



Texas Forensic Association

Constitutional Amendment Form

The following must be provided before your proposal will be accepted by the Executive Committee.

Name: ___ Neal White _____

School: Clark High _____

Region: _____ 2 _____

Email address: nealedwardwhite@gmail.com

Rationale for Amendment: This amendment is contingent on the direct questioning being permanently instituted as the exclusive method of questioning in Congress. This would eliminate the section of the constitution that says that tournaments have to specify whether or not directed questioning would be used. It would also move subsection l i) to be the new section l, and would add language clarifying that the rule described in l i) is not in effect if it is not so specified. This allows for universal clarification for students/judges within the round as it relates to time limits. Additionally, direct questioning provides opportunities for students to better showcase their understanding and debate skills during the round.

Section of the Constitution where Change occurs:

- _____ Constitution
- _____ ByLaws
- _____ Code of Professional Standards
- Check Standing Rules: Competition on Event Guide
- _____ Standing Rules: IQT Operations Manual
- _____ Standing Rules: State Tournament Operations Manual

Page number of change: 65

Select One of the Following: **Add Language** **Delete Language** **Amend Language**

Implementation Time Frame: Immediately upon passage

Proposed Change(s) *(List the change/add here. Changes should include any language you are replacing from the existing constitution along with the proposed new language.)*

The contents of section l ("Tournament directors...being used") and the contents of section l i. ("optional rule...is being used") will be moved into section l.

Additionally, the following sentence will be added to the end of the new section l: "If not so declared, there will be no per-item limit on floor debate at that tournament."

