The Executive Council shall appropriate a set sum to be used for the payment of judges by the State Tournament Judging Committee in a manner which they deem appropriate. Judges may be paid per round, or the money may be used for entertainment, hospitality, etc.
Tabulation of Events

1. **See Standing Rules:** Invitational Qualifying Tournament Operations Manual

2. **Dissemination of Individual Events Ballots:** The State Tournament Director shall be in charge of a closed individual events ballot room. Following the tabulation of preliminary rounds, semifinals, and finals, the ballots shall be made available to the coaches.

3. **Debate Challenge:** Following the final tabulation of win/loss, speaker points, and other data, the State Tournament Director shall post tabulation charts, elimination pairings, and other pertinent data. Ballots for each preliminary round are to be placed in a school envelope and coaches are admitted to the ballot room. Each school is personally responsible for comparing the posted record and results for his/her teams with the ballot. Following the debate challenge, results are final and any errors shall stand. No challenge of decisions is allowed.
Protests

1. All protests shall be submitted in writing to an Ombudsman, to be appointed by the tournament director. The Ombudsman shall have the full power to adjudicate any protest, dispute, or interpretation of the rules. All protests must be submitted in writing and include the following information:
   a) Name of the coach filing the protest.
   b) Code and/or name of person/team being protested.
   c) Round being protested, including section number, room number, and speaker number of person/team being protested.
   d) Specific infraction being protested described with supporting details.
   e) Signature of the protesting coach.

2. The Ombudsman will then review and render a decision on the protest. All involved parties will be notified of the decision.

3. Appeals of the Ombudsman’s Decision: The Ombudsman’s ruling may be appealed to the Executive Council members who shall review the protest and the appeal, retire to closed session, and render a decision that shall be final.
Debate Rounds

General Rules

1. Postings: Codes of competitors and elimination brackets in their normal order shall be posted and made available for coaches during preliminary and elimination rounds.

2. Debate Topics:
   a. Policy Debate: TFA shall adopt the current year National Speech and Debate Association’s Policy Debate topic as the topic for the State Tournament.
   b. Lincoln Douglas: TFA shall adopt the National Speech and Debate Association’s Lincoln-Douglas Debate topic (current at the time) as the topic for the State Tournament. If the state tournament begins with a February date, the January/February topic will be used. If the state tournament begins with a date in March, the March/April topic will be used.
   c. Public Forum Debate: TFA shall adopt the National Speech and Debate Association’s Public Forum Debate topic (current at the time) as the topic for the State Tournament. If the state tournament begins with a February date, the February topic will be used. If the state tournament begins with a date in March, the March topic will be used.

3. Number of Rounds: There shall be at least four (4) preliminary rounds of Policy, Public Forum, and Lincoln-Douglas Debate followed by elimination rounds.

4. Previously Met Teams: Teams meeting for a second time during the tournament shall switch sides from those previously upheld.

5. 15 Minute Rule: Any competitor that is more than 15 minutes late through no fault of the tournament schedule may be recorded as a forfeit in that particular round. Extenuating circumstances can be appealed to the tournament director and the Executive Council.

Preliminary Rounds

Rounds 1 and 2 shall be pre-set. Preliminary round seeding shall be made before power matching. An effort shall be made to prevent debates between teams from the same region in Rounds 1 and 2 only. Additional preliminary rounds shall have no “lag” power matching. Round 3 through 6 will be matched high-low within brackets (low 2-1’s meet high 2-1’s, etc.). Each
round shall be paired on the basis of all previous rounds. Entrants shall not meet the same entrant more than once in preliminary rounds.

Elimination Rounds Eligibility

If four preliminary rounds are held at the state tournament: 
The number of entrants eligible for the elimination rounds shall be the lesser of (1) the number of entrants that have a record of 3-1 or better or (2) 64.

If five preliminary rounds are held at the state tournament: 
The number of entrants eligible for the elimination rounds shall be 32, unless there are more than 32 entrants with a 4-1 record or better. In that case, the number of entrants shall be 64.

If six preliminary rounds are held at the state tournament: 
The entrants eligible for the elimination rounds shall be all entrants with a record of 4-2 or better, unless that number exceeds 64. In that case, only the top 64 will advance to the elimination rounds.

Pairing the Elimination Rounds

The eligible entrants will be seeded and placed in a single-elimination bracket.

If the number of eligible entrants is a power of 2 (e.g., 16, 32, 64), the highest seeded entrant will be paired against the lowest seeded entrant, the second highest seeded entrant will be paired against the second lowest seeded entrant, and so on.

If the number of eligible entrants is not a power of 2 (creating a partial bracket), byes are to be awarded in the first elimination round to the top seeds, while the lower seeded entrants must debate to earn a place in the second elimination round. The number of entrants to debate in the first elimination round is twice the difference between the number of eligible entrants and the highest power of 2 less than the number of eligible entrants. For example, if 40 entrants qualify for the first elimination round, the bottom 16 entrants (those seeded 25-40) will debate in the first elimination round (giving a bye to the top 24). This is because the largest power of 2 less than 40 is 32, and the difference between 40 and 32 is 8. Thus, there need to be 8 debates involving the bottom 16 entrants to narrow the field from 40 to 32. In this example, the 25th seed shall debate the 40th seed, the 26th seed shall debate the 39th seed, and so on. The winner of the 25 vs 40 debate will meet the 8th seed in the second elimination round, the winner of the 26 vs 39 debate will meet the 7th seed in the second elimination round, the winner of the 27 vs 38 debate will meet the 6th seed in the second elimination round, etc.

Subsequent elimination round pairings will be consistent with the principle that high seed is matched against low seed. If a lower seeded entrant wins, then it “captures” the high seed for the purpose of placement in the bracket.
Brackets shall be broken to prevent teams from the same school from meeting; this break will occur in the round in which the meeting is scheduled to occur. Once an entrant is switched, it “captures” the seed of the entrant from the same school. (Amended 10-17)

Use of the Mutual Preference System of Judging

The TFA State Tournament will use a mutual preference system of assigning judges in Lincoln Douglas and Policy Debate. Mutual preference is defined as a system allowing individual schools and/or teams to assign available judges in pre-described categories within numeric parameters. At least one week prior to the beginning of the tournament, the Secretary or a designated representative, will make available to coaches of LD and Policy entries the list of judges schedule to judge at the state tournament. The coaches will then have the opportunity to designate a pre-determined number of judges in one of the following categories: 1-(most preferred), 2-(preferred), 3-(acceptable), 4-(OK), 6-Strike. All remaining judges will be assigned a “5” (not preferred) rating.

Example: If there were 75 judges available to judge at the state tournament, teams might be asked to assign judges in the following manner: 1 judges – 20; 2 judges – 20; 3 judges – 15; 4 judges – 10; Strikes – 5; 5 judges – 5. The formula for how many judges will be assigned to each category will be pre-determined by the debate tabulation director.

Coaches would be required to return the mutual preference sheet to the Secretary or designated representative no later than the Wednesday prior to the beginning of the tournament. If returned by that time, the mutual preference system would be in place prior to Round 1 of the tournament. Coaches would also have the opportunity to complete and return the mutual preference sheet at the tournament registration. Those preferences would go into effect at the time that the tabulation room had the opportunity to input them.

It will be the responsibility of individual coaches to complete the mutual preference sheet. Tabulation room staff will verify correctness of the mutual preference sheet with individual coaches at registration. Coaches who may have completed the sheet incorrectly will have the opportunity to complete the sheet correctly at that time. Coaches may only designate strikes if they choose. All other judges will then be designated as “1” judges in such instances. For those coaches who fail to complete the sheet correctly, the tabulation staff will reserve the right to randomly assign judges from one category to another to correct the sheet.
Strike System

The Executive Committee may offer a strike system for judges at the state tournament in public forum debate and the individual events including duo or duet.

Congressional Debate

General Rules

1. Entry:
   a. Entries in the United States House shall be based upon qualification at IQT’s that offer Congressional Debate. The students’ names shall be submitted on the entry form for the State Tournament.
   b. Students qualified for the United States House at the State Tournament may compete in up two Individual Events in which they have also qualified, at the discretion of the TFA Executive Council.

2. Judging: Parliamentarians and Scorers will be selected by the Congressional Debate Committee prior to assigning judges for other events. All judges affiliated with schools entering students in Congressional Debate will automatically be placed in the pool to serve as either a scorer or a parliamentarian if for the duration of the tournament needed.

3. Chambers: There will be preliminary houses as determined by the number of entries. Each chamber shall contain no more than 20 students and shall be regionally balanced.
   a. Preliminary Sessions: There will be two 4 hour sessions aligned with 4 debate rounds. Parliamentarians shall be assigned to a chamber for the entire round (both sessions). Scorers are reassigned each session (4 hours).

   Each preliminary session must include the following:
   i. A preliminary round is defined as including:
   ii. Minimum of 3 hours
   iii. 18-20 students as the optimum number for a 3 hour session
iv. i. Election of a Presiding Officer

v. ii. New seating chart

vi. iii. Resetting of precedence/recency

vii. iv. New legislation that has not been debated in a previous session in the tournament

b. Semifinal Congress: In the event that there are three or more preliminary chambers, up to four semifinal chambers will be created for a round which shall be no less than four total hours of debate two 2-hour sessions in length.

c. Super Congress: There will be one “finals” round of no less than three hours which will be known as Super Congress.

**Procedural Rules**

1. **Order of the Docket:** Docket order for State will be released following conclusion of the IQT season.

2. **Floor Debate:** See section under IQT’s.

3. **Presiding Officer:** A presiding officer shall be elected at the beginning of each House preliminary session. At the conclusion of the Prelim sessions, each chamber will vote for their outstanding P.O. This student will be presented with a gavel at the awards ceremony.

4. **Authorship speeches:** Schools, whose legislation is selected for the Preliminary round at State, are guaranteed an authorship speech. The author of the bill or resolution shall be recognized to open debate, but shall have no other preference in speaking on said bill or resolution. Amendments are not allowed authorship speeches. A brief statement of justification is allowed but will not be scored.

   a. The authorship speech shall be a maximum of three minutes (four in the final round) with an additional two minutes of cross-examination time.

   b. If the author of the legislation is not in the chamber, the chair shall recognize a member to begin debate. This will be treated as a sponsorship speech, not one of authorship and will be followed by two minutes of cross-examination. The first negative speech will also be followed by a two minute questioning period.

5. **Tiebreaking Procedures:** Each individual chamber is calculated independent of the others. Legislators with the lowest cumulative rank total advance to the next level of competition, employing the following tiebreakers.

   a. Judge’s preference
   b. Reciprocal fractions
   c. Rank by their parliamentarian

**Scoring**

Speaker points shall be awarded by the official scorers ranging from 1-6 with 6 being the highest score. Presiding officers shall be awarded points by the parliamentarian ranging from 1 to 6, with 6 being the highest score.

a. Points shall be awarded only for speeches with Cross-examination also being a factor. Rankings should include activity in the chamber as well as speeches and questions/responses to questions.
b. The Parliamentarian shall award the presiding officer a score at the conclusion of each hour of service.
   
   c. The Parliamentarian will be assigned to a chamber for the entire Preliminary sessions.

The Ranking of the Top 8 Legislators, by the scorers and the Parliamentarian in each chamber, will be used for determining advancement and tabulation of all rounds at the TFA State Tournament.

**Semifinal Congress**

1. **Sectioning:** Semifinal chambers shall be sectioned by rank balance between houses while maintaining regional balance.

2. **Judges:** Parliamentarians and Scorers shall not have judged in preliminary sessions. If the semifinal round is broken into multiple sessions, scorers will be changed in each chamber between sessions and the parliamentarian will be assigned to the chamber for the entire round (all sessions) and shall be assigned to a chamber for the entire round.

3. **Number of Members:** Semifinal houses shall not contain more than 16 persons each.

4. **Minimum Preliminary Rounds:** If there are less than three preliminary chambers, no Semifinal Congress shall be held.

**Super Congress**

1. **Organization**
   
   a. No more than 16 delegates shall be in the Super Congress.

   b. The assigned Parliamentarian/Scorers shall remain for the entire round/session.

   c. Adult P.O. may be assigned if available.

   d. In Super Congress, Speeches are four minutes, with two-minute questioning of speakers introducing legislation as well as the first negative, and one minute of questioning for subsequent speakers. Each questioner has 30 seconds within the one or two minutes to engage in direct questioning with the speaker. During direct questioning, all questioning periods are broken into 30-second segments, with one questioner per segment, who may ask multiple questions of the speaker during that segment. The Presiding Officer must track and select questioners based on recency the same way speakers are recognized. Recency for speaker should be tracked independently of questioners.

2. **Selection for the Final Ballot:** At the conclusion of Super Congress, the ranks of the top 8 legislators, provided by the four three scorers and the Parliamentarian, will be used to determine the winners. The cume rank of the top 8 will be tallied and the lowest cume will receive 1st, next lowest 2nd; and so on to determine the top 8. The Parliamentarian will rank everyone in the chamber, and these ranks will be used for tie-breaking purposes only.

3. **Awards:** The top six students in each division as determined by the tabulation of the ballots shall receive awards. All Outstanding Presiding Officers from preliminary chambers shall receive an award.
Speech and Interpretation Rounds

General Rules

1. Postings: Codes of competitors and schematics shall be posted and made available for coaches during preliminary and elimination rounds.

2. Number of Rounds: At the State Tournament, Speech and Interpretation events shall have three preliminary rounds, quarterfinals, semifinals, and a final round.

3. Extemporaneous Speaking Cross-Examination: The final round will include a cross-examination period, as described below. (Though not mandatory, Invitational Qualifying Tournaments are urged to use the cross-examination format in the final round.)
   a. Cross-Examination Procedure: Each speaker shall be assigned a position in the speaking order. Drawing will take place at 12-minute intervals. Thirty minutes after Speaker 1 has drawn, the last Speaker shall enter the contest room. Speaker 1 shall give his/her speech and the last Speaker shall take notes and/or listen. At the conclusion of Speaker 1’s speech, the last Speaker shall cross-examine Speaker 1 for a time period not to exceed three minutes. The cross-examination will be an open format, similar to the cross-examination period employed in the final round of the NSDA National Tournament. The last Speaker shall return to the prep room and Speaker 1 shall stay to listen to and question Speaker 2. Speaker 2 will question Speaker 3, etc.

Individual Events, Duo, and Duet Acting Elimination Round Eligibility

In individual events, the top 36 contestants would advance to quarterfinals based on cumulative ranks in the first three rounds. Ranks above 5 will be converted to 5 for the purposes of determining cumulative rank. If there is a tie at 36, that tie would be broken based first on converting scores to reciprocal fractions: 1st = 1.00, 2nd = .50, 3rd = .33, 4th = .25, 5th = .20. Ranks above 5 will be treated as 5s (.20) in determining the reciprocal. The contestants with the total highest total would advance. If there is still a tie for the 36th spot, all contestants still tied for that slot would advance to quarterfinals up to 48 maximum. If, because of the tie, more than 48
would break, then the ties among those pushing the number over 48 would be broken by reverting to original ranks in the round – a rank of 7 would be counted as a 7, a 6 as a 6, etc.

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AB11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5(5) = 10 .10 .025 .01 .20 =1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5(6)</td>
<td>4 =10 .10 .020 .01 .25 =1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5(7)</td>
<td>3 =10 .50 .020 .02 .33 =1.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If contestants AB11, SD14, GH8 were ties for 42nd place, AB11 and SD14 break. The total breaking would be 43.

GH8 would be eliminated because in the conversion, the 1.03 is lower than the 1.45.

If AB11 and SD14 were tied for the 56th slot, the AB11 would advance, because originally SD14 had a rank of 6 that was converted to a 5 in round two (GH8 would already be out of consideration.)

In quarterfinals there would be 6 sections with up to a maximum of 8 in each section.

Three from each section would advance from quarters to semifinals, with 3 sections of 6 in semifinals. Two would advance from each section to finals, for 6 in finals.

**Consolation Events**

The State Tournament will offer consolation events to all students entered in Individual Events or Duet Acting or Duo Interpretation who do not advance to elimination rounds. Students in debate will be allowed to enter if the tournament schedule allows.

**Entry Guidelines**

A student may enter only one consolation event. Pre-registration by coaches is required; upon elimination from the tournament, individual registration is required. Entry limits shall be established by the State Tournament Committee.

**Events**

A. **Prose (Offered in even years only):** Selection(s) must be from a published work (or published works) and must be read from a folder. Published can mean traditionally printed, commercially available E-Books or PDFs, or material from approved websites as designated by the National Speech and Debate Association. The list of NSDA approved websites may be found at https://www.speechanddebate.org/approved-websites/. Selections cannot be taken from plays. A memorized introduction stating the title(s) and author(s) is required. Maximum time is seven minutes with a thirty-second grace period. Overtime violators SHALL NOT be ranked first in the
round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty.

B. **Poetry (Offered in odd years only):** The rules shall be the same as Prose, except that the literature must be poetic in nature.

C. **Impromptu:** The speaker will draw three topics, choose one, and take one minute to prepare. Topics shall be chosen from proverbs, quotations, current concerns, and famous people. The maximum time for the speech is five minutes with a thirty-second grace period. There is no minimum time. Overtime violators SHALL NOT be ranked first in the round by the judge. Any other penalty is at the discretion of the judge. Judges may consider audience reaction and its impact on official time before enforcing any overtime penalty.

**Awards**

1) **Debate Speaker Awards:** Speaker awards for Policy, Public Forum, and Lincoln-Douglas Debate at the State Tournament shall be determined by the following criteria.
   a) adjusted points
   b) total points
   c) total ranks win-loss record
   d) opponents’ win-loss record
   e) opponents’ speaker points
   f) opponents’ ranks
   g) adjusted ranks
   h) blind draw

2) **Speech and Interpretation Event Awards:** Awards will be given to all finalists. First, Second, and Third place awards will be presented to the top three placing students.

3) **School Sweepstakes Awards:** School sweepstakes will be presented to the top schools based on the following criteria:
   a) Qualifying Events
      i) Two points shall be awarded for advancing to debate double-octofinals and semifinal participation in the United States House. **One point shall be awarded for semifinals participation in the United States Senate.** Two points shall be awarded for participations in semifinals in the United States Senate.
      ii) Four points shall be awarded for reaching quarterfinals in individual events, duo, and duet.
      iii) Six points shall be awarded for reaching semifinals in qualifying events, finalists in the United States House not making the top 8, and non-advancing octofinalists in debate.
      iv) Ten points shall be awarded for each non-placing finalist in qualifying events, 4th through 8th place finalists in the United States House, and non-advancing quarterfinalists in debate.
      v) Fifteen points shall be awarded in qualifying events for each third place awarded, twenty points for each second place, and twenty-five points for each first place awarded.
   b) **Consolation Events (Prose, Poetry, and Impromptu, Senate)**
      i) One point shall be awarded for semifinals participation
      ii) Two points shall be awarded for participating in semifinals in consolation events
      iii) Five points shall be awarded for non-placing participants in finals in consolation events
iv) Eight points shall be awarded for each third place awarded, ten points for each second place, and fifteen points for each first place awarded.

4) Sweepstakes points are not cumulative – students receive points based on highest placement in an event.

5) Individual Sweepstakes Awards – Individual sweepstakes awards will be presented to the top individuals based on the following criteria.
   a) Students will receive the same number of points as they earn towards team sweepstakes.
   b) The top five students will be recognized.
   i) In the event of a tie, the tie will be broken on most final rounds, then semifinal rounds, then quarterfinal rounds. If still tied, ties will be broken based on number of 1st place finishes, and then 2nd place finishes, etc. Tied contestants after the above tie breakers are applied will remain tied.

6) Naming of Awards in Honor of Service to the Organization: The Executive Council shall be empowered to dedicate the awards and scholarships presented at the State Tournament to the honor of individual TFA members in recognition of service to this organization.

7) Breakfast of Champions: The first place winners and their coaches will be recognized at the Breakfast of Champions following the tournament.